THE APPLICATION OF SYSTEM DYNAMICS TO PROBLEMS IN THE STEEL INDUSTRY Author: Brian C. Dangerfield 214

The proposed paper will develop issues raised in the attach paper "System Dynamics as a Potential Aid to Capacity Planning in the Steel Industry".

In particular more emphasis will be placed on the model (together with the results) than is apparent in the attached paper.

Consideration can, therefore, be given to the model in various ways:-

(i) Using noise input for demand as at present.

(ii) Using a cyclical function for demand input to compare with the results at (i), the hypothesis being that the problems faced by the industry are worse when demand is cyclical.

(iii) Introducing specific cost/revenue performance measures which realistically represent the effects of experiments (i) and (ii). Continuous discounting of money flows can be explored, for example.

(iv) Considering profitability dynamically, rather than with single cumulative performance measures as in (iii). The high fixed cost element of many process industries renders them extremely vulnerable when cash inflow is reduced because of depressed demand. In the steel industry such a situation does generate large losses, but compare this with the oil industry where prices are quickly raised, to sustain the cash flow, giving compensation on the price side for what is lost because of reductions in volume throughput.

(v) Enhancing the model to ensure that demand for steel is generated internally. This would incorporate the process whereby consumers and stockholders of steel overbook in response to lengthening delivery delays and fear of the imminence of order prohibition.

The paper will, therefore, address a number of interrelated issues which face the steel industry in general and the U.K. steel industry in particular, but which centre upon the complex problems manifested by a mismatch between demand and production capacity.

## SYSTEM DYNAMICS AS A POTENTIAL AID TO CAPACITY PLANNING IN THE U.K. STEEL INDUSTRY

Any firm or industry which experiences marked cyclical fluctuations in both output and demand can provide a testimony of the damaging effects such oscillations have on profitability. Perhaps none more so than the British Steel Corporation (B.S.C.) whose record in this respect is rather poor. For such industries, therefore, any methods which management science can provide as a means of analysis of the causes and effects of such cycles are to be welcomed. It is suggested in this paper that system dynamics provides an important framework for analysing the causes of cyclical behaviour in economic systems and that it is this area of application which possesses the greatest chance for successful demonstrations of its use. It is probably for this reason that work using the methods of system dynamics has concentrated, in Gt Britain, on economic systems.

Being at the end of a long chain of supply, the steel industry experiences demand fluctuations which are amplified in the manner first demonstrated by J W Forrester.[1] An examination of Figure 1 (in the Appendix) reveals the typical four year business cycle insofar as it relates to steel production and consumption. It is interesting to note that the amplitude of the fluctuations in production exceed those of consumption and also that the year 1970 marks an occasion when, for the first time, the lowest point in the cycle is below that of the previous lowest point.

This cyclical behaviour has attracted the attention of at least two economists, one in Gt Britain[2] and one in Sweden.[3] Both these studies rely on econometric methods to investigate hypothesi concerning the steel cycle. In the first study, Christopher Blake formulates a linear model, consisting of three equations, which is fitted to the data using multivariate least squares techniques. On the supply side deliveries to the home market are explained in terms of new orders booked and a factor to account for changes in the backlog of orders on hand. Clearly to use the language of system dynamics, this is a typical example of the way in which a level variable can influence a

rate variable to form a negative feedback loop which performs
the task of regulating deliveries in the face of changes in
new orders booked. Fieldwork carried out by the author at
several works making sheet steel products confirms the existence
of the above relationship, although it should be added that
the B.S.C. imparts its own controls when order backlogs
become too high by prohibiting further new orders.

This is illustrated in the influence diagram below. The
positive loop (No 1) exists to push up order backlogs through
the practice of over-ordering when lead times are extended,
a reaction all too prevalent by consumers of steel and a
practice that is extremely difficult to eradicate without
formal controls. The speed of reaction around loop 1 is
much greater than can be counteracted by the beneficial
(to the industry) effects of loop 2 and hence the necessity
of imposing loop 3 on the system is seen by the industry
as unavoidable.



Two aspects of this feature in the study deserve some mention.
Firstly, it is impossible for the linear model produced by Blake
to take account of the ceiling on order backlogs which the industry
imposes and yet, in the methods of system dynamics, this would
be simple, amounting to the formulation of an appropriate equation
using the CLIP function in DYSMAP[4], for example. Secondly, merely
constructing an influence diagram like the simple one above forces
the management scientist to focus attention on the important
issues and the most important one in this case is to find the    215

reason why the speed of reaction around loop 2 is slow, thereby
unleashing the harmful effects of loop 1 and subsequently loop 3.
One possible reason for this slowness is suggested later.

Certainly the influence diagram can be taken as a starting
point for an examination of the reasons behind the growth
of imports of cold reduced sheet steel into Gt Britain in
recent years.

The demand hypothesis given by Blake[5] is presented as a form
which has been described as proportional plus inertia control[6]
and which is a common enough formulation for such situations.
This is the same approach to steel demand taken by Vinell[7] in
his comprehensive study of demand-generated cycles using Swedish
data. Following this formulation, orders booked to steel mills
consist of replacement for present consumption together with
a quantity designed to adjust any discrepancies between actual
and desired inventory levels. Clearly the stock adjustment
element of demand can be either positive or negative and
plays a significant role in the demand acceleration effect
which it is the purpose of Blake's study to explain.

However, these studies, in common with econometric studies
generally, are handicapped by the need to have time series data
available on the variables in question or at least to be able to
manufacture a proxy for such data from that which is available.
In this respect Blake has set about creating a series of data
on changes in the crucial order backlog variable by deriving
it from the data that was available on both new orders booked
and deliveries of finished steel.

It could well be a lack of data which has resulted in the
apparently shallow treatment accorded the supply side of the
system in these analyses of the steel cycle. Certainly demand
acceleration is important but the processes generating it are
now fairly well understood and widely documented in system
dynamics studies as well as in work utilising alternative
paradigms. Yet, the delay in supply response is an aspect
of system performance which the industry itself has considerable

control over and which, therefore, may repay intensive investigation. After all, some part of the demand acceleration effect is caused by buyers in, for example,consumer goods firms using their wealth of experience when determining purchase quantities and the buyers need only go back to the last big upturn in the steel cycle (in 1973/74 in Gt Britain) to recall a period of order prohibition being introduced by the B.S.C.

The diagram below illustrates the processes which form the main route through a modern integrated sheet steel works. By and large the prevalent means of steel manufacture is the basic oxygen process (B.O.S.) whereby oxygen is blown over molten iron (hot metal) to rid it of unwanted impurities in the charge.

IRON MAKING

↓ HOT METAL

STEEL CONVERSION

↓

INGOTS

↓ REHEATING

SLABS

↓

HOT ROLLED COIL

↓

PICKLING (CLEANING)

↓

COLD REDUCED COIL

↓

ANNEALING + OTHER
FINISHING OPERATIONS

The production process is continuous until the steel reaches the slabbing stage and it is only from this point onwards that material is in any way related to a customer order. Shortages of slabs, therefore, can be seen as leading directly to order filling delays although it is possible to stock slabs over and above the normal two to four weeks supply if space can be found and the finance for stockbuilding is available. It is not usual to hold significant stocks of ingots as they require reheating before entering the slabbing mill.

The manufacturing lead time is approximately four weeks from launch of the order at the slabbing stage to production of cold reduced coil steel and, providing the stocks of slabs are adequate, orders will be launched more or less in sequence. It would appear, therefore, that supply inflexibility has to concentrate on the so-called "heavy-end" of the industry and the preparation of molten iron in blast furnaces in particular.

Figure 2 shows how the average number of furnaces in blast has fallen over the years while the output per furnace per annum has significantly increased. This is not solely due to the reduction in the number of furnaces but rather to their increasing hearth diameter,shown in Figure 3, which allows a larger burden to be input at each charge.

Several studies [8, 9] have pointed to the cost advantages of large-scale operations in iron-making and there is no doubt that an average cost curve of the type depicted below represents the typical shape of the scale curve, although there is some doubt as to the exact point at which it begins to flatten out. This is largely because of the lack of data on the few very large furnaces which exist, primarily in Japan.

COST/
TONNE



MINIMUM
THROUGHPUT
ALLOWABLE

In presenting their ten year development plan in 1973[10] the
British Steel Corporation sought to press home the cost
advantages of large scale operations by advocating an
extension of capacity at the two main works in the flat
products sector (Llanwern and Port Talbot) with an associated
concentration of "heavy-end" production at these sites. Indeed
work on one large blast furnace had already been started at
Port Talbot and this was designed to replace three smaller
furnaces. At Llanwern two large furnaces were to be
supplemented by another in the mid-1970's. This furnace
would then be the largest in Europe.

The planning work which went behind the formulation of the
ten year development plan was never formally published, although
it is known that considerable modelling work was undertaken.

217

Discounted cash flow analysis was used to cost out the
various alternative investment strategies open to the
Corporation and thereby provide a single numerical measure
of the "performance" of each. Informal conversations with
people involved in the modelling work have revealed that
the analysis was done largely on a trend basis and little,
if any, account appears to have been taken of the important
cyclical behaviour of the industry, to which reference has
already been made. Although, it is not the major point
of this paper to elaborate unduly on such issues, it does
appear a little shortsighted to ignore dynamic behaviour
altogether. It is to be expected that management would
wish to know if they were to progress from state X to
state Y by routes 1, 2 or 3 in the diagram below. Indeed,
if route 3 turned out to be the case then state Y may never
be attained.

PERFORMANCE
MEASURE



TIME

Returning to the subject of blast furnace size, it is clear
that economies of scale exist on the cost side but against
this it is surely necessary to give consideration to the
notion of supply inflexibility resulting from the use of

large furnaces.  It is a metallurgical fact that blast
furnaces require a periodic relining of refractory materials,
the interval between relines being variable but of the
order of between 4 million and 6 million tonnes throughput.
It is hardly likely that it is cost-effective to have a
spare blast furnace used only when one of the others at
a particular works is out for relining and hence there
is an apparent danger that distortion of the reline programme
for the furnaces could result in grave supply difficulties
at particular points in the demand cycle.  If this were to
occur on a demand upturn the consequences could be disastrous
in terms of revenue foregone.  This is reinforced by the
knowledge that a furnace requires a period of two to three
months or more to achieve maximum operating efficiency when
it has been started up from cold.

The British Steel Corporation's commercial managing director
has publicly admitted[11] that in 1974 the Corporation were
unable to meet orders because of a bunching of blast furnace
relines.  He suggested that when demand rose (as it did for
much of 1973) commercial pressures led to every last drop of
steel being forced out of furnaces but he gave an assurance
that in future maintenance schedules for the furnaces would
be rigidly adhered to.

On top of the need to periodically reline the furnaces is the
question of whether a furnace should be taken out of blast
when demand is depressed.  Discussions with executives involved
has revealed that such decisions are taken at a very high level
in the Corporation.  Certainly one was taken out in the downturn
of 1971 but, given the speed with which demand can change in
the industry and the slow build-up to maximum efficiency when
starting a furnace from cold, any such decision is fraught
with dangers.  Yet there is extremely little variation in the
output that is possible from a blast furnace.  Alteration of

the burden (charging materials) can lead to a variation of the
order of 10%-15% in output, but that is all.  Often a
practice known as "granulating" occurs by which molten iron
is just poured into the ground and not converted into steel.

Given the picture painted in figures 4 and 5 there is obviously
a pressing need for an investigation of supply difficulties
in the steel industry in Gt Britain with the issues above in
relation to blast furnace sizes and capacity planning being
one focus of attention.  It is suggested that the methods
of system dynamics can assist in this policy making analysis
and the results of some initial computer runs are now elaborated.
Figure 6 shows the results of a model formulated to illustrate
the effects on some important variables when molten iron is
manufactured in a configuration of five blast furnaces with
a grand total output of 253,000 tonnes per month.  As blast
furnaces are brought out for relining (at months 42, 50 and
66 in this run) fluctuations in orders on hand, slab stocks
and steel deliveries are set up.  While this may not be a
particularly counter-intuitive result at least it does serve
to give a demonstration that supply, as well as demand, can
create undesirable fluctuations.  In this model there are no
demand acceleration effects taken into account since its
purpose is to examine the supply side only.  Orders booked
are a random noise function.

(Fig 7)

An alternative configuration, consisting of three large blast
furnaces, again with a grand total output of 253,000 tonnes
per month, produces fluctuations that are slightly more severe.
In this run blast furnaces are taken out for relining at
months 33, 43 and 59.  Each reline means the loss of use of
the furnace for some 3 to 4 months, together with the reduced
output on heating up from cold, as previously mentioned.

One important measure to come from those two runs is the
number of months on which it was necessary to activate the
order prohibition control arising when the number of orders
on hand exceeds the desirable maximum.  In the case of the
five blast furnace configuration it was on just one occasion

while for the three blast furnace configuration it was
necessary on seven occasions. Both runs were over a period
of 80 months. In terms of potential home demand that goes
unfulfilled this is surely significant and conversations
with some British Steel stockholders, who are normally
purchasers of large quantities of steel, reveal that when
this happens an enormous amount of goodwill is lost. Perhaps
of more significance, however, is that buyers for the
stockholders then begin sourcing abroad for their steel.
They cannot do otherwise for steel stockholding is a very
competitive business.

A further series of runs has been conducted to assess the
effects of demand input in the form of a sine wave. This,
perhaps, more accurately reflects the vagaries of the motor
vehicle industry in particular, in their need for steel. One
interesting feature to emerge comes from a comparison of
revenues obtained under the separate configurations. Revenue
is accounted for by the simplistic approach of including a
variable representing the Selling Price Per Tonne (SPPT) of
steel. A third-order delay is used to model the delay with
which payments are received following the issue of invoices.
Revenue falls to a minimum of 10.7 E6 money units with three
blast furnaces operating but to a minimum of only 11.84 E6
money units with five operating. The position is more starkly
illustrated if an increasing demand trend of 10 E3 tonnes monthly i
superimposed onto the stationary sine wave. The minimum
revenue rate achieved then is 10.68 E6 money units with three
furnaces and 11.84 E6 with five.

With the model of the industry in its present stage of
development it would be misleading to recount any further
results since they might be construed as definitive policy
recommendations but it is hoped that, at the very least, this
paper has served notice that system dynamics models are of
help with decisions regarding the desirable scale of steel
making operations by incorporating, as they can, three
extremely important matters in such decisions - cost, revenues
and dynamic behaviour. The focus to date has been far too
strongly concentrated on the first.

REFERENCES

1. J W FORRESTER, Industrial Dynamics, M.I.T. Press, 1961

2. C BLAKE, "Supply Lags and Demand Acceleration in the U.K.
            Steel Market", Scottish Journal of Political
            Economy, Vol XII, No 1 Feb 1965, pp 62-80.

3. L VINELL, Business Cycles and Steel Markets, Beckmans,
                                        Stockholm, 1973.

4. C J STEWART AND
   A K RATNATUNGA, Dysmap Users' Manual, System Dynamics
                   Research Group, University of Bradford 197

5. C BLAKE, op. cit. p.69.

6. R G COYLE, Management System Dynamics, J Wiley, 1977. p.13

7. L VINELL, op. cit. p.156.

8. A COCKERILL, The Steel Industry : International
               Comparisons of Industrial Structure and
               Performance, Cambridge University Press, 1974

9. BATTELLE-INSTITUT, Scale Advantages of Equipment in the
                      Iron and Steel Industry, Stockholm, 197

10. H.M.S.O., British Steel Corporation : Ten Year Developme
             Strategy, Cmnd 5226, London, February, 1973.

11. Report of "Steel International'75" conference, Modern
    Purchasing, June,1975.

FIG.2 AVERAGE NUMBER OF FURNACES IN BLAST AND OUTPUT PER FURNACE PER ANNUM (=- - -)                    (SOURCE:I.S.S.B.)

220



FIG.1 CRUDE STEEL PRODUCTION (1950-77) AND FINISHED STEEL CONSUMPTION =- - - - (1960-77)        (SOURCE: ECONOMIC TRENDS)

FIG. 4 ORDERS ON HAND FROM CONSUMERS AND STOCKHOLDERS FOR
NON-ALLOY SHEET STEEL (JAN 1967-DEC 1974) (SOURCE:I.S.S.B.)

FIG.3 FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF BLAST FURNACE HEARTH
DIAMETERS IN 1955 AND 1977 (=- -- -- --)      (SOURCE:I.S.S.B.)

CSOHMPC    (1) CONSUMERS AND STOCKHOLDERS ORDERS ON HAND (% CHANGES)
SLSHMPC    (1) SLAB STOCKS HELD AT MILLS PERCENTAGE CHANGES
CSDRPC     (1) CONSUMERS AND STOCKHOLDERS DELIVERY RATE PERCENTAGE CHANGES

MINIMUM    MAXIMUM

FIG.6   FIVE BLAST FURNACES--NOISE INPUT
STRIP MILLS SECTOR

222

FIG.5 MEAN LEAD TIMES FOR COLD-REDUCED SHEET STEEL
(SOURCE--INDUSTRIAL PURCHASING NEWS)