Corrections to the Minutes of the December 6, 2004 University Senate Meeting

These amendments pertain to "New Business: Senate Resolution No. 0405-1R."

(1) The third bullet shall be replaced as indicated.

Original Text:

In the interim period, untenured senior faculty of CNSE will go through the current CPCA promotion process and review will go through the Provost. After the interim period cases will go to the CNSE VP, in view of the Board of Trustees' resolution.

Revised Text:

In the interim period, promotion and/or continuing appointment cases involving faculty from CNSE will go through the current CPCA review process and will be transmitted to the President through the CNSE VP, in view of the Board of Trustees' resolution.

(2) A sentence shall be added to the fourth bullet as indicated. New text marked in bold.

Original Text:

Professor Jerrison expressed concerns that the MOU did not address academic standards for curriculum, nor tenure and promotion standards for faculty. He felt that it would be premature to leave such decisions to CNSE given that there are no national accreditation standards for nanosciences. It was explained that during the interim period CNSE cases would be handled according to standard UAlbany procedures, and that even thereafter CPCA would have significant oversight over all CNSE cases. Professor Jerrison then moved to table the resolution. The motion was seconded. In response, Professor Range moved to fix the time to which the Senate shall adjourn to 4 PM on Wednesday, December 8, 2004. The motion to set the specific time in event of tabling was approved. The Chair then called for a vote on the motion to table. The motion was defeated.

Revised Text:

Professor Jerison expressed concerns that the MOU did not address academic standards for curriculum, nor tenure and promotion standards for faculty. He felt that it would be premature to leave such decisions to CNSE given that there are no national accreditation standards for nanosciences. It was explained that during the interim period CNSE cases would be handled according to standard UAlbany procedures, and that even thereafter CPCA would have significant oversight over all CNSE cases. Professor Jerison then moved to table the resolution. The motion was seconded. In response, Professor Range moved to fix the time to which the

Senate shall adjourn to 4 PM on Wednesday, December 8, 2004. He explained that it was the intent of the working group to allow for the Senate to take as much time as needed to have all questions answered, but that vote on the resolution should not be delayed beyond a few days. The motion to set the specific time in event of adjournment was approved. The Chair then called for a vote on the motion to table. The motion was defeated.

- (3) The following bullets shall be inserted before the final bullet.
 - Professor Kim spoke in support of the resolution. He believed it to be a good compromise. He went on to state that the University should move forward in accepting the CNSE as an integral part of the campus. Professor Wulfert also spoke in favor of the MOU and the resolution. She stated that the basic functions of CPCA have been left intact, that the MOU represents as good an agreement as could be expected, and that it is a positive step.
 - Professor Lanford raised a question as to the routing of CNSE cases from CPCA to the CNSE Vice President. In response it was noted by Professors Range and Hoyt that this procedure takes into account the unique structure of CNSE per the Board of Trustees' resolution of April 20, 2004 which established the CNSE as headed by a vice president who reports directly to the UAlbany President.