May 2, 2005 UPC Minutes

Present: r. baum, goutam Paul, henderson, macdonald stern hauser spanier claiborne..

Guests: j altribba, J. Johnson, seth chaiken

Chair's report: advisement issue: suggestion jeryl made was to uac to take back and revisit the whole subject, come back with definite, jery l suggested folks from upc, uac and th provost's offfice could work on such a bill together, bring it back to councils and to the senate...

Does that sound okay to members?

Carolyn asked about time? David said not likely this year.

Work up a revision that would come back to upc and uac and then go to senate.

Anyone willing to serve on group? Yes, judith langer volunteered.

Committee reports: UFC – no report, have not yet met since last upc mtg.

David: there will be a bill – re motion to commend and encourage sob fundraising and developing efforts, will put together a bill – with understanding that senate would have oppor to examine issues of parking mgmt and operating costs..... it will be conveyed formally to the senate.

Urpac: elga – not yet ready to submit a detailed report –

Rapc: Nancy – met twice – went over revenue/and expense in budget w/k lowery. Have a general understanding of budget now – have a general understanding of what their charge is now... decided on 4 issues they would pursue, they will be looking at trends, ie, president's compact planning, identifying how that's going to be in the future, then follow through and tracking.

Four areas are important to learn more about are:

- 1- atheletic dept
- 2- cnse
- 3- IT commons
- 4- the east campus

there's an underlying issue of staff time – do we want to discuss in this group or get more info and bring it back to this group...

jil asked why these areas in particular? Elga said these are the areas faculty are most interested in...these are major initiatives....

Rapc will target what faculty/upc wants to know about these issues.

Tim- it is much more complex when you start drilling down into budget situations in each unit...monies come from several different sources -- and also – its difficult to get specific info on what money is spent on in the departments --

Carolyn – thinks it will be useful for the committee to summarize some of the big picture information – for upc - - -

Tim – a lot is prepared for urpac – he suggested nancy clai to confer w/lowery on material already prepared for urpac---

Jeryl: important for upc to have a big picture of what things look like, although, the information goes on and on – several layers of information – difficult to get what you need without going further and further into it... SO he thinks it would be good for upc to get an overview – big picture of the budget without all the layers...

Jil thinks we could make decisions w/out tabling things if we have the big picture first..

Nancy claiborne —we can do summary — how do you feel about 4 areas we suggested looking to and she asked jeryl how to find info on compact planning — jeryl said more info will come from the president's office...

Carolyn asked about urpac report? Jeryl said all members will have to agree on a final report – May be a couple weeks – not sure... carolyn thought that maybe rapc should wait until they see the urpac report----

Nancy asked: She asked if there is a consensus of the four areas rapc will look at?

Tim — suggested rapc to look at budget material first, thn decide as a committee where they want to go rather than picking out four topics first. So that they have more rationale for picking those topics... sometimes in the past, information has not been very forthcoming — may see another unit to look at once you review the overall budget.

Elga – suggested to be careful so as to not duplicate efforts. Suggested committee look at what had been recommended in the past and look at what had happened.... What the plans have been and how much the plans have been followed.

## (paragraph approved by Jeryl) Provost's Report - Presented by Jeryl Mumpower, Interim Provost:

<u>Status of Admissions</u>: The campus has received approximately 2,500 freshmen deposits, which is over the target goal of 2,350. There has been an increase in Group 2 and 3 students and a decline in Group 1 students. Transfer deposits are currently at almost 500; 1400 is the goal. Applications are up almost 700 over last year. In Graduate admissions, the Masters applications are up; doctoral applications are level with last year. The campus needs to enroll about 200 more graduate masters students and the prospects look good. International applications are about even with last year.

PROVOST's report: uwm website – greetings from carlos. Carlos inauguration this past weekend.

Enrollment--- we are now up to approaching – will have over 2400 deposits from freshman class, our target was 2350 – looks like we'll be where we want to be in terms of incoming freshmen. Means that we will see some diminition in quality --- in good shape.

Elga – updae on survye – we have finished all interviews, will use next week or so for analysis. Completed 60 interviews. Each interview lasts at least an hour – very intensive.. have obtained some very interesting information – will take time to do entire analysis.... Will have something, a report, over the summer – will present on an informal basis --- and do a formal report to president and provost.

Proposed CAS Arts & Science Honors College: Judith Johnson, get title from david, he said it. We will discuss, then joan wick-p and jeanette altri will excuse themselves for the vote. Dean wick-p started – did overview of previous introduction. Having 4 common 4-credit courses, of which 3 are required... to give students a core academic experience.

College is open to any students in the univ for their first two years, they will get a certificate after two years and go on to their majors...

Once this is approved, have 2 tasks; one is curriculum oriented – need to get course approvals. Two is to setup committees to work out all the details of the proposal....

Jeanatte spoke: recruitment – we will work into a phase w/working with admissions on recruiting also – third thing.

Discussion: jeryl has spoken to pres about this – can report that pres is supportive of the proposal in its current form, with the reduction of student intake. In pres opinion, we will see a growth in honors programs – it will give us an oppor to see if we need to invest more resources into this program and other honors programs as well. He agrees with the president.

Frank asked about criteria for entering the program, dean w-p said Group 1 students, any group 1 can apply.... There is a language intensive option, would look for students interested in language.

Mccaff asked: where is the funding coming from? -- he understands squarely out of CAS budget...... Are resources also coming fromoutside? She said she never said that. Her advisory board is meeting Friday – she has one subcommittee devoted to raising money to the honors college only – hopes to get resources from that... not counting on raising money – HOPEs to raise money – but not counting on that --- using CAS budget – he asked if CAS faculty are aware that the money will come from CAS allocations/ she said yes they are The chairs and faculty council have discussed this...

Hoff - - something about money has to come from somewhere else...

She explained difference in first budget and this budget -- something about hiring a full time versus part time dean.. gives CAS the time to see how it works w/50 students, then they will enlarge to 100 if it works...

Kamberilis – why the 50 students? Budget is reduced 30% - but students are reduced by 50%? She said it was the president's suggestion... but it is a manageable group –

Jil – asked if they are getting \$ from the part time budget? She said no....

David asked: when you do the strategic planning process — - - there is a perception that this really didn't fit the model where ?? he suggested that the process was done top down... she was offended by that. She said this was proposed before she came here — It was not top down... She explained the process..

Sbk – his univ is burial ground of honors programs... right now we have proj renn going – big money going to it – he thinks it's a stupid program – we have pres scholar program – with large investment 3.5 mill a year and then proj ren at 550 mill a year. Now CAS is proposing this program, he fears it will compete with everything else, ie., money , faculty.... Maybe we should debate exactly what we are doing w/all the honors programs. Once we decide where we are going, we could look at the whole thing.....

Dean responded – she does not have a background w/univ - coordination aspect is a very important task for right away – to have a committee to coordinate w/office of undergrad studies and look at how these things mesh and help each other....

Judith Johnson: spoke to what the pres scholars and honors courses are doing now...they run 2 interdisciplinary courses- one each semester.... Etc..... we have a thriving honors program –

Rachel Baum asked a question – jeanette answered it – about tracking students?? Didn't catch it...

Spanier asked to clarify support – the answer is in a letter judith wrote and it was handed out last meeting. Something about competing with other what? Didn't get it...

Spanier said –

RECUSED from meeting for council discussion: Dean w-p, Judith johnson & Jeanette - - - recused themselves from the meeting for discussion... seth chaiken also...

Sbk – the emphasis is that the program is interdisciplinary – it is not clear in the proposal.... Sbk read from the  $2^{nd}$  para in the proposal.....

Jil – one issue – it's design – there is a sense that it is not owned by the cas faculty – that it's their program – it has an image issue – there is a perception that it is the dean's program – Strategy in advertising – people are not recognizing their own contributions to the program.

George k asked how many pres scholars? Sbk said about 700. Seems like competition between 2 programs doesn't have to be that way – since it is such a big program –

Elga – follow up to jil -- strategic planning committee was not top down – they all supported the idea – none looked at implications to the univ as a whole.....

Hoff - - we are fighting over nickels and dimes --- no \$\$ coming in for curriculum & education. this is a major budget thing. Usually you submit a proposal w/evidence that shows that it works.... This doesn't show that... Thinks this is the wrong time – for this program.

Henderson - what we're doing is not working....maybe we should explore this – take the gamble.

Spanier - need assessment of big picture – in conjunction w/other programs

Would like to see dean w-p work w/directors of the honors programs and undergraduate dean on this over the summer ---

Sbk - suggested to polish what we have before we start another one...

Elga - doesn't understand urgency of situation – not against idea in general --- but would like to see it more extensibly – global perspective.

MOTION: move that the UPC are insupport of notion of honors component on campus, but that we would also support futher coordination between undergraduate –

Need further meeting w/undergrad deans/pres scholors/honors diretors/ Come back w/proposal after that —

Request further coordination in terms of preexisting programs ----

Tim − two separate motions:

- 1) UPC endorses the proposed CAS honors college -
- 2) that we request that over the summer that the dean coordinate w/adjacent programs...

motion that the provost office review or coordinate - -

deans goals and principles for the honors college

MOTION: that there be coordination w/existing honors programs

That there be coordination –

A working group be constituted to examine the range of honors programs on campus and deliver a report to senate in September for the purpose of specific design and implementation...

Taske force that evaluates the

How the honors college would coordinate or enhance

\*\*wor

MOTION 2: A working group be constituted over the summer to prepare a comprehensive evaultion of existing and potential honors initiaves on campus. To design an integrated honors program for this campus. .

Vote on motion one: UPC supports the current proposal in its present form - PAPER Ballot: 4 yes, 9 no, 2 abstained.

MOTION 2--- 14 yes, and 1 NO

GENYSIS discusssion:

Lynn Videka - guest

Paulette told david in an email that they got a \$180,000 grant received last Thursday.

Lynn: potential to put us on the map in cancer/medical research -- this is new for this campus, we don't have a medical school...its an oppor to expand our medical research. It is expensive... we will be under pressure to look for funds...

Does think we're on the right track (energy, ambition, skills, success to date paulette has given has been great)

Thinks there's a positive educational impact. Challenges will be to sustain it at the seed level... Incentives for univ – we will finally get on the map in terms of health research...

Sbk--- will the center accommodate international scholars --- undergraduates – We need that – a center that is open minded – to accommodate undergraduates. Kathy said theee are undergradates and high school students working over there already.

Carolyn – what's the practical impact of being a center? Very interdisciplinary group – to be successful in the long run, it must be one – in order to have NIC certification, it needs to be an interdisciplinary group. And second, paulette's budget represents proposes linkages w/educational programs –

A center creates an entity that the office for research will be supporting... Has value in terms of helping us getting to long term goals and getting funding from dept of health.

Carolyn asked what does it cost the office for research? Kathy said all of the activities for center for genomis have been funded through special state appropriations --Campus has invested in equipment/machinery
No indirect money back yet because it is not a center yet.

Spanier asked about structure: lynn said – faculty are members of sph – director reports directly to the vp for research. Report to vp for research because the scope of resources needed and the risk involved – that's why...

Dean w-p --- six lines should be in CAS---? That got moved over there... wrong that vp did not insist that they be CAS lines – for the future, those lines should be part of general science.

Videka – these are appropriate to be biomedical science faculty also – it's not inappropriate for them to be where they are. It is disciplinary appropriate for them to be in biomedical sciences, but agrees they should be in general science.

SBK – with joan on that --

NCI not NIC)

CALL THE QUESTION:

MOTION is that upc endorses the establishment of the center......

VOTE: all in favor!!!!

Unanimous vote!

## **WORDING FOR MOTIONS:**

Motion (1): UPC supports the current proposal in its present form. Motion did NOT pass.

Motion (2): A working group be constituted over the summer to prepare a comprehensive

evaluation of existing and potential honors initiatives on campus and to design

an integrated honors program for this campus. Motion PASSED.