University at Albany Policy and Procedures on Misconduct in Research and Scholarship¹ 3

4I. Introduction

	_	_	
	I.A.	D_l	1: ~
.T	I. A.	PO	11 ('V
_	T . T .	T 0	

- Maintenance of high ethical standards in research and scholarship is a central and critical 6
- 7 responsibility of the University at Albany ("University"). In keeping with its commitment to
- 8 integrity in the conduct of research and scholarship, and in compliance with its obligations under
- 9 federal regulations, the University will promptly, thoroughly, competently, objectively and fairly
- respond to good faith allegations of misconduct in research or scholarship consistent with and in 10
- 11 the time limits prescribed by the procedures set forth herein; ensure that individuals responsible 12 for administering this policy and these procedures or participating in the proceedings governed
- hereby are free from bias and have no real or apparent conflicts of interest with either the parties 13
- involved or the subject matter of any allegation; and protect the rights, reputation and 14
- confidentiality of all involved individuals including the Respondent and good faith Complainant. 15

16 I.B. Scope

- 17 This policy and the associated procedures shall be applicable to faculty, researchers, staff, and
- 18 students. This policy is not limited to acts of misconduct committed while the individual was
- 19 affiliated with the University. While Federal regulations require that institutions applying for or
- receiving federal research funding have an established administrative process for reviewing, 20
- investigating, and reporting allegations of research misconduct, the following procedures outline 21
- 22 the University's process for responding to allegations of misconduct in all areas of research or
- 23 scholarship regardless of the funding source or whether the research or scholarship was funded
- 24 externally or internally. In the case of students, this policy shall not apply to academic course work
- 25 which is covered under the provisions of academic integrity as contained in the Undergraduate and
- 26 Graduate Bulletins.

27**II. Definitions**

- 28 **Allegation** means any written or oral statement or other evidence of possible misconduct A. 29 in research or scholarship made to an institutional official.
- 30 В. **Complainant** means a person who makes a good faith allegation of misconduct in 31 research or scholarship.
- 32 C. **Committee on Ethics in Research and Scholarship (CERS)** is the University Senate 33 committee whose responsibilities include reviewing the implementation of the policy and 34 procedures on institutional responses to allegations of misconduct in research and scholarship 35 and recommend to the University Senate revisions to the policy and procedures as needed.
 - D. **Conflict of Interest** means the real or apparent interference of one person's interests with

¹ This policy document implements the "Recommendations and Policy Framework on Responding to Misconduct in Research and Scholarship," approved by the University Senate on March 17, 2003. A first draft version was completed by the Office of the Vice President for Research in May 2003. The Committee on Ethics in Research and Scholarship completed a substantially revised version in summer 2004. The final version incorporated additional input that was received from the Council on Research, the Vice President for Research, the Office of the University Counsel, and a number of faculty during fall 2004, and passed as Senate bill 0405-02. It has been amended by Senate bills 00405-25, 0506-27. After further consultation, the policy was substantially revised by CERS during 2008-2010 and passed as Senate bill 09-10 xxx

- the interests of another person, where potential bias may occur due to prior or existing personal, professional or financial relationships.
- **E. Fabrication** means making up results and recording or reporting them.
- **F. Falsification** means manipulating research materials, equipment, processes, or changing or omitting data or results so that the research is not accurately represented in the research record.
- G. Good Faith means having an honest belief in the truth of one's allegation, testimony or action. An allegation, testimony or action is not in good faith if it is made with reckless disregard for or willful ignorance of facts that would disprove or negate the allegation, testimony or action, or is dishonest.
- **H. Inquiry** means information gathering and initial fact-finding to determine whether an allegation or apparent instance of misconduct warrants an investigation.
- **I. Inquiry Committee** means the committee that is charged with conducting an inquiry into an allegation of misconduct.
- J. Institutional Counsel means legal counsel who represents the University during
 misconduct proceedings.
- **K. Institutional Official** means the individual charged with the responsibility of responding to allegations of misconduct and with conducting all misconduct inquiries and investigations. The institutional official in cases of misconduct is the Research Integrity Officer.
- **L. Investigation** means the formal examination and evaluation of all relevant facts to determine if misconduct has occurred.
- **M. Investigation Committee** means the committee that is charged with conducting an investigation into an allegation of misconduct.
 - N. Misconduct in research and scholarship, hereafter referred to as "Misconduct," means fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, or other practices that seriously deviate from those that are commonly accepted within the academic community, including artistic expression, for proposing, conducting, reviewing, or reporting research or scholarship and includes misrepresentation of academic credentials or scholarship in proposing or securing awards, grants, or professional recognition. It does not include honest error or disagreements, honest differences in interpretations or judgments of data or disputes among collaborators about relative credit, or informal presentations, such as classroom lectures. For there to be a finding of misconduct it must be determined by a preponderance of the evidence that: (1) there was a significant departure from accepted practices of the relevant research or scholarly community and (2) the misconduct was intentional, knowing or reckless. Reckless means evincing serious disregard of or indifference to accepted scholarly practices although no harm is intended.
 - O. Office of Research Integrity (ORI) is the federal office located within the Office of Public Health and Science (OPHS) within the Office of the Secretary of Health and Human Services (OS) in the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) which oversees and directs **Public Health Service** (**PHS**) research integrity activities on behalf of the Secretary of Health and Human Services of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

- P. Plagiarism means the appropriation of another person's ideas, processes, results or words
 without giving appropriate credit.
- 79 **Q. Preponderance of the evidence** means proof by evidence that, compared with that opposing it, leads to the conclusion that the fact at issue is more probably true than not.
- R. Research means a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.
- S. Research Compliance Officer (RCO) in the Office of Regulatory Research Compliance (ORRC), or designee, is the individual delegated by the VPR with the responsibility to provide administrative support for all research misconduct proceedings and to assist the VPR to respond to allegations of research misconduct. A member of the ORRC shall be present at all inquiry or investigative committee meetings, interviews, and other proceedings regarding allegations of research misconduct.
- T. Research Integrity Officer (RIO) is the institutional official who has responsibilities related to the handling of allegations of research misconduct involving biomedical or behavioral research or research training that is supported by PHS. The VPR is the University's RIO except in the case of a conflict of interest, when the President, in consultation with Provost, will appoint an RIO for the case.
- 94 U. **Research Record** means any data, document, computer file, computer storage medium, or any other written or non-written account or object that reasonably may be expected to provide 95 96 evidence or information regarding the proposed, conducted or reported misconduct that 97 constitutes the subject of an allegation of misconduct. A research record includes, but is not 98 limited to, grant or contract applications, whether sponsored or not; grant or contract progress 99 reports; laboratory notebooks; notes; correspondence; electronic communication; videos; 100 photographs; X-ray or other film; slides; biological materials; computer files and printouts; manuscripts and publications; equipment use logs; laboratory procurement records; animal 101 facility records; and human and animal subject records and protocols. 102
- V. **Respondent** means the person against whom an allegation of misconduct in research or scholarship is directed or who is the subject of a misconduct proceeding.
- W. Retaliation means any action that adversely affects the reputation, employment or other
 institutional status of an individual involved in a misconduct proceeding because the individual
 has in good faith made an allegation of research misconduct or of inadequate institutional
 response thereto or has cooperated in good faith in a misconduct proceeding.
- 109 **X. Scholarship** means original contributions or artistic works which constitute advances or contributions to the individual's discipline or to practice in the field.
- 111 **Y. VPR** is the UAlbany Vice President for Research.

112III. Rights and Responsibilities

- 113 III.A. Office of the Vice President for Research
- As the RIO of the University, the VPR will have primary responsibility for implementation of the
- procedures set forth in this policy, and shall ensure that all individuals responsible for
- administering this policy and these procedures or participating in the proceedings governed

- hereby, including, but not limited to, the VPR and the CERS Chair, are free from bias and have no real or apparent conflicts of interest with either the parties involved or the subject matter of any allegation. The VPR will, in consultation with the CERS Chair, appoint the inquiry and
- investigation committees and shall take all reasonable steps to ensure an impartial and unbiased misconduct proceeding to the maximum extent practicable. The VPR shall select, in agreement
- with the CERS Chair, those conducting the inquiry or investigation on the basis of expertise that is
- pertinent to the matter and, prior to selection, shall screen them for any real or apparent personal,
- professional, or financial conflicts of interest with the Respondent, Complainant, potential
- witnesses, or others involved in the matter. Any such conflict which a reasonable person would
- consider to demonstrate potential bias shall disqualify the individual from selection.
- To the extent allowed by law, the VPR shall maintain the identity of Respondents and
- 128 Complainants securely and confidentially and shall not disclose any identifying information,
- except to: (1) those who need to know in order to carry out a thorough, competent, objective, and
- fair misconduct proceeding; and (2) the ORI as it conducts its review of the misconduct
- proceeding and any subsequent proceedings.
- To the extent allowed by law, any information obtained during the misconduct proceeding that
- might identify the subjects of research shall be maintained securely and confidentially and shall
- not be disclosed, except to those who need to know in order to carry out the misconduct
- proceeding.
- The VPR will assist inquiry and investigation committees and all University personnel in
- complying with these procedures and with applicable standards imposed by government or
- external funding sources. The VPR is also responsible for maintaining files of all documents and
- evidence and for the confidentiality and the security of the files.
- The VPR will report to ORI as required by regulation and keep ORI apprised of any developments
- during the course of the inquiry or investigation that may affect current or potential DHHS
- funding for the individual(s) under investigation or that PHS needs to know to ensure appropriate
- use of Federal funds and otherwise protect the public interest.
- The VPR, or designee, will, upon receipt of an allegation of misconduct, and in conjunction with
- the CERS Chair, make an initial assessment of whether the allegation warrants an inquiry. Upon
- receipt of a timely written objection from anyone who has been notified of the membership to any
- member of an inquiry or investigation committee on the basis of a real or apparent conflict of
- interest, the VPR shall promptly determine, in agreement with the CERS Chair, whether to replace
- the challenged member with a qualified substitute. The VPR is responsible for maintaining
- 150 confidentiality of the misconduct proceedings. Therefore, the VPR shall not disclose any
- information regarding the allegations, the proceedings, or the identity of individuals involved in
- the proceedings except as may necessary to the proper discharge of her/his responsibilities
- hereunder, or as required by law.

III.B. Respondent

154

- The Respondent shall be entitled to a prompt, thorough, competent, objective, and fair response to
- allegations of misconduct. The Respondent will be informed of the allegations if an inquiry is
- opened and notified in writing of the final determinations of any inquiry or investigation of the
- allegations and the resulting institutional actions, if any. The Respondent may be asked to provide
- testimony and will have the opportunity to present evidence to the inquiry committee. The
- Respondent will also have the opportunity to be interviewed by and present evidence to the
- investigation committee, to review the inquiry and investigation reports, and to have the advice of

178

179

180

181 182

199

- 162 counsel. Counsel for the Respondent, however, may not actively participate in the misconduct
 163 proceedings. If the Respondent is found not to have engaged in misconduct, the University will
 164 make a diligent effort to restore his or her reputation. The Respondent is expected to cooperate
 165 with the misconduct proceeding, and, except as may be necessary to respond fully to an allegation
 166 of misconduct or as appropriate to restoring his or her reputation after the conclusion of the
 167 proceedings, the Respondent is responsible for maintaining confidentiality of the misconduct
 168 proceedings and the documents and testimony generated as part of the proceedings.
- If the case becomes public, the University shall defend its decision by making public its process, its final determination, and its justification for that determination and any subsequent actions.
- The Respondent may, within five (5) calendar days of receipt of notice of the initiation of an inquiry or investigation, submit to the VPR or the CERS Chair a written objection that the VPR or the CERS Chair or any appointed member of an inquiry or investigation committee has a real or apparent conflict of interest and the basis thereof. (See IV.B.1)
- The Respondent may consult with the CERS chair about procedural concerns at any point in the proceedings.

III.C. Complainant

- The Complainant may be asked to provide testimony and will have the opportunity to present evidence to the inquiry committee. The Complainant will ordinarily have an opportunity to be interviewed by the inquiry and investigation committees, to review his/her allegations or testimony as contained in the inquiry and investigation reports, to be informed of the results of the inquiry and investigation and of the final determination, and to be protected from retaliation.
- 183 The Complainant is expected to make allegations in good faith and fully cooperate with the misconduct proceeding. The Complainant is responsible for maintaining confidentiality of the 184 185 misconduct proceedings and of the documents and testimony generated as part of the proceedings. Therefore, the Complainant shall not disclose any information regarding the allegations, the 186 187 proceedings, or the identity of individuals involved in the proceedings. After the final 188 determination the Complainant may, at the discretion of the President, be given access to the full record of the review process. In the case of federally funded research, confidentiality is governed 189 190 by ORI regulations. Otherwise, after the final determination the Complainant is not constrained by 191 confidentiality regarding his or her allegation or testimony or the final determination.
- The Complainant may, within five (5) calendar days of receipt of notice of the initiation of an inquiry or investigation, submit to the VPR or the CERS Chair a written objection that the VPR or the CERS Chair or any appointed member of the investigation committee (or, if the membership has been revealed to the Complainant, the inquiry committee) has a real or apparent conflict of interest and the basis thereof.
- The Complainant may consult with the CERS chair about procedural concerns at any point in the proceedings.

III.D. Office of University Counsel

The Office of the University Counsel shall serve as legal advisor to the University, the VPR, the RCO and the inquiry and investigation committees, as needed. Upon request of the VPR, a member of the Office of the University Counsel shall attend meetings, interviews, and other proceedings during the inquiry and/or investigation, but will not actively participate in such meetings, interviews or other proceedings.

IV. Procedure

207Complainant, the Respondent, and other affected individuals will be protected to the maximum extent 208possible, consistent with the law, University policy, state and federal regulations, and effective and 209efficient proceedings. All members of inquiry and investigation committees and other participants, such 210as staff or advisors to the inquiry and investigation committees must observe confidentiality of the 211proceedings and any information and documents reviewed as a part thereof. Outside of official contexts, 212they must not discuss the matter with the Respondent, Complainant, witnesses, or anyone not authorized 213by the Vice President for Research. The Vice President for Research should share information with 214other university officials only in exceptional situations or as called for in this policy or SUNY policies. 215The President may notify or consult with other university officials as necessary.

IV.A. Allegations of Misconduct

- IV.A.1. All members of the University community are expected to report observed, suspected, or apparent misconduct. All allegations of research misconduct from sources inside or outside the University will be considered.
 - IV.A.2. Allegations should be directed to the VPR, the RCO, or the CERS Chair. However, any member of the University community who receives an allegation of misconduct shall promptly forward it to the VPR. While the University will fully consider oral allegations, written allegations are ordinarily more useful.
 - IV.A.3. The VPR will promptly and fully inform the CERS Chair of any report or evidence of possible misconduct that has been received.
 - IV.A.4. If an individual is concerned about possible misconduct or is unsure whether an incident qualifies as misconduct, he or she may contact the VPR, the RCO or the CERS Chair to discuss the suspected misconduct informally and confidentially.
 - IV.A.5. To the extent practicable, or as otherwise required by law, the identity of Complainants who wish to remain anonymous will be kept confidential.
 - IV.A.6. Following receipt of an allegation, the VPR shall review any record of prior allegations, inquiries, and/or investigations involving the Respondent. Such records may be included in any further review of the current allegation. If both the VPR and CERS Chair agree that the allegations are substantially identical to a case that has been the subject of an earlier Investigation, without providing significant new evidence, the allegations shall be dismissed with reference to the earlier disposition.

IV.B. Preliminary Assessment of Allegations

- IV.B.1. In cases where the VPR has a real or apparent conflict of interest, reports of suspected misconduct will be referred to the RIO designated by the President. In cases where the CERS Chair has a real or apparent conflict of interest, the Chair of the Senate shall designate another member of CERS. The designees will then act in the place of the Vice President for Research and/or the CERS Chair, as applicable, under this policy.
- IV.B.2. Upon receiving an allegation of research misconduct, the VPR, in consultation with the CERS Chair, will immediately assess the allegation to determine whether it:
 - a) falls within the definition of misconduct in research or scholarship; and
 - b) is sufficiently credible and specific so that potential evidence of research misconduct may be identified.
 - c) if relevant, falls within the limitation period (see Section VIII. F).

- IV.B.3. An inquiry is warranted if an allegation falls within the definition of misconduct as provided herein and is sufficiently credible and specific so that potential evidence of misconduct may be identified. If either the VPR or the CERS Chair determines that an allegation warrants an inquiry, then the VPR shall, within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of an allegation, initiate an inquiry, or as appropriate, an investigation.²
- IV.B.4. The VPR shall prepare a written record of the decision of whether to initiate an inquiry or investigation, as the case may be, which shall be made part of the record of the proceeding.
- IV.B.5. If no inquiry or investigation is initiated, the VPR shall notify the Complainant that insufficient cause was found to pursue the allegations.

IV.C. Inquiry

IV.C.1. The purpose of an inquiry is to determine whether an allegation warrants an investigation. An investigation is warranted if the allegation falls within the definition of misconduct in research and scholarship, and preliminary information-gathering indicates that the allegation may have substance. The inquiry phase may draw on testimony or written statements of the Complainant, Respondent, and key witnesses, if necessary, to determine whether there is sufficient evidence of possible misconduct to warrant an investigation. An inquiry does not require a full review of all the evidence related to the allegation. The purpose of the inquiry is not to reach a final conclusion about whether misconduct occurred or who was responsible. The inquiry shall be completed with sixty (60) calendar days of the appointment of the inquiry committee.

IV.C.2. To initiate an inquiry, the VPR shall:

- a. within ten (10) calendar days of the determination to initiate an inquiry, provide a written notice to the Respondent which shall include a description of all allegations of research misconduct made against the Respondent, a list of the members of the inquiry committee, an explanation and documentation of the University's policies regarding allegations of misconduct, and which shall inform Respondent of his/her obligations of cooperation and confidentiality;
- b. take all reasonable and practical steps necessary to obtain custody, inventory, and secure all original research records and evidence relevant to the allegation at the time or before the Respondent is notified of an allegation. University students, faculty and staff including but not limited to the Complainant and Respondent, shall promptly provide all available records and data, including primary research material identified as relevant to the allegation. Copies of such records and data will be returned to individuals who supply the same to the VPR except for materials not amenable to copying. All reasonable steps, consistent with time constraints and other obligations imposed by federal regulations, shall be taken to eliminate or minimize any disruption that might be created for ongoing research efforts by such requirements to produce documentation;
- c. appoint, within ten (10) calendar days after the determination to initiate an inquiry, and in consultation with the CERS Chair, an inquiry committee consisting of normally three (3), but not more than five (5) individuals who do not have real or apparent conflicts of interest in the proceeding. They may be from within or outside the institution. The inquiry committee, which shall elect its own chair, shall include at least one (1) member of CERS, but shall not include the CERS Chair or the VPR;
- d. provide a written notice to the Complainant that an inquiry has been initiated, which shall

² Sometimes, however, when there is sufficient evidence already at hand, for example as the result of an audit of a clinical trial, the University may move directly to the investigation stage. In such instance, the VPR shall prepare a written record of the decision to move directly to the investigation phase, which shall be made part of the proceeding record.

- include a copy of the University's policies regarding allegations of misconduct and which shall inform the Complainant of her/his obligations of cooperation, good faith and confidentiality;
 - e. prepare a charge for the inquiry committee that describes the allegations and any related issues identified during the allegation assessment and that states the purpose of the inquiry.
 - IV.C.3. The Respondent may submit a written objection to any appointed member of the Inquiry Committee based on bias or conflict of interest within five (5) calendar days of notice. Upon receipt of such objection the Vice President for Research will within five (5) calendar days determine in consultation with the CERS Chair whether to replace any challenged member, and if so determined, appoint a qualified substitute.
 - IV.C.4. If the Respondent admits to any material aspect of the allegation(s) of misconduct, he or she should be asked to sign a statement attesting to the occurrence and the extent of the misconduct. An admission of misconduct will automatically terminate the inquiry process and result in the Vice President for Research recommending an investigation to the President. The investigation is not limited to the admitted misconduct. For federally funded research, also see V. D.
 - IV.C.5. At the inquiry committee's first meeting, the committee will elect a chair and the RCO will review with the committee the charge as given in IV.C.1, clarifying the difference between an inquiry and investigation committee, discuss the allegations and any related issues, review the appropriate procedures for the conduct of the inquiry, answer any questions raised by the committee, and otherwise assist the committee in the planning and conduct of the inquiry.
 - IV.C.6. The chair of the Inquiry Committee may consult with the VPR or the CERS Chair regarding the inquiry. Any member of the committee concerned about procedures or process should first consult with the chair and, if the issue is not resolved, with the VPR or CERS Chair. In this instance, the VPR will adjudicate the issue in consultation with the chair of the committee, the committee member, and the CERS Chair.
 - IV.C.7. In the conduct of the inquiry, the committee may interview the Complainant, the Respondent, and other key witnesses if necessary, and examine relevant records and other evidence. Interviews of all witnesses interviewed by the committee shall be transcribed or recorded. The committee shall evaluate the testimony and other evidence and shall determine whether there is sufficient evidence of possible misconduct to warrant an investigation.
 - IV.C.8. The committee shall ordinarily complete its inquiry and submit a final report of its findings to the VPR within twenty one (21) calendar days of its appointment unless the VPR grants an extension for good cause. The VPR shall prepare a written record of the decision of whether to grant an extension which shall be made part of the record of the proceeding. The VPR shall notify the CERS Chair, the Respondent, and the Complainant of the reasons for any delay.
 - IV.C.9. The committee shall prepare and submit to the VPR and the CERS Chair an inquiry report that includes: the name and title of the committee members and experts, if any, the allegations, the sponsor support, if any, a summary of the inquiry process used, a list of the evidence reviewed, summaries of any interviews, a description of the evidence in sufficient detail to demonstrate whether an investigation is warranted, and the committee's determination as to whether an investigation is recommended and whether any other actions should be taken if an investigation is not recommended.

- IV.C.10. The VPR shall, within five (5) calendar days of receipt of the report from the committee, provide the Respondent with a copy of the report for comment and rebuttal, and will provide the Complainant with the inquiry committee's recommendation and the Complainant's allegation and testimony as contained in the report. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of their receipt of the report or the portions thereof as the case may be, the Respondent and the Complainant may provide their comments to the committee. The comments shall be made part of the record of the proceeding, and the committee may attach an addendum to its report based upon the comments as appropriate. The Respondent will be provided with a copy of the addendum.
- IV.C.11. Within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of comments on the report by the Complainant and Respondent, the committee shall submit a final report along with a copy of the proceeding record of the proceeding to the VPR who, in turn, shall promptly submit the report and proceeding record to the University President and the CERS Chair.
- IV.C.12. The University President will, within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of the final inquiry report and proceeding record, make a final determination, in writing, of whether the findings of the committee provide sufficient evidence of possible misconduct to justify conducting an investigation. The President may also return the report to the inquiry committee with a request for further information or analysis. In such event, the President's reasons therefore shall be set forth in writing and included in the proceeding record. The time for the President's determination hereunder may be extended by the President for good cause and the reason therefore recorded in writing in the proceeding record. If the President does not concur with the inquiry committee, the president shall consult with the inquiry committee and with CERS before finalizing the decision, providing CERS with adequate documentation of the case. The President's decision marks the end of the inquiry which is to be completed within sixty (60) days, as stated (IV.C.1.).
- IV.C.13. The inquiry is completed when the President makes the determination of whether the findings of the committee provide sufficient evidence of possible misconduct to justify conducting an investigation. The VPR shall thereafter notify the Respondent and the Complainant in writing of the President's determination, and shall notify the CERS Chair.
- IV.C.14. If relevant, the VPR, in consultation with the chair of CERS, will determine whether the Complainant's report of suspected misconduct was made in good faith, and proceed as noted in section VIII D.

IV.D. Investigation

- IV.D.1. The purpose of the investigation is to explore the allegations of misconduct in detail, to examine the evidence in depth, and to determine specifically whether the Respondent has committed misconduct. The investigation may also determine whether there are additional instances of possible misconduct that would justify broadening the scope beyond the initial allegations.
- IV.D.2. To initiate an investigation, the VPR shall:
 - a) within ten (10) calendar days of the determination to initiate an investigation, provide a written notice to the Respondent which shall include a description of all allegations of research misconduct made against the Respondent, a copy of the inquiry report and proceeding record, the President's determination, a list of the members of the investigation committee, an explanation and documentation of the University's policies regarding allegations of misconduct, and a description of the Respondent's obligations of cooperation and confidentiality.

- b) take all reasonable and practical steps necessary to obtain custody, inventory, and secure any additional original research records and evidence relevant to the allegation at the time or before the Respondent is notified that an investigation has been initiated. The need for additional sequestration of records may occur for any number of reasons, including the institution's decision to investigate additional allegations not considered during the inquiry stage or the identification of records during the inquiry process that had not been previously secured. University students, faculty and staff including, but not limited to, the Complainant and Respondent, shall promptly provide all available records and data, including primary research material identified as relevant to the allegation. Copies of such records and data will be returned to individuals who supply the same to the VPR except for materials not amenable to copying. All reasonable steps, consistent with time constraints and other obligations imposed by federal regulations, shall be taken to eliminate or minimize any disruption that might be created for ongoing research efforts by such requirements to produce documentation;
 - c) appoint within ten (10) calendar days after the determination to initiate an investigation, and in consultation with the CERS Chair, an investigation committee, which may include one or more members of the inquiry committee, consisting of no fewer than three (3) individuals who do not have real or apparent conflicts of interest in the proceeding and have the necessary expertise to evaluate the evidence and issues related to the allegation, interview the principals and key witnesses, conduct the inquiry, and they may be individuals from within or outside the institution. The investigation committee, which shall elect its own chair, shall include at least one (1) member of CERS, but shall not include the CERS Chair or the VPR. The Respondent may submit a written objection to any appointed member of the Investigation Committee based on perceived bias or conflict of interest within 10 calendar days of notice. Upon receipt of such an objection the VPR will promptly determine in consultation with the CERS Chair whether to replace any challenged member(s) and if so determined will appoint a qualified substitute;
 - d) provide a written notice to the Complainant that an investigation has been initiated which shall include a description of the Complainant's obligations of cooperation, good faith and confidentiality and a list of the committee membership. The Complainant may submit a written objection to any appointed member of the Investigation Committee based on perceived bias or conflict of interest within 10 calendar days of notice. Upon receipt of such an objection the VPR will promptly determine, in agreement with the CERS Chair, whether to replace any challenged member(s), and if so determined will appoint a qualified substitute;
 - e) prepare a charge for the investigation committee that describes the allegations and any related issues identified during the inquiry and that states that the purpose of the investigation.
 - IV.D.3. The investigation, including the final determination of the President must be completed within 120 calendar days of its initiation unless circumstances clearly warrant a longer period. If the investigation takes longer than 120 calendar days to complete, the Respondent and Complainant shall be notified of the delay and the record of the investigation shall include documentation of the reasons for exceeding the 120-day period
 - IV.D.4. At the investigation committee's first meeting, the committee will elect a chair and the RCO will review the charge with the committee, discuss the allegations and any related issues, review the appropriate procedures for the conduct of the investigation, answer any questions raised by the committee and otherwise assist the committee in the planning and conduct of the investigation.

- IV.D.5. In the conduct of the investigation, the committee ordinarily will interview the Complainant, the Respondent and other key witnesses and examine relevant records and other evidence. Interviews of all witnesses interviewed by the committee shall be transcribed or recorded. The committee shall evaluate the testimony and other evidence and shall determine whether, based upon a preponderance of the evidence, misconduct has occurred.
- IV.D.6. The chair of the Investigation Committee, the Complainant, and the Respondent may consult with the VPR or the CERS Chair regarding the investigation. Any member of the committee concerned about procedures or process should first consult with the chair and, if the issue is not resolved, with the VPR or CERS Chair. In this instance, the VPR will adjudicate the issue in consultation with the chair of the committee, the committee member, and the CERS Chair.
- IV.D.7. The committee shall ordinarily complete its investigation and submit a final report of its findings to the VPR within sixty (60) calendar days of its appointment unless the VPR grants an extension of time for good cause. The VPR shall prepare a written record of the decision of whether to grant an extension which shall be made part of the record of the proceeding. The CERS Chair, the Complainant, and the Respondent will be notified of the reasons of the delay.
- IV.D.8. The committee shall prepare and submit to the VPR an investigation report that shall include: a description of the policies and procedures under which the investigation was conducted; a description of how and from whom information relevant to the investigation was obtained; a statement of the findings of the investigation, including whether or not misconduct has been found for each allegation, and an explanation of the basis for the finding, recommendations of the committee for correcting the public record, and a description of any recommended sanction and/or administrative actions to be taken by the institution. The report also shall include the actual text or an accurate summary of the testimony of any individual(s) found to have engaged in misconduct.
- IV.D.9. The VPR shall, within five (5) calendar days of receipt of the report from the committee, provide the Respondent with a copy of the report for comment and rebuttal, and will provide the Complainant with the Complainant's allegation and testimony as contained in the report. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of their receipt of the report or the portions thereof as the case may be, the Respondent and the Complainant will provide their comments to the committee. The comments shall be made part of the record of the proceeding, and the committee may attach an addendum based upon the comments as appropriate. The Respondent will receive a copy of the addendum.
- IV.D.10. Within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of comments on the report by the Complainant and Respondent, the committee shall submit a final report along with a copy of the proceeding record to the VPR who, in turn, shall promptly submit the report and proceeding record to the University President, and the CERS Chair along with a written recommendation.
- IV.D.11. The University President will, within ten (10) calendar days of receipt of the final investigation report and proceeding record, make a final determination, in writing, based upon a preponderance of the evidence, whether to accept the investigation report, its findings, and the recommended institutional action. The President may also return the report to the investigation committee with a request for further fact-finding or analysis. The time for such determination may be extended by the President for good cause, and the reason therefore shall be recorded in writing in the proceeding record.
- IV.D.12. If the President does not concur with the final conclusions of the Investigation

- Committee, the President shall consult with the investigation committee and CERS before finalizing the determination, providing CERS with adequate documentation of the case. The investigation is completed when the President makes a determination, based upon a preponderance of the evidence, of whether to accept the investigation report, its findings and the recommended institutional action. The VPR shall thereafter notify the Respondent and the Complainant in writing of thee President's determination, and shall notify such other institutional officials of the determination as may be appropriate.
- IV.D.13. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of written notification of a finding of misconduct, the Respondent may appeal to the President. The appeal must be in writing. If the President elects to vacate the determination, the President shall consult with the investigation committee and CERS before issuing a decision, providing CERS with adequate documentation of the case. The President shall issue a decision within thirty (30) calendar days, affirming or vacating the determination of research misconduct, with the option to reopen the investigation.
- IV.D.14. Certain notifications shall occur, as stated in VI.
- IV.D.15. The VPR will undertake appropriate diligent efforts to restore the reputation of the Respondent if an allegation of misconduct is unsubstantiated, and to protect the Complainant, as noted in section VIII B and C.

IV.E. Institutional Administrative Actions

- IV.E.1. The University will take appropriate administrative actions against a Respondent when an allegation of misconduct against them has been substantiated. If the President determines that the alleged misconduct is substantiated by the findings, he or she will decide on the appropriate actions to be taken, after consultation with the CERS Chair and with such institutional officials as he or she deems appropriate. The President shall reveal to the Investigation Committee and to the Chair of CERS any additional information relevant to the case or the Respondent as might be required for effective consultation. Disciplinary sanctions must be commensurate with the nature/severity of the proven allegations. Such administrative actions may include, but shall not be limited to:
 - a) appropriate steps to correct the research record;
 - b) public disclosure
 - c) counseling and/or disciplinary action in accordance with the provisions of the applicable collective bargaining agreement;
 - d) withdrawal or correction of all pending or published abstracts and papers emanating from the research where research misconduct was found;
 - e) removal of the responsible person from the particular project;
 - f) special monitoring of future work; and
 - g) restitution of funds as appropriate.
 - h) an entry to the personnel file

IV.E.2. The University will take appropriate administrative actions against any person found to have violated the confidentiality provisions of this policy. Such administrative actions may include, but shall not be limited to, counseling and/or disciplinary action in accordance with the provisions of the applicable collective bargaining agreement.

524V. Reporting to ORI

A. A decision to initiate an investigation involving allegations of misconduct involving

federally-funded research and proposals submitted to federal agencies for research funding, must be reported in writing to the Director, ORI, on or before the date the investigation begins and to the Research Foundation of the State University of New York. At a minimum, the notification should include the name of the person(s) against whom the allegations have been made, the general nature of the allegation as it relates to the federal sponsor's definition of research misconduct, and the sponsor applications or grant number(s) involved. The VPR must also be notified of the final outcome of the investigation and must be provided with a copy of the investigation report. Any significant variations from the provisions of the institutional policies and procedures should be explained in any reports submitted to the sponsor.

- **B.** If the University intends to terminate an inquiry or investigation for any reason without completing all relevant requirements of the sponsor's regulation, the VPR will submit a report of the planned termination to the sponsor, including a description of the reasons for the proposed termination.
- C. If the University determines that it will not be able to complete the investigation in 120 days, the VPR will submit to the sponsor a written request for an extension that explains the delay, reports on the progress to date, estimates the date of completion of the report, and describes other necessary steps to be taken. If the request is granted, the VPR will file periodic progress reports as requested by the ORI.
- D. When Federal funding or applications for funding are involved and an admission of research misconduct is made, the VPR will contact ORI for consultation and advice. Normally, the individual making the admission will be asked to sign a statement attesting to the occurrence and extent of misconduct. When the case involves external funds, the institution cannot accept an admission of research misconduct as a basis for closing a case or not undertaking an investigation without prior approval from ORI.
- **E.** The Research Integrity Officer will notify ORI at any stage of the inquiry or investigation if:
 - 1. There is an immediate health hazard involved;
 - 2. There is an immediate need to protect Federal funds or equipment;
 - 3. There is an immediate need to protect the interests of the person(s) making the allegations or of the individual(s) who is the subject of the allegations as well as his/her co-investigators and associates, if any;
 - 4. It is probable that the alleged incident is going to be reported publicly; or
 - 5. The allegation involves a public health sensitive issue, *e.q.* a clinical trial; or
 - 6. There is a reasonable indication of possible criminal violation. In this instance, the institution must inform the sponsor within 24-hours of obtaining that information.

562VI. Other Notifications

563In consultation with CERS and with the recommendation of the VPR, the President shall make the final 564determination as to which concerned parties should be notified of the President's final determination. In 565addition to the Respondent and Complainant, typically this would include the Investigation Committee 566members, Inquiry Committee members, the Research Foundation of SUNY (the Office of the General 567Counsel and Secretary) and all persons known to have knowledge of the investigation (i.e., any one who 568has been interviewed or otherwise informed of the allegations). Furthermore, in case there is a finding 569of misconduct, the VPR shall notify the Provost or equivalent official. In addition, appropriate members 570of the research and scholarly community should be informed, so as to correct the public record. The 571University will also notify relevant federal or other external granting agencies and partnering 572institutions, where applicable and in accordance with regulatory requirements. After the final

573determination the Complainant may, at the discretion of the President, be given the full record of the 574review process or the sanction, as well as the final determination. The President shall confer with the 575CERS chair before denying access to the full record or sanction.

576VII. Annual Report to CERS

577The VPR shall provide an annual report to CERS with information on misconduct proceedings. The 578report will contain no specific information on individuals, but will contain sufficient information to 579inform a substantive conversation in CERS about the policy and procedural issues that may have arisen 580in the course of the review process. The report shall also contain a summary of training of CERS 581members and of University researchers. Prior year reports shall be provided to the new CERS 582committee. The outgoing CERS chair and VPR shall provide to the new CERS chair all information 583available to the outgoing chair about all ongoing cases.

584VIII. Other Considerations

VIII.A. Termination of Institutional Employment or Resignation Prior to Completing Inquiry or Investigation

- VIII.A.1. The termination of the Respondent's institutional employment, by resignation or otherwise, before or after an allegation of possible misconduct has been reported, will not preclude or terminate the misconduct procedures.
- VIII.A.2. If the Respondent, without admitting to the misconduct, elects to resign his or her position prior to the initiation of an inquiry, but after an allegation has been reported, or during an inquiry or investigation, the inquiry or investigation will proceed.
- VIII.A.3. If the Respondent refuses to participate in the process after resignation, the committee will use its best efforts to reach a conclusion concerning the allegations, noting in its report the Respondent's failure to cooperate and its effect on the committee's review of all the evidence.

VIII.B. Restoration of the Respondent's Reputation

If the institution finds no misconduct and ORI concurs, after consulting with the Respondent, the VPR will undertake reasonable efforts to restore the Respondent's reputation. Depending on the particular circumstances, the VPR should consider notifying those individuals aware of or involved in the investigation of the final outcome, publicizing the final outcome in forums in which the allegation of scientific misconduct was previously publicized, or expunging all reference to the scientific misconduct allegation from the Respondent's personnel file. Any institutional actions to restore the Respondent's reputation must first be approved by the President.

VIII.C. Protection of the Whistleblower and Others

- VIII.C.1. Regardless of whether the institution or ORI, as the case may be, determines that scientific misconduct occurred, the VPR will undertake reasonable efforts to protect Complainants who made allegations of misconduct in good faith and others who cooperate in good faith with inquiries and investigations of such allegations.
- VIII.C.2. Upon completion of an investigation, the President will determine, after consulting with the Complainant, what steps, if any, are needed to restore the position or reputation of the Complainant. The VPR is responsible for implementing any steps the President approves. The VPR will also take appropriate steps during the inquiry and investigation to prevent any retaliation against the whistleblower.

615

621

VIII.D. Allegations Not Made in Good Faith

- If relevant, the President will determine whether the Complainant's allegations of misconduct were made in good faith. If an allegation was not made in good faith, the President will determine
- whether any administrative action should be taken against the Complainant. Such administrative
- actions may include, but shall not be limited to, counseling and/or disciplinary action in
- accordance with the provisions of the applicable collective bargaining agreement.

VIII.E. Interim Administrative Actions

- Institutional officials will take interim administrative actions, as appropriate, to protect Federal funds and ensure that the purposes of the Federal financial assistance are carried out.
- 1 1

624 VIII.F. Limitations Period

An investigation of allegations of misconduct regarding scholarly contributions or research data 625 disseminated to the scholarly community more than six (6) years before the date of the allegation 626 627 will not be pursued if the Inquiry determines that a thorough and fair investigation would require 628 unpublished data and/or research records that are more than six years old. This limitation does not 629 apply if the University, in consultation with the funding agency, if any, determines that the alleged 630 misconduct, if it occurred, could possibly have a substantial adverse effect on the health or safety of 631 the public. In cases involving Public Health Service support, the applicable federal regulations will be 632 followed.

633IX. Record Retention

- After completion of a case and all ensuing related actions, the VPR will prepare a complete file, including the records of any inquiry or investigation and copies of all documents and other materials furnished to the VPR or committees. The VPR will keep the file after completion of the case to permit later assessment of the case. ORI or other authorized DHHS personnel will be given access to
- the records upon request.

639