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# STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 

1400 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12222

## UNIVERSITY SENATE

## DATE: November 5, 1979

TIME: 3:30 p.m.
LOCATION: Campus Center Assembly Hall

## AGEND A

1. Approval of Minutes
2. Council \& Committee Reports
3. New Business:

> 3.1 Proposal to Convert the Interdisciplinary Undergraduate Major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics to a Universitym offered Major
3.2 Other
4. Adjournment

# STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222 

Minutes of November 5, 1979

ABSENT: R. Albrecht; H. Bakhru; W. Baum; F. Beharriell; E. Christensen;
S. Coplon; H. Desfosses; R. Farrell; N. Gelfand; R. Gibson;
N. Gottschalk; R. Greene; J. Hartigan; C. Izzard; G. Klima;
R. Koff; R. Kupetsky; E. McLaren; R. Nirenberg; F. Pogue;
G. Purrington; A. Roberts; E. Scatton; J. Scott; D. Shub;
H. Staley; B. Wakin; P. Wallace; C. Weinstock; L. Welch

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Kevin Burke.

1. Approval of Minutes

Approval of the October Minutes was postponed until the next meeting.
2. Council \& Committee Reports
2.1 Written reports were submitted by the Executive Committee, the Council on Educational Policy, the Undergraduate Academic Council, and the Library Council.
2.2 Executive Committee Report - The following names were added to the list of appointments: Professor Donn Byrne (Psychology) to the Council on Research; Ann Latta (Library) to the Council on Academic Freedom and Ethics; Ellen Darling (Library) to the University Community Council.

The list of recommended appointments was approved.
2. 3 Undergraduate Academic Council - Senator Cannon, Chairperson of the Council, drew the Senators' attention to item 3 of the report.
2.4 Library Council - In response to a question, Senator Vaillancourt indicated that the new policy does take into account sufficient notification to faculty that books are overdue.
2.5 Student Affairs Council - Senator Lafayette indicated that the Council will be considering the alcohol policy at its next meeting.
2. 6 Research Council - Senator Vonnegut noted that the Council has taken action on several requests for waivers from the conflict-of-interest policy and is also considering a report on the implementation of "A-21".

FROM: Kevin Burke, Chairperson Executive Committee

## For Information

1. The Comittee discussed a memorandum from a faculty member regarding an interpretation of the Guidelines Concerning Promotion and Continuing Appointments dealing with faculty members voting while on sabbatical leave. After some discussion it was agreed to refer the matter to the office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs in order for the Vice President to investigate whether or not this matter falls under the jurisdiction of the union contract. The Vice President will report to the Executive Committee at its next meeting.

## For Action

1. The Committee recommends the appointment of Neil Gelfand (Undergraduate Student) to the Council on Academic Freedom and Ethics
2. The Committee recommends the appointment of David Pologie (Undergraduate Student) to the Undergraduate Academic Council.
3. The Committee recommends the appointment of Cindy Mendelson (Undergraduate Student) to the Council on Educational Policy.

FROM: $\quad$ Sung Bok Kim, Chairperson
Council on Educational Policy

## For Information

After studying State Education and SUNY policy, and after surveying calendar practices on other campuses, the EPC has approved calendars for 19801981 and 1981-1982 based upon the following guidelines:

1. Both fall and spring semesters will be shortened by retaining the 55 minute instructional hour. This pattern is needed in the fall, and the Council's consensus is that the same pattern should prevail for both semesters.
2. The fall semester will begin after Labor Day, will suspend classes as usual for Rosh Hashana, Yom Kippur, and Thanksgiving, and will end before Christmas.
3. The spring semester will begin the last week in January, will suspend classes for one week in mid-March and for four days in mid-April during Easter/Passover, and will hold Commencement on the fourth Sunday in May.

Anong the reasons for these guidelines are the following:

1. SUNY and SED policy define the academic year as 30 weeks of instruction including examinations. This translates into an average of $12 \frac{1}{2}$ hours of instruction and examination per credit each semester for two semesters. Campuses in the private sector have, for years, structured their calendars consistent with this standard.
2. A growing number of SUNY campuses are moving toward the calendar pattern here recommended by the EPC, and a large number of independent colleges are already on it. For example, the Hudson-Mohawk Association of Colleges in the Albany area is recommending this common calendar pattern to enhance cross-registration opportunities for students.
3. There is an overwhelming faculty and student consensus, based upon both educational and personal reasons, that the fall semester should begin after Labor Day and end by Christmas. In order to accomplish this, it is necessary to schedule a 55 -minute instructional hour in most fall semesters.
4. Regarding the spring semester, an increasing number of other campuses are beginning in late January and ending in mid-May. The arguments for a late January beginning are greater energy savings, more adminis." trative "turn-around" time, and enhanced opportunity for entering new students. On the other end, the semester can end a little earlier because the 55minute instructional hour: gains time for us, and by doing so, we assist student summer employment.
(

FROM: Harold Cannon, Chairperson Undergraduate Academic Council

1. The Undergraduate Academic Council has approved revised degree requirements for the major in geography.
2. The Council has approved changes in the structured second fields in biology, Chinese language, Chinese studies, and women's studies.
3. The Conmittee on Liberal Education and the UAC have agreed to interpret the last sentence of Section I-A of the Report of the Special Committee on Undergraduate Education (Senate Bill No. 197879-15) as 300 volunteers for the fall semester of 1980 and none in the 1981 fall semester.
4. The Council is reviewing departmental honors programs and has agreed that any programs approved this year or currently existing will be given a set time (to be determined) to change their program to then be consistent with any approved guidelines.

## For Action

1. Bill No. 197980-07 (Proposal to Convert the Interdisciplinary Undergraduate Major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics to a University-offered Major)

FROM: David Gross, Chairperson University Community Council

1. The Council has appointed members to the Public Safety Advisory Committee, the Faculty Handbook Committee, the Commencement Committee, and the Parking Reappeals Committee.
2. In addition, the Council has established three new standing committees: a Campus Facilities Advisory Committee, a Communitymuniversity Relations Committee, and an ad hoc Parking Policy Review Committee.
3. The Council's next meeting will be on November $2,1979$.

## LIBRARY COUNCIL

REPORT OF OCTOBER 15. 1979 MEETING

The Council agreed to act as a committee of the whole for the screening of nominees for the 1980 Chancellor's Awards for Excellence in Librarianship. The Council will seek a statement about each candidate from the Library's Promotion and Tenure Committee which will also rank the candidates. The files of all candidates will then be studies by the Council which will make its recommendations for a maximum of two persons.

The "Proposed Policy on Circulation and Fines" which was distributed at the Council's meeting of September 15 th was discussed and was approved unanimously by the Council. This policy is to be implemented as soon as it can be properly publicized and as soon as the circulation desks and the circulation computer system can be geared to function appropriately. Under the new policy, faculty will be given a lengthened circulation period but will be charged fines for books overdue beyond that period. Regular circulation periods of 14 days for students and 90 days for Ph.D. students and staff will be established, with fines for overdues but with renewals allowed. Recall and reserve fines are not changed by the new policy.

## $i$



## UNIVERSITY SENATE

State university of new york at albany

Major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council November 5, 1979

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That the facultyminitiated interdisciplinary major in computer science and applied mathematics be converted to a universitymoffered major as of the spring 1980 semester with the following degree requirements:

Computer Science and Applied Mathematics
B.S. Degree (combined major and second field requirements): A minimum of 65 credits including: Phi 210; Mat $112,113,214,220,311,326,372$ or $464 ;$ Csi 201, $202,302,310,311,401,402,403,404$ or Phys 353, 405, 409.

II. That this resolution be referred to the President for his approval.

## RATIONALE

At the current time, the major in computer science and applied mathematics is classified as a faculty-initiated interdisciplinary major. When approval for the concept of this type of major was granted by the State Education Department, they indicated that such faculty-initiated interdisciplinary majors should be considered by SUNYA for conversion to regular university-offered majors after they have been operational for a few years.

We are currently being pressured to make this conversion for computer science and applied mathematics by the State Education Department if we wish to continue offering this major, as well as proposing new majors under the "interdisciplinary" title. This conversion will also aid our university in its student recruitment process because we would then be able to list computer science and applied mathematics in the SUNY admissions booklet, which currently cannot be done. The Curriculum Committee of the Undergraduate Academic Council believes the major in computer science and applied mathematics has merit and recommends that it be converted to a university-offered major.

## SUPPORTTNG DOCUMENTATION

SUNY-Central Administration has instructed us to submit our proposal for the major in computer science and applied mathematics to include the following information: Need, Objectives, Curricula, Faculty, Facilities, and Means of Evaluating the Program. Each of these areas is addressed below.

NEED
The classified advertising section of any major paper will document the need for skilled and competent people in computer-related occupations. Many of these positions are being filled with people who have no background in any sophisticated aspect of computer science and are inadequate for any task requiring more than a familiarity with the syntax of a particular programming language.

There is an increasing awareness in the computer field of the importance of some analytical ability combined with a knowledge of the classical algorithms for either numerical calculation or the more sophism ticated modern algorithms required for efficient data-base design and access.

Jobs available for program graduates far exceeds the supply. At a registration of positions available and job applicants recently conducted by the Association for Computing Machinery for its annual national meeting held in March, there were twelve positions for every applicants.

The current program has grown rapidly since its initiation in 1976 to about two hundred students enrolled at the present time. There were 13 graduates of the program in May 1977, 26 in May 1978, and 55 in May 1979. Enrollment in computer science courses has risen dramatically as illustrated by the graph of Figure 1.

## OBJECTIVES

The program in computer science and applied mathematics is intended for the student who will do advanced work in computer science. These students will typically be employed by the computer industry and will contribute to the design and implementation of operating systems, data-base systems, computers, and other hardware and software systems intended for use by application-oriented programmers.

This kind of activity requires a higher degree of mathematical. sophistication of a kind sharply different from the usual calculus-based mathematics appropriate for scientific work in such areas as chemistry, physics, or biology. A competence in discrete or combinatorial mathematics is required rather than depths in continuum mathematics, and a background in formal logic is useful.


## CURRICULA

The degree requirements for the major in computer science and applied mathematics are as follows:

> B.S. Degree (combined major and second field sequence): A minimum of 65 credits including:
> Phi $210 ;$ Mat $112,113,214,220,311,326$,
> 361,372 or $464 ;$ Csi $201,202,302,310,311$, $401,402,403,404$ or Phy $353,405,409$.

## Required Courses for Combined Major and Second Field

| Phi 210 | Introduction to Logic |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mat 112 | Calculus I |
| Mat 113 | Calculus II |
| - 214 | - Calculus ITI |
| Mat 220 | - Linear Algebra |
| Mat 311 | - Topics in Differential Equations |
| Mat 326 | - Classical Algebra |
| Mat 361 | - Discrete Probability |
| Mat 372 | - Elements of Game Theory \& Linear Programming |
|  | OR |
| Mat 464 | - Applied Stochastic Processes |
| Csi 201 | - Introduction to Computer Sclence |
| Csi 202 | Assembly Language Programming |
| Csi 302 | Discrete Structures |
| Csi 310 | - Computer Languages \& Data Structures I |
| Csi 311 | - Computer Languages \& Data Structures II |
| Csi 401 | - Numerical Methods for Digital Computers |
| Csi 402 | - Systems Programing |
| Csi 403 | Algorithms \& Data Structures |
| Csi 404 | - Computer Organization OR |
| Phy 353 | - Micro-processor Applications |
| Csi 405 | - Introduction to Information \& Coding Theory |
| Csit 409 | - Automata \& Formal Languages |

## FACULTY

The program utilizes the combined faculties of the Department of Computer Science and the Department of Mathematics and Statistics.

> Department of Computer Science

## Professors:

D. Arden, Ph.D., Purdue University
V. Pan, Candidate of Science and Physics and Mathematics, Moscow State University
D. Rosenkrantz, Ph.D., Columbia University
R. Stearns, Ph.D., Princeton University

Associate Professors:
F. Federigh1, Ph.D., Harvard University
H. Hunt, Ph.D., Cornell University
S. Lomonaco, Jr., Ph.D., Princeton Univeristy
E. Reilly, Jr., Ph.D., Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Assistant Professors:
P. Bloniarz, Ph.D., Massachusetts Institute of Technology
J. Lewis, Ph.D., Yale University

## Department of Mathematics and Statistics

## Professors:

L. Brickman, Ph.D., The University of Pennsylvania
L. Childs, Ph.D., Cornell University
V. Cowling, Ph.D., Rice University
E. Davis, Ph.D., The University of Chicago
N. Friedman, Ph.D., Brown University
H. Gordon, Ph.D., Columbia University
B. Jamison, Ph.D., University of California at Berkeley
M. Katz, Ph.D., The University of Chicago
B. Korenblum, Ph.D., Kiev Institute of Mathematics
V. Larney, Ph.D., University of Wisconsin
T. MacGregor, Ph.D., The University of Pennsylvania
H. Ogawa, Ph.D., University of California at Berkeley
R. ${ }^{\prime}$ Neil, Ph.D., The University of Chicago
J. Sedransk, Ph.D., Harvard University
M. Smiley, Ph.D., The University of Chicago

FACULTY--contd.
Professors--contd.
E. Thomas, Ph.D., University of California at Riverside
D. Wilken, Ph.D., Tulane University

Associate Professors:
G. Allaud, Ph.D., University of Wisconsin
H. Brown, Ph.D., Rutgers University
R. Goldstein, Ph.D., University of Pennsylvania
W. Haboush, Ph.D., Columbia University
W. Hammond, Ph.D., Johns Hopkins University
J. Jenkins, Ph.D., University of Illinois
T. Lance, Ph.D., Princeton University
L. Lininger, Ph.D., University of Iowa
R. Luippold, M.A., State University of New York at Buffalo
G. Martin, Ph.D., University of Michigan
R. Nirenberg, Ph.D., University of Buenos Aires
R. Nussbaum, Ph.D., University of Virginia
M. Range, Ph.D., University of California at LosAngeles
M. Sherman, Ph.D。, Univensity of California at Berkeley
H. Stratton, Ph.D., University of California at Riverside
J. Therrien, M.A., State University of New York at Albany
E. Turner, Ph.D., University of California at Los Angeles

Assistant Professors:
C. Chen, Ph.D., Harvard University
G. Mangano, Ph.D., Columbia University
R. Regal, Ph.D., University of Minnesota
N. Uy, Ph.D., University of California at Los Angeles

## FACLIITIES

The program in computer science and applied mathematics is within the auspices of the College of Science and Mathematics. Coordination of the program occurs through comdirectors-Edwin Reilly of the Department of Computer Science and Hajimu Ogawa of the Department of Mathematics and Statistics.

## FACILITIES--contd.

The principal facilities required are ready access to a variety of computing equipment, ranging from small inexpensive micro-computers to a large-scale central facility. The latter is available in the UNIVAC 1110 installation managed by the Computing Center, and there is some prospect for access to both micro-computers and a mini-computer system in the near future. Approval has been obtained from SUNYA and SUNY-Central Administration to purchase a mini-computer for faculty and student experimental use.

Unless admission restrictions to the program become a reality, the number of terminals available for student use will have to be expanded. Likewise, the budget allocation for instructional computer use will have to be increased. Even if additional resources are not obtained, a viable program can be maintained under current resource allocations.

## LIBRARY HOLDINGS

Books
The SUNYA library presently has a collection of over 900,000 volumes; of these at least 12,000 volumes, representing 8,127 titles, are classed in the areas of computer science and mathematics. The materials fall primarily within the Library of Congress classifications of QA (mathematics) and T (technology). Although these are the primary classifications, titles on computer applications in a certain field, e.g., business, fall within many other Library of Congress classifications.

The primary sources for current materials in computer science and applied mathematics are the library's two major approval plans. Ballen Booksellers International systematically supplies current publications in the United States and Canada, and Blackwe11's of Great Britain provides coverage of materials in the United Kingdom. The Ballen profile was revised in recent years to expand our coverage of computer science books in support of the undergraduate program.

Discretionary funds are used to supplement the approval plans, e.g., to acquire publications of professional societies and associations.

The library also maintains standing orders for many of the major applied mathematics and computer science series, such as Applied Mathematical Sciences series, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Applications of Mathematics, and ACM Proceedings of the Annual Conference.

## Periodicals

The library subscribes to over 7, 500 periodical titles. Approximately 260 of these directly support the programs in mathematics and computer science.

LIBRARY HOLDINGS---contd.

## Reference Materials

Included in the general reference area is a collection of mathematics and computer science dictionaries, encyclopedias, directories, handbooks, and statistical tables.

In the abstract and index area are located the major abstracts and indexes in these fields (e.g., ACM Guide to Computing Literature, Mathematical Reviews, Computer Abstracts, and Computer and Control Abstracts.

Intex-Iibrary Loan
Materials not available in this library can be obtained through intermlibrary loan from libraries in the United States and foreign countries.

As a member of the Capital District Library Council, the New York State Inter-1ibrary Loan Network, and the Center for Research Libraries, the library makes vast resources quickly available to our patrons.

## Libraxy Liaison

The University Library assigns a bibliographer to each academic department to act as a liaison between the library and the department. The bibliographer is responsible for overseeing the development of the collection in the assigned discipline. The bibliographer also solicits suggestions for specific titles for purchase, interprets library policy to the department, and is available for orientation tours or instructional sessions.

## Conclusion

The library has developed a collection which supports an M.S. degree in computer science and an M.A. and Ph.D. in mathematics. Thus, the library has the necessary resources to support a high level of research in these fields.

In recent years the library has also made a concerted effort to expand its undergraduate resources in the field of computer science, which serves a population in many departments other than the Department of Computer Science. Within the discretionary funds available, the library has also sought to expand its holdings in major conference series and journals to support the relatively new program in computer science.

As compared with A Library List on Undergraduate Computer Science, Computer Engineering, and Information Systems (IEEE and ACM, 1978), the library's journal holdings are excellent and monograph collections are strong in most areas. The collection could be improved in materials on specific programing languages.

The collection in applied mathematics is a well-established, very strong collection.

## EVALUATION

Evaluation of the program will be conducted by the Undergraduate Program Review Committee of the Undergraduate Academic Council as part of Its regularly scheduled cycle of evaluations of all academic programs.

In addition, the program wil1 be reviewed by the Advisory Committee for the Department of Computer Science, which consists of:

Professor Juris Hartmanis
Department of Computer Science
Corne11 University

Professor Alan Perlis
Chairperson, Department of Computer Science
Yale University
Professor Jeffrey U11man
Department of Electrical
Engineering and Computer Science
Princeton University
Dr. Schmuel Winograd Research Mathematician I.B.M. Research Laboratory Yorktown Heights, New York

> University Senate
> State University of New York at Albany November 5 , 1979

Introduced by Senators Colon, Gross, Lafayette, Levy, Morgenstern, and Yakubowski.

Whereas: Martin Luther King, Jr. strove for the civil rights and Human rights of all people; and
Whereas: His methods for social change were peaceful in nature; and
Whereas: For his efforts in these areas he received the Nobel Peace Prize; and
Whereas: States across the nation are striving to make his birthday a National Holiday; and
Whereas: The City of Albany has already declared his birthday, January lith, a holiday
It is hereby resolved: That University Senate urges the Educational Policy Council and President O'Leary to proclaim January 15th a University Holiday in Commemoration of Martin Luther King, Jr.
Be it Further Resolved: That the Educational Policy Council consider January lith a University holiday in any subsequent years in which the University is in session
Be It Further Resolved: That copies of this resolution be sent to President O'Leary, the ASP and WCDB.

Refer GEPC

# University Senate <br> State University of New York at Albany <br> November 5, 1979 

Introduced by Senators Becker, Brick, Coplon, Gold, Pologe, Weinstock, and Weintraub.

Whereas: The rights and privileges of human beings should not be infringed upon due to individual decisions about sexual or affectional preferences; and
Whereas: A viable Affirmative Action Plan is not complete without a clause guaranteeing non-discrimination against people on the basis of sexual or affectional preferences;
Be it hereby resolved: That the University Senate urges President o'Leary to include such a clause in the University Affirmative Action Statement immediately
Be it Rurther resolved: That copies of this resolution be sent to President O'Leary, Rosemary Sabai, Gloria DeSole, the ASP, and WCDB.

## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

1400 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12222

UNIVERSTTY SENATE
DECEMBER 3, 1979
3:35 P. M.
CC ASSEMBLY HALL

A GENDA

1. Approval of Minutes
2. Committee Reports
3. Old Business:
3.1 Report of EPC on Biil No. 197980-08
4. New Business:
4.1 Proposal for a Master of Fine Arts (Bill No. 197980-10)
4.2 Revision of Residence Requirement (Bil1 No. 197980-11)
4.3 Residence Requirements in Major and Second Field(Bil1 No. 197980-12)
4.4 Transfer Credit Limitation (Bill No. 197980-13)
4.5 Other
5. Adjournment
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## Minutes of December 3, 1979

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40$ p.m. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Secretary, John Pipkin.

1. Approval of Minutes

The Minutes of the October 8, October 15, October 22, and November 5, 1979 meetings were approved with corrections to the list of absentees.
2. Council \& Committee Reports
2.1 Counci1 on Educational Policy

The Council presented a written report on the resolution to cancel classes on January 15 in honor of Dr. Martin Luther King. Although the Council agreed with the spirit of the resolution, it recommended that classes not be cancelled.

The Council also reported that its ad hoc committee to study the proposed regulations of the Commissioner of Education had presented its report to the President.

### 2.2 Undergraduate Academic Council

The Council has approved an honors program in Russian and revisions in the degree requirements for atmospheric science. The Council also approved second fields in biological sciences and women's studies.

Senator Cannon, Chairperson of the Council, reported that the Council will shortly report on revisions in the requirements for graduation with honors.

### 2.3 Student Affairs Council

The Council is currently reviewing the campus alcohol policy.
2.4 University Community Council

The Council is considering a revision in the parking policy.

### 2.5 Council on Promotions \& Continuing Appointments

The Council is currently reviewing its procedures and hopes to present specific recommendations to the Senate during the spring.

Senate Minutesmoneont
December 3, 1979
Page 2
2. Council \& Comittee Reportsmantd.

### 2.6 Library Council

The members of the Council have met with all the prospective candidates for the position of libraxy director.
3. Report of the EPC on Bill No. 197980-08- Suspension of C1asses

Senatox Klm, Chairperson of the Council, revised the second paragraph of the Council's report on Bill No. 8 to read: "...to celebrate the memory of such great Americans as Martin Luther King, Jr."

Senator Kim urged the Senate to approve the Council's recomendation.
Senator Lafayette moved approval of the recomendation; motion seconded and approved without dissent.
4. Mastex of Fine Arts Proposal (Bil1 No. 197980-10)

Senator Tarlton, speaking for the Graduate Academic Council, moved approval of the proposal; motion seconded. Bi11 No. 197980-10 approved without dissent.
5. Revision of the Residence Requirements (Bi11 No. 197980-11)

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Undergraduate Academic Council, moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded.

Senator Canon discussed the history of the residence requirements and the reasons the Council felt make the revisions necessary.

Bial NO. 197980-11 approved by majority vote.
6. Residence Requixements in the Major and Second Field (Bill No. 197980-12)

Senator Cannon moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded.
In response to question from Senator Sanders, it was noted that a student could request waiver from the requirements. Senator Gross suggested that a statement to this effect be included in the Undergraduate Bulletin.

Senator Gelfand moved to return the proposal to the UAC; motion seconded.
Senator Camon spoke against the motion to refer to committee, noting that this resolution sets mimimu requixements-a department is free to request stricter standards if it wishes to do so.

Question called on the motion to refer; motion seconded and approved.

Senate Minutes--contd.
December 3, 1979
Page 3
6. Bill No. 197980-12-contd.

Motion to refer defeated.
Senator Farrell moved the question on the main motion; motion seconded and approved.

Bil1 No. 197980-12 approved by majority vote.
0
7. Transfer Credit Limitation (Bill No. 197980-13)

Senator Cannon moved approval of Bill No. 197980-13; motion seconded.
Several Senators debated the advisability of amending the current transfer credit policy.

Bill No. 197980-13 approved by majority vote.
8. University-Wide Evaluating Mechanism (Bill No. 197980-14)

Senator Gross moved to continue the meeting to allow discussion of the following motion:

Whereas, The constant monitoring of instructors' performance and class effectiveness is a key to the improvement of education on this campus, and

Whereas, At present the University does not provide a method whereby both faculty and students can evaluate their performance in the educational process, and

Whereas, Without such evaluations both faculty and students are left ignorant of the impact they make on the learning process, and therefore

Be it resolved that: The University Senate officially recommends the adoption of a university-wide evaluation mechanism, and

Be it further resolved that: In the absence of such a mechanism, the University Senate officially requests all schools, departments, and professors to participate in the Assessment of Courses and Teachers (ACT), the sole accurate university-wide evaluating mechanism. In the event of non-compliance, because of the lateness of the semester, the University Senate recommends that departments forward their evaluations to the ACT for publication.

Be it further resolved that: The University Senate officially send the aforementioned recommendation to all deans, department chairpersons, and President 0'Leary.

Semate Minutesm-contd.
December 3, 1979
Page 4
7. Bil1 No. 197980-14-contd.

Motion seconded.

Senator Hardt called for a quorum. A quorum was not present.

The meeting was adjourned at 5.05 p.m.

T0: University Senate

FROM: Council on Educational Policy

DATE: November 23, 1979

At its meeting on November 15, 1979, the Council devoted considerable attention to the proposal to observe the birthday of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. The Council found itself in agreement with the sentiments of those who urge that the University dedicate this day to his memory. The Council voted unanimously to recommend to the University Senate and to the President that members of the University community should be encouraged to commemorate January 15, but that, because of several problems, classes should not be suspended.

The Council obviously appreciated the spirit in which this proposal was forwarded. However, the suspension of classes, or cessation of educational activity may not be the best way to celebrate the memory of such a great American (who himself was a strong believer in the value of education). Moreover, the Council does not want to set a precedent by suspending classes as a way of commemorating great persons. In order to meet our legal and instructional obligations, we have not suspended classes on most State holidays including Lincoln's Birthday, Washington's Birthday, Election Day, Veterans' Day, and Columbus Day. There are dozens of additional days which could justifiably be dedicated to the memories of persons whose intellectual and social achievements fully deserve the recognition of the University. (Names such as Albert Einstein, Susan B. Anthony, Franklin and Eleanor Roosevelt, and Thomas Jefferson come to mind.) To suspend classes for great persons would unduly lengthen the academic calendar.

Three other, more practical, considerations also influenced the Council's judgment on this question. One is the lateness of changing plans for January 15, 1980, when our calendars are planned two years in advance. Secondly, the suspension of classes for any Tuesday means that a half week of instruction would be missed for most students and a full week of instruc. tion would be missed for the many late afternoon and evening classes which meet once per week. Thirdly, it is the Council's unanimous belief that if classes are suspended on the first day of the spring semester (January 15), few students or faculty will be here to participate in any commemoration.

University Senate--contd.
November 23, 1979
Page 2

Therefore, the Council urges the supportors of this resolution to schedule appropriate commemoration activities and ceremonies for January 15. More students and faculty will be here to participate than would be the case if classes were suspended.

The Council also recommends that the President declare January 15 as a University day to be devoted to the memory of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

# STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 

## Proposal for a Graduate Program Awarding the Degree Master of Fine Arts

## INTRODUCED BY: Graduate Academic Council December 3, 1979

## IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:

I. That the attached proposal for a graduate program awarding the degree Master of Fine Arts be approved.
II. That this resolution be referred to the President for his approval.

Attachment

# PROPOSAL FOR A GRADUATE PROGRAM 



Rationale
The SUNYA Art Department presently has the faculty, the studio and gallery facilities, and the student interest to offer successfully an M.F.A. program. The few modest increases necessary to offer the proposed program have already been cormitted by the University and the College of Humanities and Fine Arts.

SUNYA is now the only graduate studio program serving students in the Northeast (5) and Northern (4) Post--secondary Education Regions of the Regents. The only M.F.A. programs presently found in New York State are located in New York City (CUNY), SUC-New Paltz, SUNY-Buffalo, Alfred, Syracuse, and Colgate. This is also true of the "SUNY Regional Distribution Programs" District III (see Appendix, page 32).

It is our intention to retain the present M.A. program in studio art. The M.A. will serve as a transitional degree for students with sufficient talent and knowledge to become professional artists, but who lack sufficient background (i.e., undergraduate training) to directly enter the M.F.A. program. In some cases the M.A. would be considered the equivalent of the first year of the M.F.A. program.

Demand for graduate education in the arts continues to rise dramatically. While national enrollment in the arts has risen 9 percent last year alone, graduate enrollment in the SUNYA Art Department has climbed by about 100 percent in the last five years.

This department offers areas of specialization unique in the state, and some areas of specialization offered by only a few schools in the country.

For example: we offer the only major lucite casting program in the United States. Also, our program in plate lithography is one of the best and most complete in the East.

Painting and drawing options offer a broader range of techniques than available at most art schools. These options include: fresco, encaustic, all water-based techniques, silver point, and all drawing techniques.

In addition to the areas of specialization delineated above, we are presently engaged in negotiations to develop a formal relationship with the Visual Studies Workshop in Rochester, New York. This would create a graduate program in photography while also expanding printmaking, portfolio, and book production.

The purposes of the proposed M.F.A. are twofold: first, the program satisfies basic degree requirements for teaching art in colleges, universities, and art schools; second, it provides a foundation on which an artist can build a professional career.

Structure of the Program

## Admission Requirements

1. B.F.A. or equivalent degree from an art school, or a certificate of fine art from a foreign acadeny of fine art.
2. A comprehensive portfolio of work in slide form. Must include examples of drawing, work in the major area of concentration, and second field of interest. Total of at least 25 works.
3. " B " or better undergraduate acadenic average.
4. Three recommendations (can include undergraduate instructors).
5. If a student has an M.A. in studio art, requirements 1 and 3 are eliminated.
6. Statement of direction and concerns relating to expectations for achievement while earning the M.F.A. and ultimate career aims. Students must show that they have begun to focus on an aesthetic direction. Consistency of technical quality as well as a commitment to the discipline of art should be apparent.

## Degree Requirements

| Art History Seminar | 4 credits |
| :--- | ---: |
| Studio Concentration | 20 credits |
| Departmental Seminar | 8 credits |
| Studio and/or Academic |  |
| $\quad$ Electives | 16 credits |
| Exhibition Thesis | 4 credits |
| $\quad$ Project | 8 credits |
| Drawing |  |

The Master of Fine Arts will have areas of concentration in painting, drawing, printmaking, and sculpture. The degree will require 60 credits. They will be divided into the following two-year pattern, two years being the minimum in which the degree can be completed.

First Year
Semester One
Art History Seminar 4 credits Studio Concentration 6 credits Drawing

4 credits
14 credits
Semester Two
Departmental Seminar
4 credits
Studio Concentration
6 credits Drawing

4 credits
14 credits

## Second Year

Semester Three
Departmental Seminar
Studio Concentration Studio Elective Open Elective

4 credits 4 credits 4 credits 4 credits

16 credits
Semester Four

| Exhibition Thesis | 4 credits |
| :--- | :--- |
| Studio Concentration | 4 credits |
| Studio Elective | 4 credits |
| Open Elective | 44 credits |
|  | 16 credits |

This course structure complies with requirements of the College Art Association and guidelines for the M.F.A formulated by the SUNY Council of Art Department Chairmen in 1977.

Course Work

## Studio Concentration

A continuous studio workshop program to be developed between the student and the major professor, with the graduate chair-man's approval. This includes continuous critical exchange betwen the student and the instructor, as well as group critiques with other students and faculty. Technical ability in the chosen concentration must also show continuous development.

A year course in varied drawing probleas as developed by individual faculty. Includes contemporary and traditional drawing and composition problems and inventions.

Departmental Seminar
A special weekly seminar where students from all areas of concentration present their work to the other graduate students and members of the faculty. The faculty rotates so that all members participate in the seminars. Each semester a different faculty member chairs the course.

## Exhibition Thesis Project

The present ART 645 course is the preparation for a thesis exhibition held in the gallery. The attached sheets are all materials used in this course. In initial meetings with the candidates, proper framing, glazing, and hanging specifications are discussed as well as the preparation of the documentary materials. The exhibition is a businesslike preparation for exhibition in the professional art world.

Studio or Academic Elective
Can be chosen from any of the following areas of study (some lecture courses can be included with major profesm sor's and chaiman's permission): drawing, printmaking, painting, stained glass, plastics, art history, classics, film, philosophy, aesthetics, music, theater, archeology. Other areas of study are possible with agreement of major professor, chaimman, and student.

## Art History Seminar

Material, construction, and technique of works of art and their relevance to period and personal styles.

In most undergraduate programs a technical assignment is given and aesthetic decisions are then made. On the graduate level we expect the reverse to take place. Challenges will be made as to why, for example, materials and techniques in sculpture are chosen to serve a particum lar aesthetic design. Examples will be given from various periods in art history.

The course has two parts:
I. Pre-historic periods to 1909 - first semester
II. 1.909 to present - second semester.

## Non-Credit Matriculation Review


#### Abstract

At the end of the first 14 credits a professional matriculation review must be held with a committee composed of the major professor, chairman, and two other faculty members chosen by the student. At this time the committee will decide whether the student can develop personal patterns of work that will eventually equip him or her with the aesthetic and technical strengths for a career in art. A student failing this review may form another committee within six months. Failure of the second committee's review requires withdrawal from the program. There is now a similar six-credit review in our M.A. studio program.


If a student is found incompatible with our program during the 14 -credit review, the person will be asked to withdraw from the program.

## Coordination of Course offerings

The graduate faculty will come in contact with all of the graduate students during their time in school. In this way the student is exposed to a number of different views.

A prevailing respect for broad training will shape the M.F.A. program, It is not meant to be a program where the "master" dictates directions and makes aesthetic decisions for the student.

In the proposed M.F.A. program, a matriculation review will be conducted by four faculty members after each student has completed 14 credits. The purpose of this review is to determine: (1) whether students should withdraw because the program cannot assist in their artistic development, and (2) if there are weak areas in a student's program which need correction. The committee is composed of the department chaimman and the student's major professor, plus two faculty members of the student's choice. Two opportunities are given to pass this review within a six-month period.

Before students are permitted to take their final exhibition thesis course, they must pass a review conducted by the chaiman, the director of the art gallery, and their major professor. Students may invite another faculty member to participate if they wish. The purpose of this review is to determine whether the quality and quantity of work is acceptable for a graduate thesis exhibition. If there is insufficient work of quality for such an exhibition at this time, students can apply for the cormittee to meet at the end of the next semester. (The activities of the University Gallery, where the exhibitions are presented, complement the programs of the Art Department.)

The Art Department has committees for the major areas of study that review undergraduate and graduate work on a continuous basis. This procedure will be followed for the M.F.A. If a problem appears to one or more of the faculty or the student, the appropriate committee meets to try to find a solution. If it cannot reach a conclusion that is satisfactory to
both the student and faculty group, then the department chairman, in consultation with the graduate faculty and student, will make every attempt to solve the problem.

General course advisement is given by the department chaimman after consultation between the student and major professor. The major professor can be changed by the student on request to the concentration committee chairman.

Innovative Elements Currently Available to Graduate Students
In addition to the innovative and, in some cases, unique, facilities available at SUNYA, there are other programs which should be emphasized. These include:
(a) a multitude of workshops in various techniques, procedures, and mediums.
(b) a printing workship.
(c) a complete working foundry.
(d) facilities modified or being modified to allow access to handicapped artists.
(e) a yearly non-credit seminar called "The Artist as a Survivor in Today's Society".
(f) the possibility for students to work with those in other disciplines.

Faculty Honors and Awards
Professor Dennis Byng
Guggenheim Foundation Fellowship Gant, 1958-1959
Guggenheim Foundation Fellowship Grant, 1959-1960 University of Illinois Faculty Summer Grant, 1961
SUNYA Research Fellowship and Grant-in-Aid, 1970
SUNXA Research Fellowship and Grant-in-Aid, 1972 SUNYA Research Fellowship and Grant-in-Aid, 1973

Professor Richard Callner
Guggenheim Foundation Fellowship Grant, 1959-1960
Silver Medallion of Rone (Italy) for Distinguished Service in the Arts, 1970
Lemback Foundation University-Wide Award for Distinguished Teaching, Temple University, 1974
Associate Professor Robert CartmellRaymond Forei.gn Travel Fellowship, Art Instituteof Chicago, 1962
Faculty Fellowship Grant, SUNY, ..... 1972
Faculty Fellowship Grant, SUNY, ..... 1973
N.D.E.A., SUNY Grant, 1974-1975
Professor Edward Cowley
Ford Foundation Fellowship to paint and study inIreland, 1955-1956
Professor Mark Greenwold
Research and Materials Grant, University of Californiaat Los Angeles, 1971Research and Materials Grant, University of Californiaat Los Angeles, 1972
Research Foundation Grant, SUNYA, Summer 1974
Assistant Professor Alex Markhoff
American Academy and National Institute of Artsand Letters Fellowship, 1977
Professor Thom O'Connor
Tamarind Workshop, Artist Fellowship, 1963
Tamarind Workshop, Printer Fellowship, 1964
SUNY Research Fellowship and Grant-in-Aid, 1965
SUNY Research Fellowship and Grant-in-Aid, ..... 1966
New York State Council on the Arts Grant, 1971
N.E.A. Grant, 1971
SUNY Reserach Fellowship and Grant-in-Aid, ..... 1972
SUNY Research Fellowship and Grant-in-Aid, 1973
Professor William Wilson
New York State Education Department Grant, ..... 1965
SUNY Research Grant, 1966
Quality of Students

We have been able to attract especially talented students to our M.A. program.
M.A. students enrolled from fall 1973 to spring 1978 are graduates of the following schools:

Bennington College Boston University University of Bridgeport

University of Dayton Douglass College

Fairleigh Dickinson University of Southern Florida

Georgia State University

Harvard University
Holy Cross College
University of rllinois

Ladycliff College
University of Maine
Massachusetts College of Art
University of Massachusetts

University of New Mexico
Co.llege of New Rochelle
Northwestern University
Nova Scotia College
University of Oklahoma

Pace University Philadelphia College of Axt
University of Portland

Rhode Island School
of Design
Rochester Institute
University of Rochester
Russell Sage College
College of Saint Rose
St. Lawrence University
St. Iouis University
Schiller College, Germany
University of San Francisco
Siena College
Skidmore College
Syracuse University
National Taiwan Normal College
Temple University
University of Texas
Wagner College
Westminster College
Williams College
SUNY:
Albany
New York School of Ceramics at Alfred
Binghamton
Brockport
Empire State
College
Fredonia
New Paltz
Oneonta
Oswego
Plattsburgh

Responses to Comments of the 1974 External Evaluation Conmittee
Periodically, master's degree programs are reviewed by a team of evaluators from major universities. This intensive two-day evaluation was last conducted in 1974. The individuals who conducted that review were Arnold Herstand, then professor at the Minneapolic School of Art, and Frank Ruzicka, head of Studio Programs, Ohio State University.

The Art Department has, in the time since this evaluation, taken steps to strengthen the program, both along lines suggested by the evaluation and in other ways. The following is a brief summary of these changes:
(a) We have strengthened an already strong faculty with new teachers of painting, sculpture, printmaking, and photography.
(b) The number of exhibitions at the SUNYA Art Gallery has been increased. A nationally directed university gallery program in contemporary art is underway.
(c) The university has cormittee an additional line to the department for the purpose of hiring an art historian with a specialty in modern art. In addition, the Dean of the College of Humanities and Fine Arts has identified art history as an area of high priority for development in the near future.
(d) A periodical library has been added in the slide library. The slide collection now numbers approximately 85,000 slides.
(e) Since 1975, graduate students have been encouraged to take up to 20 percent of their course work in electives outside the Art Department. Likewise, the Art Department is working with the Departments of Philosophy, Music, Theatre, Rhetoric and Communication, English, and the School of Education to create an Institute of Aesthetic Studies that will support research in the area of non-verbal communication.
(f) An on-going series of weekly seminars has been conducted by studio and art history faculty members since 1975.
(g) To eliminate the possibility of allowing certain stylistic trends to predominate, the department has eliminated all crafts and has added 1.5 lines in sculpture, 1 in painting, and has introduced graduate photography.
(h) Additional studio space has been added in the main building and in the Milne building in the downtown campus. The university has also acquired a new offcampus building for sculpture. The latter can accommodate 10 to 15 graduate students. Individual space is now available for all graduate students, including possible M.F.A. students.
(i) A half-time, non-teaching professional has been hired to take charge of all equipment and teach an undergraduate course in the use of art-related power and mechanical equipment. We now surpass all O.S.H.A. standards.

## ,

)

# Revision of Residence Requirement 

## INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council <br> December 3, 1979

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That for the B.A. and B.S. degrees, the current residence requirement be amended by addition of the following requirement for all students graduating in May 1984 and thereafter:
"A minimum of 30 graduation credits must be completed in courses on the Albany campus."

Approval of the amendment would result in the following residence requirements:

1. Complete a minimum of 30 graduation credits in courses on the Albany campus.
2. Earn the last 30 credits in courses for which they are registered on this campus.
II. That this resolution be referred to the President for approval.

## RATIONALE

It is strongly felt that at least 30 graduation credits should be under the direct supervision of a faculty member at SUNY-Albany. The Undergraduate Academic Council received from its Curriculum Committee the original proposal which was that 45 graduation credits should be earned in courses on the Albany campus. After consultation, including the Admissions office, it was agreed that 30 graduation credits was a more realistic figure and would cause minimal difficulty in admissions.

The proposal maintains the current requirement that the last 30 credits applicable toward the baccalaureate degree must be in courses for which the student registered on the Albany campus. Coursework pursued through crossregistration or SUNY study-abroad programs would continue to satisfy that requirement. Students in both these programs register for a specific SUNYAlbany course which appears on the transcript.

Residence Requirement---contd.

## RATIONALE-CONtd.

Under the current regulations, it is possible for a student to receive a degree from Albany without ever taking a course on our campus. For example, a transfer with 90 credits who studies abroad during the senior year could satisfy all existing requirements. The Council felt that a minimum number of credits must be completed on this campus under the supervision of a SUNY-Albany faculty member if we are to certify the student has a degree from Albany. The equivalent of two semesters work did not seem unreasonable. These credits need not be taken as the final 30 degree credits but will, for example, be met within the first year by most freshmen admitted to Albany.

Approval of the proposal should not affect transfer admissions, since students will still be able to transfer up to 90 credits.

UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Residence Requirements
in the Major and Second Field

## INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council December 3, 1979

IT IS HEREBY PROPQSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That the following residence requirements pertaining to the major and second field requirements for the baccalaureate degree be approved and become effective for all students graduating in May 1984 and thereafter.

1. For the B.A. and B.S. degrees, a minimum of 18 graduation credits, inc1uding 12 credits at or above the 300 level, must be completed in the major on the Albany campus or through a SUNY-Albany-sponsored study-abroad program.
2. For the B.A. and B.S. degrees, a minimum of 6 graduation credits of advanced courses (courses at or above the 300 level or courses which require a prerequisite) must be completed in the second field on the Albany campus or through a SUNY--A1bany-sponsored studymabroad program.
3. For the B.A. and B.S. degrees, a minimum of 24 graduation credits, including 12 credits at or above the 300 level, must be completed in a combined major and second field program on the Albany campus or through a SUNY-Albanysponsored study-abroad program.

## Proposal Consideration

It is recommended that separate consideration and action be taken on each of the three proposals. Proposals 1 and 2 must be approved before approval can be granted to Proposal 3, which is a combination of 1 and 2. Either Proposal 1 or Proposal 2 can be implemented on its own merits.
II. That this resolution be referred to the President for approval.

## RATIONALE

The Curriculum Committee of the Undergraduate Academic Council was unanimous in its support of the proposal to establish university-wide minimum expectations that a portion of the major requirements be completed
on this campus and the UAC supports the recommendation. With major requirements varying between $30-42$ credits, it was felt that a minimum of 18 credits of coursework was not unreasonable to be expected to be completed on campus. If graduates are to present credentials to future employers and professional or graduate schools indicating that a major in $\qquad$ was completed at SUNY-Albany, there should be some certainty that a portion of that major was indeed completed here. Likewise, the degree should reflect the fact that the student has completed at least 12 credits of work in the major at an advanced level as defined on our campus.

Currently, the Colleges of Humanities and Fine Arts, Science and Mathematics, and Social and Behavioral Sciences, and the Graduate School of Public Affairs, require their majors to complete a minimum of 12 graduation credits in theix major at the 300 level or above on the Albany campus. This requirement is based on legislation passed by the prior College of Arts and Sciences. The College of Humanities and Fine Arts will allow coursework completed through a study-abroad program which appears on a student's transcript as a course entry of "G Ins" to be considered as satisfying this requirement. Approval of the university-wide Proposal 1 would supersede these individual college and school policies.

Transfer Credit Limitation

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council December 3, 1979

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That for the B.A. and B.S. degrees, a maximum of 64 transfer credits from two-year colleges or schools may be applied toward the baccalaureate degree requirements for all students graduating in May 1984 and there-. after. The only exception to this limitation shall apply to Business Education majors who may receive additional transfer credit applicable toward their degrees for required courses in typing and shorthand completed at two-year institutions after matriculation at SUNY-Albany.
II. That this resolution be referred to the President for his approval.

## RATIONALE

The current policy pertaining to transfer credit from two-year institutions is vague and starts out with the word "generally". Although a maximum of 64 transfer credits is indicated, it is not clear whether this applies only to initial transfer credit or a combination of transfer credit both before and after matriculation at Albany.

The above proposal (without the Business Education exception) was approved by the 1978-1979 Curriculum Committee but not passed by the UAC. With the exception included, it has passed the 1979-1980 Curriculum Committee again and has the support of the UAC. The exception was provided only for students in Business Education because of required courses in typing and shorthand they might have to take which are not offered on this campus. It was felt that these students should not be penalized if they did not happen to complete these requirements at their two-year institution.

It is felt that the current vague policy had the intent of limiting the number of transfer credits from two-year institutions to 64 credits. Such institutions are considered as being lower division and a limit of 64 credits of such lower-level work does not seem unreasonable to be applied toward the degree at Albany. Adoption of the policy would still permit the university to grand additional transfer credit beyond the 64 -credit maximum to students who take additional coursework at a four-year college or university.
University Senate
State University of New York at Albany
December 3, 1979
Introduced by Senators Somach, Weinstock, Nagle, Levy, Pologe, and Lafayette
Whereas: The constant monitoring of instructors' performance and class effectiveness is a key to the improvement of education on this campus, and
Whereas: At present the University does not provide a methodwhereby both faculty and students can evaluate theirperformance in the educational process, and
Whereas: Without such evaluations both faculty and studentsare left ignorant of the impact they make on thelearning process, and therefore
Be it Hereby Resolved that: The University Senate officially recommends the adoption of a university wide evaluation mechanism, and
Be it Further Resolved that: In the absence of such a mechanism, the University Senate officially requests all schools, departments, and professors to participate in the Assessment of Courses and Teachers (ACT), the sole accurate university-wide evaluating mechanism. In the event of non-compliance, because of the lateness of the semester, the University Senate recommends that departments forward their evaluations to the $A C T$ for publication.
Be it Further Resolved that: The University Senate officially send the aforementioned recommendation to all Deans, Department Chairpersons, and President O'Leary.
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## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222
## UNIVERSITY SENATE

JANUARY 21,1980

3:35 P. M.

CAMPUS CENTER BALLROOM

A G E ND A

1. Approval of Minutes
2. Committee \& Council Reports
3. Old Business:
3.1 Bill No. 197980-14 (University-Wide Evaluation Mechanism)
4. New Business:
4.1 Bill No. $197980-15$ (Requirements for Degree
with Honors)
4.2 Other
5. Adjournment

UNIVERSTTY SENATE
State University of New York at Albany
Introduced by: Senator Levy

WHEREAS, The SUNY system is being threatened with
the elimination of hundreds of positions;
and
WHEREAS, These proposed cutbacks would have a serious detrimental effect on public higher education in New York State; and

WHEREAS, A statewide rally sponsored by the United University Professions (UUP), in association with the Student Association of the State University (SASU), is scheduled for January 29, 1980 to oppose these proposed cuts; and

WHEREAS, It is essential to the success of this rally that the maximum number of students participate:

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED: What the University Senate requests that instructors not penalize those students who miss classes on January 29 to attend this rally; and

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED: That copies of this resolution be sent to the President, to each member of the faculty, to the Albany Student Press, and to WCDB.

# UNIVERSITY SENATE <br> STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 

University-Wide Evaluation Mechanism

INTRODUCED BY: Senators Nagle, Pologe, Somach, and Weinstock<br>December 3, 1979

WHEREAS, The on-going process of higher education is one that requires constant evaluation and feedback in order to determine the effectiveness of teaching materials and style, and
WHEREAS, The only way to achieve a fair representative sampling is through a monitoring of clear and unbiased university-wide questions, and
WHEREAS, At present the administration falls short in providing for both faculty and students the reinforcement obtained through a universal evaluating mechanism, and
WHEREAS, The ACT is the only systematic, authoritative, university-wide evaluation mechanism,
BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that the University Senate of the State University of New York at Albany officially recommends to President o'Leary the institution of a published university-wide evaluation mechanism, that will carefully and unbiasedly monitor student feedback, and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that in the absence of such a mechanism the University Senate officially encourages all departments which have not participated in the ACT to furnish their departmental evaluations to the ACT for publication.

UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Requirements for Degree with Honors

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council
January 21, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. Effective with students graduating in May 1984 and thereafter, the requirements for "Degree with Honors" be amended to read:
"Universitymwide honors are conferred at graduation. A student will be graduated "Cum Laude" with an average equal to or greater than 3.25, but less than 3.50; "Magna Cum Laude" with an average equal to or greater than 3.50, but less than 3.70; "Summa Cum Laude" with an average equal to or greater than 3.70. For graduation with honors, a student must have completed a minimum of 56 credits at this university graded on the "A-E" scale.
"Students who complete an Honors Program may receive a special Honors Certificate upon the recommendation of their major department."
II. During the next four years, the Registrar shall file an annual report with the Undergraduate Academic Council, giving the number and proportion of those graduating with honors according to the policy proscribed above. For the following years, the Registrar shall file an annual report with the Undergraduate Academic Council, giving the number and proportion of those graduating with honors.
III. That this resolution be referred to the President.
a:

## RATIONALE

Motion I would raise the minimum for "cum laude" from 3.00 to 3.25 and for "magna cum laude" from 3.40 to 3.50 , while leaving the minimum for "summa cum laude" and other requirements (residency and minimum number of $A-E$ grades) unchanged. The proposal is motivated by two simple considerations:
(1) SUNYA's honors criteria should be revised to take grade inflation into account.
(2) Comparable institutions award honors to a far smaller proportion of their graduates than does SUNYA.

The grade inflation that occurred in American colleges and universities between the mid $-1960^{\circ} s$ and midm1970's is generally recognized and is well documented. For example, Sidney Suslow's study of 16 major research universities showed that the mean grade-point average increase between 1963 and 1974 was 0.45 , from 2.49 to 2.94. Arvo Juola's 1974 survey of 135 colleges and universities showed that gradempoint average increased from 2.44 in 1964 to 2.77 in 1974, although his follow-up survey showed a slight decline to 2.74 in 1975. By May 1977, 51 percent of freshmen at SUNYA had averages of 3.0 or greater. Although decreases of one to three percentage points have occurred in these numbers since 1977, significant grade deflation has yet to occur.

A similar inflation has occurred with the percentage of students recejving honors at graduation. Jonathan Helmreich's study of 45 instituthons revealed that the mean percentage of honor graduates rose from 20.2 percent in 1969 to 33.8 percent in 1976. The median percentages were 14.6 percent in 1969 and 29.2 percent in 1976. The honors inflation at SUNYA has been even more spectacular. Approximately 21 percent of SUNYA graduates in each of the years 1968 -1969 and 1969-1970 received honors, but by 19761977 the percentage had risen to 50 percent. It was 46 percent in 1977-1978 and 48 percent in 1978-1979. In comparison, the proportion of honor graduates at Columbia was 11.8 percent in 1976-1977 and 13 percent in 1977-1978.

None of the other SUNY University Centers awards honors to nearly as high a proportion of students as we do.

## Stony Brook

The top 15 percent of the graduating class receive Latin honors which are computed after graduation. "Sumna" is awarded to the top 2 percent, "Nagna" to the next 5 percent, and "Cum" to the next 8 percent. A minimum of 60 credits must be completed at the Stony Brook campus. A sliding scale exists to accommodate $S / \mathrm{U}$ grades in relation to the number of $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}$ credits that will be utilized in the calculation. It is estimated that most honor graduates have a minimum of a 3.50 average.

## Buffalo

Students must have completed 32 credits at SUNY-Buffalo. A minimum of 96 credits of graded ( $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}$ ) work is computed, although a sliding scale may be utflized for students who have completed all their course work at Buffalo and opted for pass-fall courses. A transfer average is computed; a Buffalo average is computed; and a mean average is conputed. Averages required are: "Summa" - 3.75, "Magna" -. 3.50, and "Cum" - 3.20. Approximately 35 percent of the students receive Latin honors.

Requirements for Degree with Honors--contd. Page 3

## Binghamton - Harpur College

Students must have completed at least 15 courses in residence at SUNY-Binghamton. Students must have achieved a grade of "B" or better in at least three-fourths of the last fifteen courses attempted, and achieved a grade of "A" in at least one-half of the last fifteen courses attempted. Only SUNY-Binghamton courses that are acceptable to Harpur College may be used in the computation. Percentages of honors graduates were not available.

It should be noted that SUNYA, like many institutions, has two kinds of honors programs: university-wide, to which the motions are applicable; and departmental honors, which would not be changed by the motion. Departmental honors are controlled by the various departments, and typically involve taking several departmentally designated "honors" courses and (often) writing an acceptable tutorial essay. Harvard offers only departmental honors. While over half of Harvard graduates generally receive honors, this means that a student's tutorial essays were acceptable. To the best of the Committee's knowledge, no school that we would be happy to be compared to grants honors automatically on the basis of grades alone to anything remotely approaching 48 percent of its graduates.

While integrity and credibility of our honors program calls for a tightening of standards, the Committee thought it advisable to proceed cautiously. Current undergraduates have enrolled under catalogues specifying a 3.0 minimum for honors, and we thought it best not to disappoint these expectations. The 1980-1981 catalogue should contain a statement advising students that revisions of the stated requirements are under consideration, and the Committee felt that it would be appropriate to enforce any new standards beginning with 1984 graduates.

Admittedly, any choice of minimum averages for various honors is arbitrary to some extent. The choice of $3.25,3.50$, and 3.75 appealed to a previous committee because these numbers are easily expressible as so many "A's" to so many "B's". Our Committee felt no need to change the minimum for "Summa" from its present 3.70 but concurred with the previous Committee that the minima for "Cum" and "Magna" should be raised to 3.25 and 3.50 respectively. The following table summarizes the distribution of cumulative averages of seniors at the end of the 1979 spring semester and shows the percentages of students who would be eligible for various honors by the criteria of this proposal.

|  | Cum 3.0 or Above | Cum 3.0 or Above <br> and 56 A-E Credits |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cum Average | Percentage | Honors |
|  | Percentage |  |
| $3.70-4.00$ | $6 \%$ |  |
| $3.50-3.69$ | $8 \%$ | Suman |
| $3.25-3.49$ | $15 \%$ | Magna |
| TOTAL | $29 \%$ | Cum |
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Not all seniors completed the degree requirements in May 1979, so that the percentages qualifying for various honors ultimately would be greater than ceported in the last column. While grading standards may change over the next four years, these are still reasonable projections of the proportions who would receive various categories of honors under the proposed motion. In comparison, the median percentages of honors graduates reported for universities included in Helmreich's study are:

| Summa | $4.5 \%$ | (14 Institutions) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Magna | $8.9 \%$ | (14 Institutions) |
| Cum | $16.2 \%$ | (16 Institutions) |
| All honors | $27.9 \%$ | (18 Institutions) |

NOTE: Motion I would be applicable to students bound by the 1980-1981 catalogue and would not affect currently enrolled undergradutes.

Legislative Branch

Central Council
January 2I, 1980
Introduced by Mike Levy and Ed Klein

Whereas: $62 \%$ of the 1300 students surveyed by the SA Academic Affairs Committee were opposed to the proposed changes in honors requirements, and
Whereas: this proposal fails to make honors more educationally meaningful, and
Whereas: the vast majority of rhetoric and debate leading to this change has focused on arbitrary cumulative averages and varying $\%^{\prime}$ s of students possibly receiving

* "honorable" cums in 1984, and

Whereas: Discussion of a new, imaginative system of honors for our campus has been lacking, therefore
Be it hereby resolved that: Central Council opposes the proposes honors change before the University Senate, and
Be it further resolved that: Central Council urges the Senate to change the present proposal in such a way as to make it truly productive, or, to defeat it, and

Be it further resolved that: Copies of this resolution be sent to the ASP, WCDB, President O'Leary, and that it be presented to the Senate by the Chairperson of Central Council.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Attest: Muted hanedtore } \\
\text { Michelle Firestone } \\
\text { Central council Secretery }
\end{array}
$$
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POLANO,ORVILLE F
DEANS OFC-GRAD SCHOOL-PUBLIC AFFAIRS
U1 B96
TO:

FROM: Kendall Birr, Chairperson
Committee on Nominations and Elections

DATE: February 28, 1980

In accordance with the SUNYA Faculty By-laws, the Committee on Nominations and Elections is nominating the following faculty members to run for election to the SUNYA University Senate and the State-wide SUNY Senate:

## For SUNYA University Senate - Senator-at-large:

Donald Arnold, Associate Professor, School of Business
Vernon Buck, Director, Educational Opportunity Progran
Bonnie Carlson, Lecturer, School of Social Welfare
Frank Carrino, Professor, Hispanic and Italian Studies, Humanities and Fine Arts

Harry Frisch, Distinguished Professor, Chemistry, Science and Mathematics

George Gmelch, Assistant Professor, Anthropology, Social and Behavioral Sciences

Rosemary Sabai, Affirmative Action Officer, Affirmative Action Office

Richard Tastor, Head - Administrative Services, University Library

For SUNY Senator and Alternate:
SUNY Senator: Mauritz Johnson, Professor, Program Development and Evaluation, School of Education

Donald Reeb, Associate Professor, Economics, Social and Behavioral Sciences

Alternate: Arthur Collins, Professor of English, English, Humanities and Fine Arts

Maureen Didier, Associate Professor, School of Social Welfare

Faculty wishing to place additional names in nomination may do so by petition. Petitions must contain the names of at least TEN members of the Voting Faculty for the SUNYA Senate and TWENTY-FIVE names for SUNY Senator and Alternate. All petitions should indicate that the nominee has agreed to run for office.

Please return all nominating petitions to the Senate Office (AD 262)
by 5 p.m., Monday, March $10,1980$.


## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY <br> 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, Now York 12222

UNIVERSITY SENATE
MARCH 3, 1980
3:30 P. M.
ASSEMBLY HALL

AGENDA.

1. Approval of Minutes
2. Counci1 \& Committee Reports
3. O1d Business:
3.1 University-wide Evaluation Mechanism (7980-14)
3.2 Requirements for Degree with Honors (7980-15)
4. New Business:
4.1 Budget Cuts - Executilve Committee (7980-17)
4.2 Ph.D. Program in Computer Science - Graduate Academic Counci1 (7980-18)
4.3 Final Examination Policy - Undergraduate Academic Council (7980-19)
4.4 Definition of Incomplete - Undergraduate Academic Counci1 (7980-20)
4.5 Student Representation on the Senate - Executive Committee (7980-21)
4.6 Other
5. Adjournment
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## Minutes of March 3, 1980

```
ABSENT: R. Albrecht; H. Bakhru; F. Beharriell; M. Berger; D. Birn; B. Brick;
N. Browm; K. Burke; A. Cali; M. Faber; J. Farley; R. Farrel1; F. Femminella;
E. Garber; G. Gmelch; R. Greene; R. Hall; R. Hardt; G. Herrfurth; C. Izzard;
R. Koff; P. Krosby; P. Meadows; R. Meyers; M. Miringoff; R. Nirenberg;
V. O'Leary; W. Pape; T. Peters; F. Pogue; G. Purrington; E. Riley;
R. Sanders; D. Shub; I. Somach; H. Staley; C. Tarlton; B. Vonnegut;
P. Wallace; C. Welnstock; L. Welch
```

The meeting was called to order at $3: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Secretary, John Pipkin.

## 1. Approval of Minutes

The Minutes of the January 21, 1980 meeting were approved as written.
2. Counci1 \& Committee Reports
2.1 No written reports were submitted.
2.2 Executive Committee

Professor Pipkin reported that the Committee had received a report from the President regarding the budget.

Professor Wakin, on behalf of the Executive Comittee, attended a meeting in New York City with representatives of the other University Centers and members of the Legislature. This group will be meeting again on Maxch 10.

### 2.3 Research Council

The Council has approved the check Iist for Sponsored Research proposals.

### 2.4 Library Counci1

The Council has been meeting with prospective candidates for the position of library director and for the Chancellor's Awards for Excellence in Librarianship.
3. University-wide Evaluation Mechanism (Bi11 No. 7980-14)

The Council on Educational Policy has met on this bill and on the Tompkins Report. The Council hopes to have a final report next month.

Senate Minutes--contd.
March 3, 1.980
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4. Graduation with Honors (Bill No: 7980-15)

Senator Cannon, Chairperson of the UAC, noted that this matter was xeturned to the UAC's Subcommittee on Admissions and Academic Standing.
5. Budget Cuts (Bi11 No. 7980-17)

Senator Frank moved approval of the bill; motion seconded.
Semator Lafayette moved to amend the resolution to read "condemns the Governor for his role in" and "expresses grave concern"; motion to amend seconded.

After considerable debate the motion to amend was defeated.
Senator McLaren introduced a substitute motion:
"Be it resolved that the SUNY-AZbany Senate supports the Chancellor. and the Board of Trustees in their efforts to retain staffs and funds and to continue the excellence of SUNY in providing full and equal educational opportunity to the citizens of the State of New York, and pledges its cooperation inthese efforts."

Motion seconded.
Senator McLaren noted that this resolution was approved by the State-wide SUNY Senate at its most recent meeting.

Motion approved unanimously.

Senator Mitchell moved to break the agenda for the meeting to next consider Bill No. 7980-21 (Student Representation on the Senate); motion seconded and approved.
6. Student Representation on the Senate (Bi11 No. 7980-21)

Senator Mitchell moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded.
Senator Somach moved to amend the resolution to read "July 1, 1984"; motion seconded.

Several Senators spoke against the amendment noting that such a change would likely require a 40 percent quorum at the Spring Faculty meeting.

Question called.
The motion to amend was approved by majority vote.
B111. No. 7980-21 approved by mafority vote,

Senate Minutes-montd.
March 3, 1980
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7. Ph.D. Program in Computer Science (Bi11 No. 7980-18)

Senator D. Martin moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded.
Motion approved without dissent.
8. Final Examination Policy (Bi11 No. 7980-19)

Senator Cannon moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded.
Senator Mitchell moved to amend "one reading day" to "two reading days" in accordance with the current policy; motion seconded and approved.

Bi-1 No. 7980-19 approved by majority vote.
9. Definition of Incomplete (Bi11 No. 7980-20)

Senator Cannon moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded.
B111 No. 7980-20 approved without discussion or debate.

The meeting was adjourned at $4: 45 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

$$
r^{*+},+
$$

#  STATY UNTVERSIMY OF WEN YORE AT AHBANX 

Budget cuts

## TNTRODUCED BX : Sみecutive Comadwtee Maxdi 3, 1980

## YT TS BLREBY PROROSED TLAT PUE FOLTOWTNG BE ADOETED:

The Senate exprem grave concerm ovex the inewitable adverse impact on the seate triversity and on the quality of pubile highex aducation in New york state of the proposed Executive Budget for 1980-1981.

UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNTVERSTTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Student Representation on the University Senate

INTRODUCED BY: Executive Committee March 3, 1980

## IT TS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWTNG BE ADOPTED:

That the Senate place the following proposed amendment to the Faculty By-laws on the agenda for the spring 1980 faculty meeting:
> "Article II, Section 2.8, Subsections 2.6 and 2.7 shall automatically terminate as of July 1, 1982 unless extended by vote of the faculty or superseded by other legislation."

## BATTONALE

This amendment would have the effect of extending student representation on the Senate for another two years.

UNIVERSTTY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSTTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Final Examination Policy

TNTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council.
March 3, 1980

In many courses, final examinations are an integral part of the learning and evaluative process. Some courses by virtue of structure, material, ox style of presentation do not lend themselves to having a final examination. The following policy in no way requires an instructor to administer a final examination.

1. Final examinations in semester-long undergraduate courses in the university are to be given only during the scheduled final examination period in accordance with the official schedule of examinations as published by the office of the Registrar.
2. The texm "final examination" as used above shall be defined as any examination of more than one-half houx's duration that is given in the terminal phase of a course. As defined, "final examinations" may be either comprehensive of the majority of the content of a course OR limited to only a portion of the content of a course.
3. No examinations of more than one-half hour's duration are to be given during the last five regularly scheduled class days of a semester.
4. Instructors seeking any exceptions to the above policy must submit a written request through their respective department chairperson to their college or school dean, or directly to their dean in those schools with no departmental structure. If the dean approves the exception, the instructor must notify the class of the new scheduled final examination date at least three weeks before the last regularly scheduled class day of the semester. At the end of each semester, each college and school dean must submit to the Vice President for Academic Affairs a summary of all exceptions granted to the final examination policy.
5. The above regulations notwithstanding, the instructor in any course should always retain the freedom to reschedule a final examination for an individual student should such a student present a case of unquestionable hardship in his or her scheduled examinations. Such rescheduling should, however, be done in the final examination period if at all possible.
6. The university shall set aslde at least one reading day immediately prior to the start of final examinations. Saturday and Sunday shall not be counted as reading days.
7. This policy shall become effective as of the 1980 fall semester.
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## AGEND A

1. Approval of Minutes
2. Council \& Committee Reports
3. 01d Business:

### 3.1 University-wide Evaluation Mechanism (7980-14)

3.2 Requirements for Degree with Honors (7980-15)
4. New Business:
4.1 Budget Cuts - Wxecutive Comnittee (7980-17)
4.2 Ph.D. Program in Computer Science - Graduate Academic Counci1 (7980-18)
4.3 Final Examination Policy - Undergraduate Academic
Council (7980-19)
4.4 Definition of Incomplete - Undergraduate Academic Counci1 (7980-20)
4.5 Student Representation on the Senate - Executive Committee (7980-21)
4.6 Other
5. Adjournment
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## Ph.D. Program in Computer Science

INTRODUCED BY: Graduate Academic Council March 3, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWTNG BE ADOPTED:
I. That the attached proposal for a doctoral program in computer science be approved.

TH. That this proposal be xeferred to the President for his approval.

Ar rachment

PROPOSAL FOR A DOCTORAL PROGRAM
IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

## Rationale

At the present time, the Computer Science Department participates in an interdisciplinary undergraduate program in computer science and applied mathematics and offers a master's degree program in computer science. Both programs are successful, and enrollments in them have been increasing. Faculty members with international reputations in theoretical computer science have been added to the department, and four National Science Foundation grants have been awarded to department faculty in the last two years.

Informed estimates indicate that positions are available for about four times as many doctoral graduates in computer science as are now produced each year.

A visiting committee consisting of three computer scientists of established international reputation has supported the institution of a doctoral program at Albany.

This evidence indicates a need for additional doctoral production in computer science, and that the faculty at Albany is qualified to support a $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. program. Authorization is requested to initiate a doctoral program.

## Regulations and Requirements

The program will be administered by a Doctoral Program Committee consisting of three associate or full professors who are active in research.

Each doctoral student will be required to pass a preliminary examination and a qualifying examination as part of the doctoral program. The preliminary examination will test fundamental theoretical preparation for research in computer science. The qualifying examination will test knowledge of current research relevant to the proposed thesis and mastery of the basic skills required for investigation of the thesis topic and original contribution to the field of computer science.

The preliminary examination will be written under the supervision of the Doctoral Program Comaittee. The qualifying examination will be written by the Thesis Committee which will be appointed by the Program Committee after sucessful completion of the preliminary examination and will include the thesis supervisor when such an assignment is clear.

Each doctoral candidate will also be required to pass the comprehensive examination required of all candidates for the master's degree. This examination will emphasize practical aspects of computer science.
program is approved. A significant number of entering graduate students are enrolling with a substantial background in computer science which includes the material covered in the shared resource courses now offered and some material in other introductory level graduate courses such as CSI 500 or CSI 508. Such students will become more common, and it is imperative that the master's program expand to meet their requirements.

CSI 5XX - Topics in Parallel Computation
CSI 5XX - Logic and Recursive Function Theory (this wi.11 replace CSI 601 and CSI 606)
CSI 5XX - Software Engineering
CSI 5XX - Symbolic Algebraic Manipulation
CSI 5XX - Artificial Intelligence
CSI 6XX - Theory of Computation II (CSI 509 will become Theory of Computation I)
CSI 6XX - Database Systems II (CSI 508 will become Database Systems I)
CSI 6XX - Program Semantics and Verification
In addition, it is expected that work in some advanced areas for doctoral students will be offered as directed reading and independent study under the existing tities;

CSI 694 - Directed Readings in Computer Science
CSI 697 - Independent Study and Research.

UNIVERSTIY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSTTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Final Examination Policy

## INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council March 3, 1980

In many courses, final examinations are an integral part of the learning and evaluative process. Some courses by virtue of structure, material, or style of presentation do not lend themselves to having a final examination. The following policy in no way requires an instructor to administer a final examination.

1. Final examinations in semestermong undergraduate courses in the university are to be given only durfing the scheduled final examination period in accordance with the official schedule of examinations as published by the office of the Registrar.
2. The term "final examination" as used above shall be defined as any examination of more than one-half hour's duration that is given in the terminal phase of a course. As defined, "final examinations" may be either comprehensive of the majority of the content of a course OR limited to only a portion of the content of a course.
3. No examinations of more than one-half hour ${ }^{\text {' }}$ duration are to be given during the last five regularly scheduled class days of a semester.
4. Instructors seeking any exceptions to the above policy must submit a written request through their respective department chairperson to their college or school dean, or directly to their dean in those schools with no departmental structure. If the dean approves the exception, the instructor must notify the class of the new scheduled final examination date at least three weeks before the last regularly scheduled class day of the semestex. At the end of each semester, each college and school dean must submit to the Vice President for Academic Affaixs a summary of all exceptions granted to the final examination policy.
5. The above regulations notwithstanding, the instructor in any course should always retain the freedom to reschedule a final examination for an individual student should such a student present a case of unquestionable hardship in his or her scheduled examinations. Such rescheduling should, however, be done in the final examination period if at all possible.
6. The university shall set aside at least one reading day immediately prior to the start of final examinations. Saturday and Sunday shall not be counted as reading days.
7. This policy shall become effective as of the 1980 fall semester.

UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

> Revised Definition of "Incomplete"

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council March 3, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. Beginning with the 1980-1981 Undergraduate Bulletin, the grades of "I", "J", and "K" be defined:
"I" - Incomplete. No graduation credit. A temporary grade requested by the student and assigned by the instructor only when the student has nearly completed the course requirements but, because of circumstances beyond the student's control, the work is not completed. The date for the completion of the work is speciffied by the instructor, but may not be longer than one month before the end of the semester following that in which the Incomplete is received. The instructor assigns the appropriate academic grade no later than the stated deadline, or extends the existing Tncomplete grade to the next semester. Any grade of "I" existing after the stated deadline shall be automatically changed to "J" or " K " according to whether or not the student is enrolled for $A-E$ or $S / U$ grading.
"J" - Grade assigned by the appropriate administrative officer for failure to resolve the grade of "I" in a course where the student is enrolled for A-E grading. The grade of " $J$ " can be changed by the instructor.
"K" - Grade assigned by the appropriate administrative officer for failure to resolve the grade of "I" in a course where the student is enrolled for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U}$ grading. The grade of " $K$ " can be changed by the instructor.
II. In the 1980-1981 Undergraduate Bulletin, "Academic Average" be amended to read:

The academic average is computed using all course credits graded and weighted as follows: "A" - 4, "B" - 3, "C" - 2, "D" - 1, "E" - 0, and "J" - 0. The average does not include courses with the grades of "S", "U", "I", "K", "W", or "N".

## Rationale

1. The grade of "I" should only be assigned when requested by the student. Otherwise, the appropriate grade should be assigned by the instructor, based on established evaluative criteria.
2. The instructor establishes the date for completion of all work within the established university deadline.
3. The option exists whereby an instructor can assign an appropriate academic grade based on work completed even if the total requirements of the course are not met. This provision exists in the current policy.
4. If an instructor does not submit an academic grade or request an extension by the stated deadline, the grade of "I" will be automatically converted to a "J" or "K". Currently, if an instructor does not submit a grade, the Incomplete can remain on the transcript indefinitely. Inconsistency is occurring because some students are having "E" or "U" grades assigned by their instructors whereas other students are not being penalized because their instructors have not submitted grades.

As the Assistant Registrax for Records Management pointed out recently:
"It does not appear appropriate that we currently list a deadline for removal of Incompletes while at the same time are powerless to enforce this deadine. My office contacts instructors each semester, requesting efther a grade or a formal incomplete extension for students who had been assigned "I" grades by respec.m cive faculty members. In the situation where the faculty member takes no such action, the "I" remains until such time that a grade change is submitted."

UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSTTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Revised Definition of "Incomplete"

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council March 3, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. Beginning with the 1980-1981 Undergraduate Bulletin, the grades of "T", "J", and "K" be defined:
"I" - Incomplete. No graduation credit. A temporary grade requested by the student and assigned by the instructor only when the student has nearly completed the course requirements but, because of circumstances beyond the student's control, the work is not completed. The date for the completion of the work is specified by the instructor, but may not be longer than one month before the end of the semester following that in which the Incomplete is received. The instructor assigns the appropriate academic grade no later than the stated deadline, or extends the existing Incomplete grade to the next semester. Any grade of "I" existing after the stated deadline shall be automatically changed to " $J$ " or " $K$ " according to whether or not the student is enrolled for $A-E$ or $S / U$ grading.
"J" - Grade assigned by the appropriate administrative officer for failure to resolve the grade of "I" in a course where the student is enrolled for $A-E$ grading. The grade of " $J$ " can be changed by the instructor.
"K" - Grade assigned by the appropriate administrative officer for failure to resolve the grade of " $I$ " in a course where the student is enrolled for $S / \mathrm{U}$ grading. The grade of " $K$ " can be changed by the instructor.
II. In the 1980-1981 Undergraduate Bulletin, "Academic Average" be amended to read:

The academic average is computed using all course credits graded and weighted as follows: "A" -- 4, "B" - 3, "C" - 2, "D" - 1, "E" - 0, and "J" - 0. The average does not include courses with the grades of "S", "U", "I', "K", "W", or "N".
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## Rationale

1. The grade of "I" should only be assigned when requested by the student. Otherwise, the appropriate grade should be assigned by the instructor, based on established evaluative criteria.
2. The instructor establishes the date for completion of all work within the established university deadline.
3. The option exists whereby an instructor can assign an appropriate academic grade based on work completed even if the total. requirements of the course are not met. This provision exists in the current policy.
4. If an instructor does not submit an academic grade or request an extenstion by the stated deadline, the grade of "I" will be automatically converted to a "J" or "K"。 Currently, if. an instructor does not submit a grade, the Incomplete can remain on the cranscript indefinitely. Inconsistency is occurring because some students are having "E" or "U" grades assigned by their instructors whereas other students are not being penalized because theix instructors have not submitted grades.

As the Assistant Registrax for Records Management pointed out receatly:
"It does not appear appropriate that we currently list a deadline for removal of Incompletes while at the same time are powerless to enforce this deadline. My office contacts instructors each semester, requesting efther a grade or a formal incomplete extension for stadents who had been assigned " $I$ " grades by respective faculty members. In the situation where the faculty member takes no such action, the "I" remains until such time that a grade change is submitted."

Student Representation on the University Senate

INTRODUCED BY: Executive Committee March 3, 1980

## II IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWTNG BE ADOPTED:

That the Senate place the following proposed amendment to the Faculty By-laws on the agenda for the spring 1980 faculty meeting:
"Article TI, Section 2.8, Subsections 2.6 and 2.7 shall automatically terminate as of July 1,1982 unless extended by vote of the faculty or superseded by other legislation."

## BATTONALE

This anendment would have the effect of extending student representation on the Senate for anothex two years.
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## Ph.D. Program in Computer Science

INTRODUCED BY: Graduate Academic Council March 3, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWTNG BE ADOPTED:
I. That the attached proposal for a doctoral program in computer science be approved.

TH. That this proposal be xeferred to the President for his approval.

Ar rachment

PROPOSAL FOR A DOCTORAL PROGRAM
IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

## Rationale

At the present time, the Computer Science Department participates in an interdisciplinary undergraduate program in computer science and applied mathematics and offers a master's degree program in computer science. Both programs are successful, and enrollments in them have been increasing. Faculty members with international reputations in theoretical computer science have been added to the department, and four National Science Foundation grants have been awarded to department faculty in the last two years.

Informed estimates indicate that positions are available for about four times as many doctoral graduates in computer science as are now produced each year.

A visiting committee consisting of three computer scientists of established international reputation has supported the institution of a doctoral program at Albany.

This evidence indicates a need for additional doctoral production in computer science, and that the faculty at Albany is qualified to support a $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. program. Authorization is requested to initiate a doctoral program.

## Regulations and Requirements

The program will be administered by a Doctoral Program Committee consisting of three associate or full professors who are active in research.

Each doctoral student will be required to pass a preliminary examination and a qualifying examination as part of the doctoral program. The preliminary examination will test fundamental theoretical preparation for research in computer science. The qualifying examination will test knowledge of current research relevant to the proposed thesis and mastery of the basic skills required for investigation of the thesis topic and original contribution to the field of computer science.

The preliminary examination will be written under the supervision of the Doctoral Program Comaittee. The qualifying examination will be written by the Thesis Committee which will be appointed by the Program Committee after sucessful completion of the preliminary examination and will include the thesis supervisor when such an assignment is clear.

Each doctoral candidate will also be required to pass the comprehensive examination required of all candidates for the master's degree. This examination will emphasize practical aspects of computer science.
program is approved. A significant number of entering graduate students are enrolling with a substantial background in computer science which includes the material covered in the shared resource courses now offered and some material in other introductory level graduate courses such as CSI 500 or CSI 508. Such students will become more common, and it is imperative that the master's program expand to meet their requirements.

CSI 5XX - Topics in Parallel Computation
CSI 5XX - Logic and Recursive Function Theory (this wi.11 replace CSI 601 and CSI 606)
CSI 5XX - Software Engineering
CSI 5XX - Symbolic Algebraic Manipulation
CSI 5XX - Artificial Intelligence
CSI 6XX - Theory of Computation II (CSI 509 will become Theory of Computation I)
CSI 6XX - Database Systems II (CSI 508 will become Database Systems I)
CSI 6XX - Program Semantics and Verification
In addition, it is expected that work in some advanced areas for doctoral students will be offered as directed reading and independent study under the existing tities;

CSI 694 - Directed Readings in Computer Science
CSI 697 - Independent Study and Research.

UNIVERSTIY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSTTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Final Examination Policy

## INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council March 3, 1980

In many courses, final examinations are an integral part of the learning and evaluative process. Some courses by virtue of structure, material, or style of presentation do not lend themselves to having a final examination. The following policy in no way requires an instructor to administer a final examination.

1. Final examinations in semestermong undergraduate courses in the university are to be given only durfing the scheduled final examination period in accordance with the official schedule of examinations as published by the office of the Registrar.
2. The term "final examination" as used above shall be defined as any examination of more than one-half hour's duration that is given in the terminal phase of a course. As defined, "final examinations" may be either comprehensive of the majority of the content of a course OR limited to only a portion of the content of a course.
3. No examinations of more than one-half hour ${ }^{\text {' }}$ duration are to be given during the last five regularly scheduled class days of a semester.
4. Instructors seeking any exceptions to the above policy must submit a written request through their respective department chairperson to their college or school dean, or directly to their dean in those schools with no departmental structure. If the dean approves the exception, the instructor must notify the class of the new scheduled final examination date at least three weeks before the last regularly scheduled class day of the semestex. At the end of each semester, each college and school dean must submit to the Vice President for Academic Affaixs a summary of all exceptions granted to the final examination policy.
5. The above regulations notwithstanding, the instructor in any course should always retain the freedom to reschedule a final examination for an individual student should such a student present a case of unquestionable hardship in his or her scheduled examinations. Such rescheduling should, however, be done in the final examination period if at all possible.
6. The university shall set aside at least one reading day immediately prior to the start of final examinations. Saturday and Sunday shall not be counted as reading days.
7. This policy shall become effective as of the 1980 fall semester.

UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

> Revised Definition of "Incomplete"

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council March 3, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. Beginning with the 1980-1981 Undergraduate Bulletin, the grades of "I", "J", and "K" be defined:
"I" - Incomplete. No graduation credit. A temporary grade requested by the student and assigned by the instructor only when the student has nearly completed the course requirements but, because of circumstances beyond the student's control, the work is not completed. The date for the completion of the work is speciffied by the instructor, but may not be longer than one month before the end of the semester following that in which the Incomplete is received. The instructor assigns the appropriate academic grade no later than the stated deadline, or extends the existing Tncomplete grade to the next semester. Any grade of "I" existing after the stated deadline shall be automatically changed to "J" or " K " according to whether or not the student is enrolled for $A-E$ or $S / U$ grading.
"J" - Grade assigned by the appropriate administrative officer for failure to resolve the grade of "I" in a course where the student is enrolled for A-E grading. The grade of " $J$ " can be changed by the instructor.
"K" - Grade assigned by the appropriate administrative officer for failure to resolve the grade of "I" in a course where the student is enrolled for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{U}$ grading. The grade of " $K$ " can be changed by the instructor.
II. In the 1980-1981 Undergraduate Bulletin, "Academic Average" be amended to read:

The academic average is computed using all course credits graded and weighted as follows: "A" - 4, "B" - 3, "C" - 2, "D" - 1, "E" - 0, and "J" - 0. The average does not include courses with the grades of "S", "U", "I", "K", "W", or "N".

## Rationale

1. The grade of "I" should only be assigned when requested by the student. Otherwise, the appropriate grade should be assigned by the instructor, based on established evaluative criteria.
2. The instructor establishes the date for completion of all work within the established university deadline.
3. The option exists whereby an instructor can assign an appropriate academic grade based on work completed even if the total requirements of the course are not met. This provision exists in the current policy.
4. If an instructor does not submit an academic grade or request an extension by the stated deadline, the grade of "I" will be automatically converted to a "J" or "K". Currently, if an instructor does not submit a grade, the Incomplete can remain on the transcript indefinitely. Inconsistency is occurring because some students are having "E" or "U" grades assigned by their instructors whereas other students are not being penalized because their instructors have not submitted grades.

As the Assistant Registrax for Records Management pointed out recently:
"It does not appear appropriate that we currently list a deadline for removal of Incompletes while at the same time are powerless to enforce this deadine. My office contacts instructors each semester, requesting efther a grade or a formal incomplete extension for students who had been assigned "I" grades by respec.m cive faculty members. In the situation where the faculty member takes no such action, the "I" remains until such time that a grade change is submitted."

UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSTTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Revised Definition of "Incomplete"

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council March 3, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. Beginning with the 1980-1981 Undergraduate Bulletin, the grades of "T", "J", and "K" be defined:
"I" - Incomplete. No graduation credit. A temporary grade requested by the student and assigned by the instructor only when the student has nearly completed the course requirements but, because of circumstances beyond the student's control, the work is not completed. The date for the completion of the work is specified by the instructor, but may not be longer than one month before the end of the semester following that in which the Incomplete is received. The instructor assigns the appropriate academic grade no later than the stated deadline, or extends the existing Incomplete grade to the next semester. Any grade of "I" existing after the stated deadline shall be automatically changed to " $J$ " or " $K$ " according to whether or not the student is enrolled for $A-E$ or $S / U$ grading.
"J" - Grade assigned by the appropriate administrative officer for failure to resolve the grade of "I" in a course where the student is enrolled for $A-E$ grading. The grade of " $J$ " can be changed by the instructor.
"K" - Grade assigned by the appropriate administrative officer for failure to resolve the grade of " $I$ " in a course where the student is enrolled for $S / \mathrm{U}$ grading. The grade of " $K$ " can be changed by the instructor.
II. In the 1980-1981 Undergraduate Bulletin, "Academic Average" be amended to read:

The academic average is computed using all course credits graded and weighted as follows: "A" -- 4, "B" - 3, "C" - 2, "D" - 1, "E" - 0, and "J" - 0. The average does not include courses with the grades of "S", "U", "I', "K", "W", or "N".
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## Rationale

1. The grade of "I" should only be assigned when requested by the student. Otherwise, the appropriate grade should be assigned by the instructor, based on established evaluative criteria.
2. The instructor establishes the date for completion of all work within the established university deadline.
3. The option exists whereby an instructor can assign an appropriate academic grade based on work completed even if the total. requirements of the course are not met. This provision exists in the current policy.
4. If an instructor does not submit an academic grade or request an extenstion by the stated deadline, the grade of "I" will be automatically converted to a "J" or "K"。 Currently, if. an instructor does not submit a grade, the Incomplete can remain on the cranscript indefinitely. Inconsistency is occurring because some students are having "E" or "U" grades assigned by their instructors whereas other students are not being penalized because theix instructors have not submitted grades.

As the Assistant Registrax for Records Management pointed out receatly:
"It does not appear appropriate that we currently list a deadline for removal of Incompletes while at the same time are powerless to enforce this deadline. My office contacts instructors each semester, requesting efther a grade or a formal incomplete extension for stadents who had been assigned " $I$ " grades by respective faculty members. In the situation where the faculty member takes no such action, the "I" remains until such time that a grade change is submitted."

Student Representation on the University Senate

INTRODUCED BY: Executive Committee March 3, 1980

## II IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWTNG BE ADOPTED:

That the Senate place the following proposed amendment to the Faculty By-laws on the agenda for the spring 1980 faculty meeting:
"Article TI, Section 2.8, Subsections 2.6 and 2.7 shall automatically terminate as of July 1,1982 unless extended by vote of the faculty or superseded by other legislation."

## BATTONALE

This anendment would have the effect of extending student representation on the Senate for anothex two years.
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To: Kevin Burke, Chairperson of University Senate
From: Mark Lafayette, Senator
Re: Emergøfncy Senate Meeting on March 19, 1980

In accordance with Section I, Section 4, Article 4.4, we five Senators would like to call an emergency meeting of the University Senate.

Thank you for your cooperation.

> Mark Lafayette
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ABSENT: H. Bakhru; A. Baldwin; F. Beharriell; M. Berger; M. Bers; D. Birn; S. Bonk;
N. Brown; K. Burke; A. Cali; E. Christensen; M. Faber; J. Farley; M. Farrell;
R. Farre11; F. Frank; N. Gelfand; G. Gmelch; N. Gottschalk; R. Greene;
R. Hall; R. Hardt; G. Herrfurth; K. Hotaling; C. Izzard; T. Kelly; S. Kim;
R. Klages; R. Koff; P. Krosby; R. Kupetsky; E. McLaren; P. Meadows; R. Meyers;
M. Miringoff; J. Mitchell; J. Morgenstern; R. Nirenberg; V. O'Leary; W. Pape;
T. Peters; J. Pipkin; F. Pogue; G. Purrington; J. Riedel; E. Riley; A. Roberts;
M. Rozett; R. Sanders; W. Scholz; J. Scott; D. Shub; H. Staley; C. Tarlton;
J. Uppa1; P. Vaillancourt; J. Wall; P. Wallace; C. Weinstock; L. Welch;
L. Yakablowski; D. Yokel

In accordance with Article II, Section 4.4 of the Faculty By-laws, five Senators (Senators Groark, Gross, Lafayette, Levy, and Tarlton) requested that a special Senate meeting be called for March 19, 1980, to discuss the following resolution:

WHEREAS, Govemor Carey's proposed Executive Budget witl result in significant, detrimental effects to the SUNY system; and

WHEREAS, it is therefore necessary for students, faculty, and administrators to do whatever they an to oppose this budget; and

WHEREAS, March 26 has been established as the day that "SUNY strikes back";

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that the University Senate requests that instructors not penalize students who miss classes to participate in the activities of March 26; and

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be sent to President O'Leary, the University News, the Albany Student Press, and WCDB.

Senator Lafayette moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded. The resolution was approved by majority vote.

The meeting was adjourned at $3: 55 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
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WHEREAS: Governor Carey's proposed Executive Budget will result in significant, detrimental effects to the SUNY system; and
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it is merest REsolved: that the University Senate requests that instructors not penalize students who miss classes to participate in the activities of March 26; and
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## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222

University Senate
April 14, 1980
3:30 p.m.
CC Assembly Hall

A $\underline{G} \underline{E} \underline{\underline{D} \underline{A}}$

1. Approval of Minutes
2. Council and Committee Reports
3. O1d Business:
3.1 Report of the Council on Educational Policy on Senate Bill No. 197980-14
4. New Business:
4.1 Major in Computer Science (UAC) - Bill No. 197980-23
4.2 Plus/Minus Grading (UAC) - Bill No. 197980-24
4.3 Doctoral Program in School Psychology (GAC) - Bill No. 197980-25
4.4 Procedures for the Internal Suspension or Termination of Graduate Programs (GAC) - Bill No. 197980-26
4.5 Enforcement of Reading Day Policy (Senators Morgenstern and Weintraub) - Bill No. 197980-27
4.6 Revision in Charge of the Student Affairs Council (SAC) Bf11 No. 197980-28
4.7 Other
5. Adfournment

NOIE: Also included in this packet are proposed revisions in the Guidelines for Promotion and Continuing Appointment. The Senate will meet on Monday, April 21 to take action on these revisions.

Minutes of Apr11 14, 1980

ABSENT: R. Albrecht; A. Baldwin; F. Beharriell; M. Bers; B. Brick; A. Cali;
E. Christensen; M. Fabex; J. Farley; R. Farrell; G. Gmelch; R. Greene;
D. Gross; J. Hartigan; C. Izzard; R. Klages; R. Koff; R. Kupetsky;
D. Maxtin; R. Meyers; J. Mitchell; T. Peters; J. Pipkin; F. Pogue;
G. Purrington; E, Riley; A. Roberts; H. Staley; P. Wallace; C. Weinstock;
D. Yoke1

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. by the Chairperson, Kevin Burke. The Minutes of the previous meeting were not available for approval.

1. Counci1 \& Committee Reports
1.1 Written reports were submitted by the Council on Educational Policy, the Undergraduate Academic Council, and the Graduate Academic Council.
2. University-Wide Mechanism (Bil1 No. 7980-14)

The Council on Educational Policy presented its report on Bill No. 7980-14. The Council recommended that the bill not be adopted. The Council requested that the Senate consider adoption of the evaluation instrument it has devised' as a result of the Tompkins Report.

Senator Somach withdrew Bil1 No. 7980-14 from consideration.
After additional discussion of the EPC's report, it was agreed that the final report will be brought to the Senate for action.

Senator Klein moved that the EPC not take any action on this matter without the approval of the Senate; motion seconded by Senator Borkowski.

After additional discussion Senator Klein withdrew his motion and substituted That the EPC's recommendations do not stand as a statement of the Senate and that any recommendations of the EPC be brought before the senate for approval; motion seconded.

Senator Miringoff moved the previous question; motion seconded and approved.
Senator Klein's motion was defeated by a vote of 16 in favor to 33 opposed.
3. Major in Computer Science (Bi11 No. 7980-23)

Senator Cannon, speaking on behalf of the UAC, moved approval of this motion; motion seconded and approved by majority vote.
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4. Plus/Minus Grading (Bill No. 7980-24)

Senator Cannon, speaking in behalf of the UAC, moved approval of the bill; motion seconded.

Senator Hardt asked if the Graduate Academic Council is considering a similar bill. Senator Taxlton said that the GAC is not considering such a resolution at this time.

Senator Gelfand moved to eliminate minuses from the system and that pluses be worth . 5 instead of . 3; motion seconded by Senator Lafayette.

Question called on the motion to amend. Motion to amend defeated.
Senator Gelfand moved to amend the bill by adding At; motion seconded.
Question called, seconded and approved.
Motion to amend defeated.

Several Senators asked if a quorum was present. A quorum was not present and the meeting was adjourned until Monday, April 21.

Minutes of Apri1 21, 1980

ABSENT: R. Albrecht; H. Bakhru; A. Baldwin; F. Beharriell; M. Bers; B. Brick;
A. Cali; E. Christensen; S. Coplon; M. Faber; J. Farley; M. Faxrell;
R. Farrell; F. Femminella; G. Gmelch; S. Gold; N. Gottschalk; R. Greene;
R. Hardt; J. Hartigan; G. Herrfurth; K. Hotaling; C. Izzard; G. Kina;
R. Koff; P. Krosby; P. Meadows; R. Meyers; R. Nirenberg; W. Pape;
T. Peters; F. Pogue; G. Purrington; J. Riedel; E. Riley; A. Roberts;
J. Scott; C. Taxlton; J. Uppal; J. Wall; P. Wallace; C. Weinstock;
L. We1ch; D. Yokel

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40$ p.m. by the Chairperson, Kevin
Burke.

1. Plus/Minus Grading-contd.

Senator Welntraub moved to retum this bill to committee for a study of the affects of plus and minus grading on students in applying for graduate admission, etc.; motion seconded.

Motion to refer back to committee approved. It was also suggested that the resolution be referred to the Graduate Academic Council for consideration.
2. Doctoral Program In School Psychology (B111 No. 7980-25)

Senator Sanders moved approval of the bili; motion seconded by Senator Wakin.

Motion approved without discussion or dissent.
3. Procedures for Internal Suspension (Bi11 No. 7980-26)

Senator Borkowski moved approval of the bill; motion seconded.
Senator Borkowski moved approval of the amendments proposed by the Executive Comittee; motion seconded and approved.

Senator Scholz moved to delete an ad hoc committee from the bill.

Senator Martin noted that this ad hoc committee would be one appointed by the GAC and/or the President.

Senator Scholz changed his motion to amend to read an ad hoc committee appointed by the President; motion seconded.

After some debate, Senator Scholz withdrew his motion to amend.
Senator McLaren moved to delete an ad hoc committee; motion seconded.
Senator Mitchell moved all pending questions; motion seconded and approved.
Motion to amend approved.

Main motion approved.
4. Reading Day Policy (7980-27)

Senator Weintraub moved approval of the substitute motion distributed to the Senate; motion seconded.

Senator Mitche 11 moved to strike Section IV of the motion; motion seconded.
Several Senators spoke against the motion to amend.
Senator Borkowski moved to amend the motion to amend by also deleting the current section $V$ and substituting:

That for fall 1981 and alZ subsequent semesters, the Council on Eduaational Polioy shall attempt to schedule reading days (besides weekends) where this is consistent with the current method of scheduting classes.

Senator Cannon moved the previous question. Motion seconded and approved.
Senator Borkowski's anendment was approved.
Senator Mitche11's amended proposal was approved.
'Senate Minutes--contd.
April 14, 1980
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4. Reading Day Policy-mend.

Main motion approved by majority vote.
5. Charge to the Student Affairs Counci1 (Bil1 No. 7980-28)

Senator Lafayette moved approval of the bil1; motion seconded.
Senators Brown and Lafayette spoke in favor of the resolution.
Bil1 7980-28 approved by majority vote.
6. Charge to the CPCA (Bil1 No. 7980-31)

Senator Berger moved approval of the bill; motion seconded.

Motion approved without dissent.
7. Guidelines for Promotion (Bill No. 32)

Senator Berger moved approval of the bill; motion seconded.
Senator Somach moved that the student evaluations in Section IV-B be made available for public viewing; motion seconded.

Senator Sanders asked for a ruling of the Chair as to whether this motion is pertinent to the topic under discussion. The Chair ruled that the motion was irrelevant.

Senator Sanders moved to delete student advisement under Section I-A-3. The motion to amend failed for lack of a second.

Quorum called. A quorum was not present.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:55 p.m.

## REPORTS TO THE SENATE

APRIL 14, 1980

FROM: Sung Bok Kim, Chairperson
Council on Educational Po1icy

## For Information

At its regular meeting last Friday (Apri1 11, 1980) the EPC received from the Executive Committee a request that the Council review the proposed bill entitled "Enforcement of Reading Day Policy". This proposal has been introduced by two student Senators who believe, quite correctly we suspect, that the new final examination policy will cause more examinations to be held during final examination week and produce more pressure on students. As a means of relief, they ask that we return to the old policy of two reading days exclusive of weekends which was in effect on the campus from 1972 to 1978.

The Council looked at the Morgenstern/Weintraub proposal, reviewed calendar policy, and examined current and up-coming calendars. On the basis of this review, the Council believes that the issue boils down to a conflict between two university calendar policies: (a) that the fall semester should begin after Labor Day; and (b) that there should be two reading days. This conflict arose in 1977-1978 when we were forced to make a choice while plan-ning the calendars for 1979-1980 and 1980-1981, and the EPC and the senate at that time decided in favor of beginning after Labor Day. After discussion, the EPC still leans in favor of beginning after Labor Day. Specific to the proposal at hand, the EPC makes the following recommendations to the Senate:

1. Regarding the current semester, we recommend no change. It would be very upsetting to faculty plans at this late date to convert May 12 from a day of instruction to one of reading. Moreover, the new final examination policy will not take effect this semester.
2. Regarding fall 1980, we recommend that the first day of examinations (Monday, December 15) be converted to a reading day and that the last day of examinations be moved to December 23. While the schedule of classes and examinations for fall 1980 has already been published, it would be possible, with minimal disruption, to move the last day of finals to December 23 and leave a Saturday/Sunday/Monday open between the last day of classes and the first day of examinations. Since Labor Day falls on September 1 in fall 1980, this is the only fall semester in the next four or five years where we can add a reading day without changing the class hours schedule and still be legal.
3. For spring 1981, and for subsequent spring semesters, we recommend the scheduling of two reading days. There will be no legal or administrative problems with this
change, especially if courses which normally meet once per week continue to meet during reading days.
4. For fall 1981 and beyond, we recommend the lengthening of the class hour to 60 minutes from the current 55 minutes on $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{F}$, and to 85 or 90 minutes (whichever proves more feasible) from the current 80 minutes on T/TH. Classes which normally meet only once per week should continue to meet on reading days. The reasons for lengthening the class hour schedule include the following:
a. The change will enable us to schedule two reading days before final examinations and to avoid Saturday examinations, even in semesters where Labor Day appears late and the Jewish holy days fall in mid-week.
b. Without the change in policy there will be a few fall semesters when we will be below the minimum legal class contact hours, and this change will remove any questions about compliance.
c. Several faculty and deans have argued that our standards should be above the bare minimum by a healthy margin; others have felt that the change from 50 to 55 minutes was only a token increase, and that it is difficult to use the added 5 minutes profitably. By raising the addition to 10 minutes, we will both bring the class contact time comfortably above the minimum and allow instructors more time to cover additional material.
d. SUNY-Binghamton has a 60-minute contact hour on Monday/Wednesday/Friday and finds it to be a rather rational arrangement. When we constructed our own calendar plan three years ago, it was our belief that 60 -minute periods were not possible due to the demand for space. More recent study by the Registrar's office suggests that scheduling for 60 -minute periods on $M / W / F$ is possible aftera11.

For Action
Report of the PPC on Senate Bill No. 197980-14

```
* * * ******
```

FROM: Harold Cannon, Chairperson Undergraduate Academic Counci. 1

## For Information

1. The Council approved the establishment of a subcommittee on admissions and academic standing for Continuing Studies students. (Subcommittees have existed for some time for declared majors and for undeclared majors.)
2. The Council approved revised structured second fields in urban and regional planning and Asian studies.
3. The Council accepted the list of courses approved by the LEAP committee.
4. The Council supported the Curriculum Committee's denial of a student's request of $a$ B.A. and B.S. concurrent degree.

For Action

1. Undergraduate major In computer science (Senate B1.11 No. 197980-23)
2. Plus/minus grading (Senate B11. No. 197980-24)
3. Special Committee on Advising Awards (Senate B111 No. 197980-30)
4. Revision of Policy Regarding A11 Undergraduate Honors Programs (Senate Bill No. 197980-29)

FROM: Charles Tarlton, Chairperson Graduate Academic Council

## For Information

The Graduate Academic Council has approved a proposal to change the Ed.D. in Counseling to a Ph.D. In Counseling Psychology.

## For Action

1. Doctora1 Program In Schoo1 Psychology (Senate Bil1 No. 197980-25)
2. Procedures for the Internal Suspension or Termination of Graduate Programs (Senate B111 No. 197980-26)

# STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 

1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222

UNIVERSITY SENATE

## TO:

Senate Executive Committee

FROM: Council on Educational Policy

SUBJECT: Senate Bill No. 197980-14 (Teaching Evaluation)

DATE: Apri1 7, 1980

The Council on Educational Policy has devoted several meetings to a discussion of the report of the Committee for the Improvement of Undergraduate Teaching. Early in our deliberations we reached a consensus that a uniform, campus-wide rating scale is absolutely necessary for faimess in assessing teaching performance across disciplines and departments, especially for tenure, promotion, and teaching award decisions.

Recognizing that rating instruments are commonly used for instructional self-improvement and student course gelection, as well as for personnel decisions, the EPC adopted the goal of designing an evaluation system which would accomplish all of these. Concluding that the rating scale and recommendations in the report of the Committee for the Improvement of Undergraduate Teaching represent a good beginning toward the development of such a system, the EPC voted to circulate the attached recommendations and Table 1 to deans and chairpersons, indicating that the EPC is seriously considering their adoption as policy, and asking for comments.

The EPC also recommended that we take steps to test as widely as possible the items in Table 1.

The action of the EPC supercedes Bil1 No. $7980-14$ in the sense that we are about to enter an experimental period during which we will test an instrument which will have multiple purposes-teaching improvement, personnel decision making, and student course selection. Different sections of the instrument will have different uses, and the data will be treated differently.

That part of the bill which calls for a university-wide evaluation instrument is in keeping with EPC goals, but not the part requesting that it be published. For the experimental period, at least, the results will be unpublished; and even later, the majority of items will be shared only with the instructor or with those on personnel committees.
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That part of the bill which encourages departments to furnish their departmental evaluations to the ACT has the potential to destroy what we hope to accomplish-namely, the use of evaluations for the purpose for which they were designed, and not some other purpose. While it is entirely up to each department to grant or not grant such a request, we do not endorse for student course selection the use of evaluations which were constructed for departmental personnel decisions and instructional improvement.

Since the ACT was designed expressly for the purpose of student course selection, it is probably the best set of items to achieve that purpose. The problem with encouraging instructors to use ACT now is that we also plan to encourage then to experiment with our other instrument, and the classrooms may soon be saturated with departmental, EPC, and ACT evaluations.

The Council on Educational Policy therefore recommends that Senate Bill No. 197980-14 not be approved.

## EPC RECOMMENDATIONS

## FOR INSTRUCTIONAL EVALUATION

1. We recommend that personnel decisions, including at least tenure, promotion, and teaching award decisions, must be based in part on a student instructional rating form administered for all instructors in every course each semester and containing the notice, instructions, scale, and items shown in Table 1.
2. We recommend that this student instructional rating form should be designed to permit, at the instructor's discretion, the inclusion of up to ten items or questions of the instructor's choosing. The answers to these items or questions would not be used by persons or committees considering personnel decisions at any level. The purposes of such items or questions might include the selfimprovement of the individual instructor. Such questions may be viewed as opportunities for the instructor to ask students questions relevant to specific matters concerning the instructor's classroom performance. This portion of the instrument should also contain a prominent notice to students that their responses will be available only to the instructor and are intended to help that instructor improve her/his classroom performance.
3. We also recommend that the institutional rating form be designed to permit the inclusion of items or questions unique to a department and which faculty members in a department, as a group, may deem appropriate indications of teaching performance in their discipline. Such items should be included at the discretion of each department and used as the department collectively sees fit.
4. We recommend, in order to assist students in making course selections, that student publications (e.g., ACT) be allowed to add no more than five items for this purpose, and then, only with the written permission of the instructor. This section of the instrument should also be preceded by a prominent notice to the students of the purpose of these items and of their intended use in a published document available to all students.
5. We recommend that the rating form mentioned above also be designed to permit students' written responses to openended questions. These questions should be selected by the instructor and responses used as he/she sees fit.
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6. We recommend, in order to make possible the development of university-wide norms for the eleven items shown in Table I, and to permit studies of the reliability and validity of those items, that the following information be solicited by each student instructional rating form:
(a) the student's academic class status;
(b) whether the course is required or elective;
(c) class size: 1-10; 11-25; 26-45; 46-100; more than 100;
(d) the instructor's academic rank;
(e) whether the course is in the student!s intended/actual major, intended/actual second field, or neither;
(£) the student's sex;
(g) the student's cumulative grade-point average.

RECOMMENDED NOTICE, INSTRUCTIONS, SCALE, AND ITEMS FOR A UNIVERSITY-WIDE INSTRUCTIONAL RATING FORM

NOTE: YOUR RESPONSES TO THE FIRST ELEVEN (11) ITEMS BELOW MAY BE USED IN MAKING PROMOTION, TENURE, OR OTHER MERIT DECISIONS CONCERNING THIS INSTRUCTOR.

Instructions:: Based on your experience in this course/section, how frequently were each of the statements below characteristic of this instructor? Please use the following scale:

5 = Almost always
$4=$ Very often
3 = About as often as not
$2=$ Seldom
1 = Almost never
DK = Don't know, or doesn't apply

1. Was well-prepared for class.
2. Communicated course content in ways you understood.
3. Stimulated your interest in the course material.
4. Seemed to know the material very well.
5. Challenged you intellectually.
6. Evaluated your performance fairly.
7. Was considerate of your needs and concerns.
8. Was available outside of class to discuss course matters.
9. Held you to high standards of performance.
10. Instructor rating overali: $5=$ Excellent $4=$ Good $3=$ Average $2=$ Fair $1=$ Poor
11. Course rating overal1: $4=$ Good $3=$ Average $2=$ Fair $1=$ Poor
(Optional items/questions begin here)

## )

UNIVERSITY SENATE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALbANY<br>Major in Computer Science<br>INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council<br>April 14, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That the State University of New York at Albany offer an undergraduate program with a major in computer science leading to the B.S. degree with the following requirements:

> General Program-B.S.: A minimum of 40 credits including Csi 201, $202,302,310,311,402$ or 499 , $403,404,409 ;$ Mat 112, 113, 361.
> Admission to the Program: To be formally enrolled as a computer sclence major, a student must have achieved a minimum grade-point average of 2.75 in the following courses: Mat 112,113 ; Csi 201,202 .
II. That this resolution be referred to the President.

## Approvals

The proposal for a major in computer science has received the endorsement of the College of Science and Mathematics, the Long-Range Planning Committee of the Council on Educational Policy, and the Curriculum Committee of the Undergraduate Academic Council.

## Introduction

There is a considerable student interest in an undergraduate major in computer science as distinct from the interdisciplinary program now available in computer science and applied mathematics. The interdisciplinary program requires 65 credits about equally divided between mathematics and computer science and qualifies as a combined major and second field. An undergraduate major is limited to a maximum of 42 credits and could more readily be combined with a varlety of other majors or second fields.

The interest in more flexible combinations of computer science with other fields is apparent from the increasing number of student-initiated interdisciplinary programs combining computer science with other fields. The most common combination is with business, but recent interest has been expressed in combinations with economics and psychology.

Introduction-contd.
Since no two student--initiated interdisciplinary programs are necessarily identical, the problem of checking degree requirements and monitoring student performance and progress becomes administratively more difficult and time consuming than for programs with uniform requirements. Although this is not currently a severe problem, further proliferation of such programs may present administrative problems.

## Description of the Program

The program will consist of the following courses:

```
Mat 112-Ca1culus I
Mat 113-Calculus II
Csi 201 - Introduction to Computer Science
Csi 202 - Assembly Language Programming
Csi 302 - Discrete Structures
Csi 310-Computer Languages and Data Structures I
Csi 311 - Computer Languages and Data Structures II
Mat 361 - Discrete Probability
Csi 402 - Systems Programming
Csi 403-Algorithms and Data Structures
Csi 404-Computer Organization
Csi 409 - Automata and Formal Languages
Csi 499 - Senior Seminar in Computer Science
```

The total number of credits is 40 . It will be necessary to emphasize that students who intend to take the GRE Advanced Test in Computer Science should take additional courses. In particular, the test depends heavily on the material in Csi 409 (Automata and Formal Languages) which is an option within the major requirements, and to a lesser but important degree on the material covered in Csi 401 (Numerical:Analysis). In addition, Mat 220 (Linear Algebra) and Mat 372 (Linear Programing and Game Theory) will be strongly recommended.

Students who intend to do advanced work in computer science should consider enrolling in the combined major and second field in computer science and applied mathematics. Research work in computer science usually relies heavily on mathematical skills, and early preparation in mathematics provides the background required for analysis of the frequently complex algorithms used in large software systems.

An article, "Curriculum '78", published in the Communications of the Assoctation for Computine Machinery includes recomendations and a rationale for undergraduate programs in computer science and is generally regarded as the deffinftive study of requirements for such programs.

It should be noted that the program proposed here follows the spirit of the article, although the 51 total credit hours recommended are not available to a major field at Albany. The progran proposed here has slightly less mathematics than recommended, even if Csi 302 is considered the equivalent of a mathematics course. From the point of view of credit hours, the program
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Description--contd.
proposed here consists of 14 (including Csi 302) credits, compared to 15 required by "Curriculum '78". In computer science this proposal includes 26 credits, compared to the 24 credits in core courses plus 12 elective credits recommended by "Curriculum '78". The content recommended by "Curriculum '78" for the core courses is not entirely covered by the program proposed here. The content is available, however, through the other major in computer science and applied mathematics.

## Objectives

The major in computer science is intended for the student who has a strong interest in the application of computing to another discipline such as economics, business, sociology, political science, education, etc. In such cases it is important to acquire a good foundation in the field of application, and a program that combines computer science with some degree of concentration in the field of application is desirable.

The computer science major will provide the minimal background in mathematics and computer science which will suffice for the comprehension of problems inherent in computer use and the various approaches that should be considered for efficient and expeditious application of computer technology to a particular application.

## Need for the Program

The graph in Figure 1 illustrates the increase in total credits attempted and completed in computer science courses. The data in Table 1 describes estimates of production of, and need for, graduates of computer science programs at several levels. Although in the two-year period described, the estimated need for baccalaureates declined slightly and the estimated production increased by about 2,500, it would take about 12 years for the production to meet demand if these rates of change were to remain constant.

There are several reasons to expect a continuing increase in the demand for sophisticated computer scientists.

First, the field is rapidly developing. Rapid development of any highly technical field generally accompanies a shift in demand from graduates at an unsophisticated level to graduates at a highly sophisticated level. This has already occurred in that area of electrical engineering related to hardware development, but is only beginning in the areas related to software.

Second, the cost of computer hardware is decreasing rapidly. In addition to removing expense as a barrier to application, this will have the result of greatly widening the distribution of computing facilities, as decreased computing cost makes the large central installatior less attractive. This distribution will require highly skilled people at a large number of modest installations rather than only a few large installations.

Major in Computer Science--montd.
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Need---contd.
Third, research in computer science indicates that, on theoretical grounds, automation of the programing process is impossible in sone areas and inpracticably difficult in others. In this case, progress will continue to require a high degree of ingenulty, talent, and education in both theory and practice. This situation will continue to contrast sharply with the area of hardware manufacture where continually higher levels of automation are likely to occur.

## Relation to the Regents Statewide Plan

The "Intcoduction" (Chapter 1) of the Regents Statewide Plan 1ists five goals of post-secondary education in New York State. These goals, in the order listed, are:

1. Quality Education
2. Responsiveness to Societal Needs
3. Efficient Use of Resources
4. Freedom of Access
5. Freedom of Choice

In particular, the second goal is amplified by the statement "The State can attain the development of a post-secondary education system that is flexible enough to meet changing needs of the population."

The title of Chapter 3 is "Preserving Academic Quality While Encouraging Change".

In Chapter 5, "Manpower Needs and Higher Education", a single recomendation is made:

> "Supply and demand should be considered in planning academic programs that prepare students for specific careers. Institutions offering such prograns should provide students with current information on placement, and promote liaison between faculty and employers."

Resources
Additional resources will be required. The ratio of FTE students to FTE faculty in computer science is now about 35 to 1 , and the implementation of the program described here is likely to substantially increase the number of computer science majors. Many students have indicated that they would major in computer scfence if the mathematics requirement were reduced from that now mandated in the interdisciplinary program to that proposed here for the major.

The situation with regard to computer facilities is desperate even without the addition of a new program. The languages available for large-scale instruction are inadequate for college-level computer science instruction,
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## Resources-contd.

In spite of requests to correct the situation since 1976 and the recommendation of a panel of distinguished directors of computer centers at Harvard, Dartmouth, Wisconsin, and MIT who visited the computing center in 1976. A language is needed which will support large-scale instruction in blockstructured programming technique if the program at Albany is to rise above a high school level in the introductory courses. Instructional terminal use in the demand mode is prohibitively expensive on the UNIVAC. Many universities, including Harvard and Wisconsin, have recognized the economic advantages of supporting instruction with mini-computer or micro-computer facilities.

The number of terminals available for introductory instruction has not increased in five years, and the number of terminals (10) providing public access to demand mode is grossly inadequate for the number of students in advanced courses in computer science alone.

Several new graduate courses in computer science are necessary if the graduate program is to attract students whose undergraduate program has provided a significant background in computer science. The present faculty size is inadequate to support these courses. Freshman, sophomore, and juniorlevel courses are now taught in a lecture-discussion format so that additional expansion can be accomplished by adding teaching assistants and using larger lecture halls. However, this style of presentation is not appropriate for senior-level courses and the teaching assistants avallable to a master's level program are not sufficiently sophisticated to take complete responsibility for such instruction. This situation may be changed if a Ph.D. program is approved, but the change will come slowly. Additional resources are required for a viable graduate program, and more will be necessary for the program described here.

More specifically, the estimated increase of 20 percent in department teaching load translates to 2 additional faculty and 2.5 additional TA's. Such expansion will require additional space and secretarial as well as administrative assistance.

The Computing Center has requested a mini-computer and additional terminal facilities. This request is important without a new program, and becomes essential if a new program is added. Even this expansion will be inadequate if some provision is not made to insure that the new capacity is not pre-empted by non-instructional use.

By limiting enrollments through the proposed admission criteria for the program, resource needs can be controlled through restricted enrollments.

## )

## FUTURE COMPUTER MANPOWER NEEDS

AND
1975 PRODUCTITON

```
Post-secondary Vocational and
Associate's Bachelor's Master's Doctorate
```

Estimated
Annual Need

$$
26,376
$$

55,571
34,153
1,095

Estimated
1975 Production $\quad 19,283 \quad 6,990 \quad 3,236 \quad 493$

Estimated 2
Annual Need ${ }^{2}$
26,376
54,351
34,153
1,309

Estimated
1.977 Production $\quad 27,273 \quad 9,509 \quad 3,161 \quad 34$

1
Data from Educom, Vol. 12, No, 1, Spring 1977 (reference to data compiled by John Hamblen)
${ }^{2}$ Data from John Hamblen, Computer Manpower-Supply and Demand--By States, St. James, Missouri: Information Systems Consultants, 1979.

UNIVERSITY SENATE STATE UNIVERSTTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Plus/Minus Grading

## INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council <br> Apri1 14, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. The SUNY-Albany grading system for undergraduate courses be changed from a simple A through E scale to a system that includes plus and minus grades. Under this system, instructors will be permitted to assign the following grades: $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A}-, \mathrm{B}+, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}-, \mathrm{C}+, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{},, \mathrm{D}+, \mathrm{D}$, and E . " A " will remain the highest grade, and " $D$ " will continue to be the lowest passing grade.
II. The academic average be computed by adding 0.3 for a plus grade and subtracting 0.3 for a minus grade. ( $\mathrm{A}=4.0 ; \mathrm{A}-4.7$; $\mathrm{B}+=3.3$, etc.)
III. Pluses and minuses not be taken into account in determining the balancing of "D's" for graduation requirements.
IV. That this resolution be referred to the President.

## RATIONALE

The present grading system is too rough to reflect accurately a student's performance. Many instructors give pluses and minuses on examinations and essays; and it is unfair for a student doing, for example, " $B+$ " work to be lumped in with those doing "B-" work. The present four passing grades are simply not enough to distinguish among the various levels of student performance.

A system that includes pluses and minuses will encourage students to do their best work. Although most students are interested in knowledge, not merely grades; it is unrealistic to pretend that grades are not an incentive. Students who, for example, have been earning a straight " $C$ " throughout the term may feel frustrated if their grade cannot be raised no matter how well they do on the final examination. The possibility of receiving a "C+" or even a " $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{"}$ " in the course will surely be an added incentive for study. In many courses, grades are assigned on the basis of the number of correct answers given on shortwanswer or multiplechofce tests. The student who gets 75 out of 100 answers correct justifiably feels cheated if the cut-off for a " $B$ " grade is 76 correct answers. Plus and minus grades will reduce such unfairness.

Plus and minus grades will greatly increase the flexibility of the evaluation system. Many instructors are reluctant, for example, to give an "A" grade to a
student whose written work is "B" level, but who has contributed to class dis" cussion. Such students' contributions can be recognized with a "Bt" grade. Extra work and consistent improvement throughout the term can also be awarded a plus grade.

Plus and minus grades will make student achievement clearer and more meaningful. The students who receive a grade under the plus/minus system know more precisely how well they have done and how this achievement compares to that of other students. A plus/minus system will also reduce the consequences for students of the grading imprecision that may be inescapable in some subjects, since the differences between grades are smaller under a plus/minus system.

UNIVERSITY SENATE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY<br>Proposed Doctor of Psychology<br>in School Psychology<br>INTRODUCED BY: Graduate Academic Council Apri1 14, 1980

## IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:

I. That the attached proposal for a Doctor of Psychology in School Psychology program be approved.
II. That this resolution be referred to the President.

## Attachment

# Proposed Doctor of Psychology 

in School Psychology

## Introduction

The School Psychology Program is currently a 60 credit-hour program which leads to a Certificate of Advanced Study, as well as certification as a school psychologist in the public schools of the State of New York. The addition of a doctoral degree in school psychology recognizes the expanding role of the school psychologist. The certificate program prepares school psychologists, educated in both education and psychology, to bring problem-solving skills to bear on the difficulties of children and to act as consultants and change agents within the school system. The doctoral program will emphasize leadership in applying the principles of psychology, developmental psychology, and educational psychology to the practice of education. The major goal of the doctoral program is to prepare psychologists as professionals with expertise in education, development, learning and cognition, individual and group intervention, and research methodology and research. The doctoral program, compared with the university certificate program, represents a shift in emphasis from individual case study and the direct provision of services to a focus on broader involvement, less direct service, and increased system-wide consultation, intervention, and evaluation. It is expected that program graduates will move into positions within school systems, governmental agencies, and community mental health centers.

This proposal for doctoral study evolved from a recognition of the need to investigate procedures and methods to assist schools and school personnel. The proposed program is derived from a conception of school psychology as constituting a broad consultation and systems change model of the delivery of educationalpsychological services. Toward this end, student experiences will move programmatically from an academic-theoretical stress, involving considerable work with individual children, to an increasingly broader involvement in schools and community agencies. The experiences in the doctoral program will include internships and other field work in settings that provide significant school-related services and promote professional experiences with children, parents, school programs, and community agencies.

The proposed program will build upon the existing program in school psychology ( 60 hour C.A.S. established in 1966) which is directed by the Joint Committee on School Psychology, composed of faculty from the Department of Psychology (College of Social and Behavioral Sciences) and the Department of Educational Psychology and Statistics (School of Education). The membership will be expanded, however, to include faculty from the Department of Counseling Psychology and the Child Research and Study Center, as well as adjunct faculty of public school psychologists.

Under this arrangement, the "Faculty in School Psychology" (or whatever title is used) will function as a quasi-department with respect to academic matters; e.g., admissions, advisement, curricular decisions, student evaluations. Coursework to support the program will be drawn largely from existing graduate programs in psychology, educational psychology, counseling psychology, and reading. The program faculty, however, will be responsible for offering work in the professional sequence; e.g., practica, internships, and special seminars. As in the
past, the program will rely heavily on the Child Research and Study Center for practicum experiences, and on regional public schools and other community agencies for internship placements. (Currently, we place about 15 interns per year in school systems that provide stipends totaling over $\$ 26,000$.) We have discussed the possibility of placing doctoral-level interns with several agencies and have received positive reactions from Eleanor Roosevelt Developmental Serfices, the Saratoga County Mental Health Center, the Cerebral Palsy Center, and the Capital District Psychiatric Center.

The proposed program clearly is compatible with campus mission: it is at a doctoral level; it is responsive to social needs; and it will prepare individuals to assume leadership positions, both operational and of a policy nature, within educational institutions.

## Regional, State, and National Needs

School psychology has been, and continues to be, one of the most rapidly developing fields in education. The number of school psychologists has risen rapidly (for example, in 1953, there were 73 employed full time in upstate New York lexcluding Nassau, Orange, Putman, Rockland, Suffolk, Westchester, and New York City7; by 1978, however, that number had increased to about 900). But perhaps even more dramatic have been changes related to training, certification, and professional status. Prior to the mid-1960's, most school psychologists were former teachers, who met certification requirements (usually 30 graduate hours, where they existed) by taking a smattering of courses in areas such as child and adolescent psychology, individual testing, and psychology of learning. Today, school psychology is a major educational profession with two large and powerful professional associations (National Asssociation of School Psychologists and Division 16 of the American Psychological Association), and training standards that call for a minimum of 60 graduate hours completed within a planned program. Inexorably, the field is moving toward the doctorate.

Most of the training programs that emerged during the 1960's and early 1970's, especially in the Northeast, are at a 60 -hour level, with many lodged at institutions that are not authorized to offer the doctorate. Consequently, although these new programs reflected a substantial improvement in training standards, they also increased the number of non-doctoral level school psychologists. There are relatively few doctoral programs in the Northeast, with the bulk located in New York City. But, as in so many other fields, there is little movement to or from the Cley; those programs tend to serve the downstate New York area.

Thus, the greatest impact of the proposed program would be to upgrade the preparation of new and practicing school psychologists, primarily throughout upstate New York. A doctoral program at Albany, however, should also prove attractive to a national clientele, The only upstate institution offering a doctorate in school psychology is Syracuse, and the only other institution with a school psychology program which also has the capability of providing doctoral study is Albany.

Procedures for the Internal Suspension or Temination of Graduate Programs

INTRODUCED BY: Graduate Academic Council April 14, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That the University Senate approve the following procedures for the internal suspension or termination of graduate programs:

1. A proposal to suspend or terminate a graduate program may originate with the appropriate department or program unit, the dean supervising the program, the Dean of Graduate Studies, the Graduate Academic Council or one of its standing committees, an ad hoc comittee, or the President of the university.
2. The faculty of a graduate program being considered for suspension or termination shall receive timely notification and be provided an opportunity to present evidence and arguments in support of the program to those individuals and governance bodies involved in the formal consideration of the suspension or termination.
3. Before the President recommends suspension or termination of a program, he/she shall consult with the Graduate Academic Council and the Council on Educational Policy (see the Senate's charge to the GAC, subsection 1.41).

The Graduate Academic Council shall conduct a thorough review of the program being considered for suspension or termination and the procedures leading to the consideration and shall forward its recommendations to the President.
4. The final responsibility for recommending the suspension or termination of a program to the State University rests with the President of the State University of New York at Albany in accord with the regulations of the State University.
5. The faculty of a graduate program recommended for suspension or termination may request from the president a written statement of the reasons for that recommendation.

The Exeoutive Committee recommends that the following changee be made in sections 3 and 4 of the Procedures for the Internal Suspension or Texmination of Graduate Programs:

Change Section 3 to read:
Before the President suspends, recommends suspension, or reconmends termination of a program, he/she shall consult with the Graduate Academic Council....

Change Section 4 to read:
The final responsibility for suspending, recommending suspension, or recommending termination of a program to the State University.

UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Enforcement of Reading Day Policy

INTRODUCED BY: Senators Morgenstern and Weintraub April 14, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That the University Senate direct the Council on Educational Policy to revise the Fall 1980/Spring 1981 and all future calendars to include at least two reading days immediately prior to the start of final examinations (excluding weekends). The Council on Educational Policy shall report their revisions for Senate approval at the next regular meeting of the Senate.
II. That the University Senate revise the current academic calendar (Spring 1980) by designating Monday, May 12, 1980, as a reading day, to comply with existing university policy.
III. That this bill take effect immediately upon the approval of the President.

## RATIONALE

Although university policy has provided for at least two reading days prior to the start of final examinations, recent academic calendars have failed to conform to this requirement. The University Senate has overwhelmingly reaffirmed its support of this policy by passing Bill No. 798019 on March 3, 1980. Section VI of this bill provides that:

> "The university shall set aside at least two reading days immediately prior to the start of final examinations. Saturday and Sunday shall not be counted as reading days."

Since the new final examination policy will increase the number of examinations given during the final examination period, it is essential that this section be strictly enforced.

In light of the fact that the current academic calendar provides for only one reading day, contradicting university policy, it is necessary that this change be made. Since the number of class days this semester is
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significantly in excess of the State's requirements, designating May 12 (currently the last day of classes) as a reading day would not have any adverse effects.

EXECUTIVE COMMITYIEE RECOMMENDATION:
The Executive Comittee has referred this resolution to the Council on Educational Polioy for review. The Committee has requested that the Councit report its findings at the senate meeting.

## UNIVERSITY SENATE

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Enforcement of Reading Day Policy<br>(Amended)

## INTRODUCED BY: Senators Morgenstern and Weintraub

 April 21, 1980IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That no change be made in the number of reading days for the current semester (spring 1980).
II. That the fall 1980 calendar be changed to convert Monday, December 15 to a reading day, and that the last day of finals be moved to December 23.
111. That the spring 1981 calendar be changed to make Friday, May 8, the last day of classes and Monday, May 11 , a reading day. Final examinations would begin Wednesday, May 13.
IV. That for fall 1981 and all subsequent semesters, the class hour be lengthened to 60 minues on M/W/F and to 85 minutes on T/TH. Classes which normally meet only once a week would continue to meet during the reading days.
V. That the Council on Educational Policy will strictly enforce the requirement for at least two reading days immediately prior to the start of final examinations (excluding weekends) that was included in Bill No. 197980-19 which was approved on March 3, 1980.
VI. That this bill take effect immediately upon the approval of the President.

## RATIONALE

The above actions were recommended by the Council on Educational Pollcy (see its report of April 14, 1980) in order to have the calendar comply with policies previously approved by the University Senate. For further information see the above-mentioned report and the rationale that appeared on the original bill contained in the April 14, 1980 Senate packet.

# Charge of the Council on Promotions 

 and Continuing Arp ${ }^{\text {nintments }}$INTRODUCED BY: Council on Promotions and
Continuing Appointments
April 21, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:

1. That the charge and composition of the Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments be amended to read as follows:
1.1 The Council shall be composed of thirteen members including
1.11 the Vice-President for Academic Affairs;
1.12 eight other faculty members including at least four members of the Senate; all of whom shall be appointed by the Executive Committee of the Senate;
1.13 two undergraduate students, one of whom shall be a senator;
1.14 two graduate students.
1.2 The Council shall recommend to the President individuals for promotion in rank and/or continuing appointment, in accordance with the Guidelines Concerning Promotion and Continuing Appointments.
1.3 The Council shall examine all files containing documents pertaining to individual applications for promotion and/or continuing appointment prior to their being transmitted to the President, to determine that they conform to the Guidelines Concerning Promotion and Continuing Appointment. If a file is found to deviate from the Guidelines, the Council shall direct that remedies be made if feasible, and shall otherwise note the deficiencies and their possible effect on the recommendation, and so advise the President, the dean, the chairperson, and the candidate.
1.4 The Council shall consider and make recommendations to the Senate concerning all matters of policy and procedure pertaining to the applications of faculty members for promotion and/or continuing appointment, except when a matter of policy or procedure is mandated in a negotiated contract between the State and the University's professional staff.
II. That this resolution take effect with the organizational meeting of the 1980-1981 University Senate.
1.1 The Council shall be composed of thirteen members including
1.11 the Viu-iresideni for Academic Affairs;
1.12 eight other faculty members incluting at least four members of the Senate, all of whom shall be appointed by the Executive Conmittee of the Senate;
1.13 two undergraduate students, one of whom shall be a senator;
1.14 two graduate students.
1.2 The Council shall
1.21 require that department chairmen submit annual evaluations of all faculty members to the deans of their respective schools, and the council shall have access to these evaluations;
1.22 recommend guidelines for the allocation of salary adjustments; 1.221 recommendations for individual salary adjustments shall be made by department chairmen in consultation with appropriate members;
1.222 salary adjustments shall be recommended to the President by the deans in accord with general policies established by this council;
1.23 recommend to the President promotions in rank;
1.231 recommendations for promotion in rank shall be made by department chairmen in consultation with appropriate department members;
1.232 recommendations shall be submitted through the deans of the schools who shall add their recommendations;
1.233 the council may discuss individual promotions with the appropriate deans and/or department chairmen;
1.234 the council may take the initiative in recommending to the President persons for promotion;
1.24 recommend to the President individuals for continuing appointment; 1.241 recommendations for continuing appointment shall be made by department chairmen in consultation with appropriate department members;
1.242 recommendations shall be submitted through the deans of the schools who shall add their recommendations;
1.243 the council may discuss individual cases with appropriate deans or department chairmen;
1.244 the council may take the initiative in recommending to the President persons for continuing appointment;
1.25 develop methods for evaluating faculty performance and for applying the criteria for promotion and continuing appointment specified in the Policies of the Board of Trustees;
1.26 recommend individuals to the President for emeritus status; 1.261 eligibility for emeritus status to be determined under Appendix B "Guidelines for Granting the Rank of Professor Emeritus", passed by the Senate, June 5, 1961, as amended in Section II of Bill No. 197272-08;
1.262 recommendations shall be forwarded from the departments and schools through the appropriate academic or administrative channels to the council for consideration.

Revisions to the Guidelines Concerning Promotion and Continuing Appointment

INTRODUCED BY: Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments
April 14, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That Senate Bill No. 197879-18 (Guidelines Concerning Promotion and Continuing Appointment) be amended to read as follows (proposed revisions are in italics):

## Preamb1e

The collegial process for promotion and continuing appointment at the State University of New York at Albany shall follow the basic operational guidelines established below:

## Section I. Criteria

A. Recommendations shall be based primarily upon a careful deliberation concerning the effectiveness of the candidate within such of the three following categories as are appropriate to the position of the candidate within the university.

1. Teaching as documented by such things as student and peer evaluations, development of teaching materials or new courses, thesis supemision, and evidence of tasting contribution to students' intellectual growth.
2. Scholarship as documented by evaluations of such things as success in developing and carrying out significant research work in the field, contribution to the arts, and publications. Also included is mastery of subject matter as demonstrated by advanced degrees, licenses, honors, grants, awards, reputation in the field, and continuing growth.
3. Service as demonstrated by such things as participation in departmental, college, and university duties and governance, professional society activities, and such public and commuity service as is related to the aandidate's scholarly qualifications.
B. If an academic review body at any level is concerned about the effect of of an action on programmatic needs or priorities, or tenure ratios within the department, those concems should be discussed apart from the criteria in Section $A$, with the discussion to be recorded and included as information in the candidate's file.
C. No other evaluative category shall be deemed appropriate.

Section II. Procedures - DETETE

Section II. Promotion in Academic Rank (formerly Section III)
Promotion is available to faculty in accordance with the provisions of the Policies of the Board of Trustees, Article XII, Titte B. No minimum length of sexvice in any academic rank shall be required for promotion. pronotion carries with it no commitment of continuing appointment.

Section III. Continuing Appointment (formerly Section IV)
Continuing appointment is available to faculty in accordance with the provisions of the Policies of the Board of Trustees, Article XI (Appointment of Employees), Title B (Continuing Appointment). A continuing appointment carries with it no commitment about future promotions or salary increases.

Section IV. Administrative Procedures and Responsibilities (formerly Section V)
A. Definition of Levels of Academic Review

1. Throughout this section the term "department". shall mean the academic unit whioh constitutes the "initiat academic review committee" as defined in the current union Agreement.

2a. In those schools or colleges having more than two academic departments, the "subsequent academic review committee" shall be the school or college promotion and continuing appointment review body.

2b. In those schools or colleges having fewer than three academic departments, the Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments shall be the "subsequent review committee".
B. Department

Delete the ourpent subsection 1, and renmber the remaining subsections as follows:

1. The chaixperson shall inform a faculty member that he/she is being considered for a promotion and/or continuing appointment when the process of assembling evidence is initiated. The chalrperson shall make sure that all evidence in the case is presented to the members of the department as well as to the dean.
2. Any faculty member may request a department to inftiate action for promotion and/or continuing appointment of a faculty member, including herself/himself, provided that this request has the written approval of the prospective candidate.
3. An important datum for the decision on promotion and/or continuing appointment is information about how students view the teaching of
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a faculty member. However, for this information to be of value, it must be collected in a systematic and quantitative way. The department shatl provide such information consistent with the fottowing requirements:
a. All students shall be given an opportunity to make an evaluation in every class each term.
b. The evaluation form shall not be signed by the student.
c. Summaries of student evaluations shall be available for review by all levels involved in recommendations concerning promotion and continuing appointment. An explanation sheet describing the process and procedures for these evaluations shall be included.
4. In support of the department's preparation of a request for promotion and/or continuing appointment, the candidate shall be responsible for the preparation of a curpent vita in accordance with the annual memorandum circulated by the Vice President for Academic Affaips. The vita shall be dated and signed by the candidate.
5. In preparing a candidate's fite, the department shatt inctude materiat and information such as: letters from outside expert referees, review of work, reprint citations, letters from publishers (especially in the case of as yet unpublished work), qualified evaluation of unpublished work, instructional materials, copies or detailed descriptions of work completed or in progress, professional and service citations.
6. All members of the department concerned shall be consulted in all cases of promotion and/or continuing appointment. In a case of con tinuing appointment, separate votes of the departmental members holding continuing appointment and of the non-tenured faculty members are to be taken. In a case of promotion, separate votes of the departmental members holding rank equal to or above the rank to which promotion is being considered and of the other members are to be taken. All of these votes are to be conducted by secret ballot. Voting shall occur during departmental meetings only by those present. The departmental recommendation shall include the results of these votes and a summary of the discussion. The summary shatl be approved by the members of the department.
7. The chairperson shall write a recommendation which must include reference to all categories in Section $I$.
8. Copies of the department's recommendation and the chaixperson's recommendation shall be made available to the candidate who shall have the opportunity to reply in writing to the dean, such reply becoming a part of the record.
C. School or Department

1. The dean of each school or college shall examine each recommendation
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transmitted to her/him by the department to verify that the rules of Sections $I$ and $I V-B$ have been observed.
2. A subsequent academic review committee as defined in Section IV-A-2 above, shall review the materials provided by the department and chairperson and shall forward its own recommendation to the dean, the chairperson, and the candidate.
3. The dean of the school or college shall review the case, make a recommendation, and transmit all materials to the President.
4. A copy of the dean's recommendation shalt be provided to the President, the chairperson, and the aandidate. The candidate has the opportunity to reply in writing to the President, such reply becoming a part of the record.
D. Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments

1. The Councll shall examine each recommendation transmitted to it to verify that the rules of Sections $I$ and $I V-B$ and $C$ have been observed and shatl make its own recommendation.
2. The Council shall transmit its recommendations to the President with copies furnished to the dean, chairperson, and the candidate.
E. Personal Presentations by a Candidate

A candidate for promotion and/or continuing appointment may appear at her/ his option, before her/his department, before her/his school committee or an ad hoc cognate field committee, or before the Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments.

Such personal presentations must occur before votes are taken or other recomendations made. Timely requests for appearances before the department, the school comittee or an ad hoc cognate field committee, or the Council, are the responsibility of the candiate.
F. Notification of Faculty Member

The action of the president in each case shall be communicated to the faculty member involved on or about May 1.
G. Withdrawal of Candidacy

A candidate for promotion and/or continuing appointment may elect to withdraw her/his candidacy at any time by submitting a written request to her/his chatrperson. A letter of resignation by a candidate shal be interpreted as a withdrawal of her/his candidacy.

Section V. Initial Appointment (formerly Section VI)
If continuing appointment is recommended initially at any rank, all of the procedures outlined above for continuing appointment shall be followed.

Section VI. Reconsideration after the Mandatory Year (formerly Section VII)
When a member of the faculty has been considered for continuing appointment in the mandatory year and a negative decision has been rendered, this action should be considered final. Exceptional circumstances may cause a candidate to feel that substantial new evidence justifies reconsideration of her or his case. In such exceptional circumstances the following procedures shall apply:

1. The candidate must notify her/his department chairperson of her/his request for reconsideration no later than October 3.
2. The candidate shall provde the department with the new evidence no later than October 15.
3. The members of the department will meet to evaluate the new evidence. Only if the department concludes that the new evidence establishes a substantial change from the situation during the previous consideration will the case be reconsidered and votes taken as in an original consideration. If the reconsideration results in a negative vote by the faculty and a negative recommendation by the chairperson, the action is final, the request will not be forwarded for further consideration, and the President will be notified. If the reconsideration results in a positive recomendation by either the department or the chairperson, the recommendation for continuing appointment will be transmitted to the school or college.
4. When a positive recommendation is forwarded by a department, each subsequent level of review shall determine to its own satisfartion whether or not there is substantial new evidence warranting reconsideration. This determination will involve a comparison between the total record on which the university decision in the mandatory year was based and the new evidence, available since that decision date, presented by the candidate.
5. A decision at the school or college level not to reconsider shall be transmitted to the Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments. The Council shall inform the President if it in turn declines to reconsider the case.
6. If the school or college, or the Council, conclude that there is substantial new evidence, it will then send forward in the usual manner its vote for or against continuing appointment.
7. Final actions, including a department's negative decision, will be communicated to the candidate by the President on or before November 17 of the reconsideration year.

Section VII. Timeliness
All recommendations shall be completed and transmitted in sufficient time for proper reviews, timely notification to the candidate, and with regard to deadlines for non-newal.

UNTVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSTTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Revision of Policy Regarding All Undergraduate Honors Programs

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council Apri1 14, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWTNG BE ADOPTED:
I. That the following revisions of policy regarding all undergraduate honors programs be approved and become effective for all honors programs created after September 1980, Existing honors programs should be adapted to fit these requirements by September 1981. (Please see pages 59, 60, and 61 of the Academic Policy Manual for the existing policy.)

General Description of Programs Leading to a Degree "With Honors in the Major":

Departments or programs offering a major may offer honors programs, which shall consist of the course sequence required of all majors, subject to the modifications described below. Each program will be administered by an honors committee appointed by the chairperson of the department/program.

1. A department or program's honors program shall consist of the following:
a. A structured sequence of at least 12 credits of coursework designed to insure that the honors student receives a rigorous and thorough mastery of the discipline. These courses may be drawn from the department ${ }^{\text {'s }}$ regular offerings or include special honors courses or sections;
b. One specifically designed three-credit junior or senior-level honors seminar or colloquium. Additional seminars or colloquia, including interdisciplinary ones, may be offered at the department's discretion;
c. At least six credits, but no more than twelve credits, of intensive work culminating in a major project (or series of projects). This "intensive work" could take place in an independent study, a group tutorial, a workshop, seminar, or undergraduate research. The student should have written approval for the project from the honors committee at the outset of the project. The project will be formally evaluated at the end of the third quarter of the student's senior year and submitted in final form by the end of the fourth quarter.
d. Any other courses involved in the honors program are to be at the discretion of the department, including substitutions or eliminations. The upper limits of credit for the major can be extended to no more than 78 credits to accommodate the extra honors courses. Regular courses may offer special work for honor students.
2. Proposed procedure for a new or revised honors program:

A proposal for a new or revised departmental honors program shall be:
a. First submitted to the curriculum committee of the proper school or college for review against general degree requirements;
b. Then submitted to the committee designated by the Undergxaduate Academic Council for review and approval. This committee will forward the proposal to the Undergraduate Academic Council for review and approval, and the Council shall report to the University Senate when the proposal has been approved.

Honors Programs - Standards
The criteria and procedure for the selection, retention, and evaluation of candidates shall be available from the department upon request, and such opportunity shall be included with the description of the honors program in the Undergraduate Bulletin.

1. Selection of candidates:
a. In ordex to be eligible for admission to an honors program, the student should have declared (and/or been accepted as) a major, and should have completed at least 12 credits of coursework in the department (or coursework from related departments required for the major). The student should have an overall gradepoint average not lower than 3.25 , and 3.5 in the major.
2. Retention of candidates:
a. At the discretion of the departmental honors comm mittee, unsatisfactory performance and/or achievement in honors course(s) or the student's overall academic record shall preclude the student from continuing in the program.
b. A student who does not continue in the honors program shall be permitted to pursue the major of the department provided that the student has met those requirements normally expected of any student who wishes to pursue that major. Any previously granted waiver shall be honored.
3. Evaluation of candidates:

The following minimurn standards must be met for the student to earn a degree "with honors":
a. An overa11 GPA not lower than 3.25.
b. A GPA within the major field(s) not lower than 3.5 .
c. Satisfactory performance in a project(s) approved by three members of the department program, at least one of whom is a member of the honors committee.
(1) Project Evaluation: A student is entitled to a project evaluation at the beginning of the last semester of her/his senior year if the project has been in progress for at least one semester. The formal evaluation conducted at the end of the third quarter may consist of an oral examination or defense of the honors project, or may take the form of committee consideration or recommendation. The project must be approved by the departmental honors committee or its representative before the student can receive her/his degree "with honors".
d. A comprehensive or area examination if the department wishes to offer one. (This is not a requirement of every honors program; however, if the department wishes to include an examination in its honors program, the student must receive a passing grade as defined by the department in order to receive a degree "with honors".
4. Administration of honors programs:
a. The departmental honors committee will be responsible for the following:
(1) Waiving any course required by the department for the major or the honors program for an honors student if circumstances warrant such a waiver.
(2) Reviewing the candidate's record, and soliciting evaluations from the instructors of the student's honors courses.
(3) Certifying that the honors candidate has completed all outstanding "I's" by the end of the third quarter of the senior year.
b. The department chairperson shall make a recomnendation to the appropriate conferring body that the designee "with honors in the major" shall be awarded. This recommendation must be made in time for graduation clearance.
c. If a student can demonstrate that he/she has completed all of the requirements of the honors program, and is denied an honors degree by the departmental honors committee or department chairperson, that student has the right to appeal to the designated committee of the Undergraduate Academic Council.
5. Rewards and recognition:
a. Students recommended for honors degrees shall have "with honors in " " appear on their diplomas and final transcripts.
b. A copy of the final project (or photographs, tapes, etc.) will be made permanently ayailable to the university library or other appropriate facility.

## SUMMARY AND RATIONALE

In an effort to encourage academic departments and programs to offer highquality honors programs to their best students, the Committee is proposing the following major changes in the existing guidelines:

1. The main focus of the honors degree is the honors project, which is conceived as an original piece of written research (except, possibly in the case of the arts, where it might take the form of a creative project) to be submitted in the senior year.
2. Honors programs will no longer be required to offer comprehensive examinations, although departments may elect to do so.
3. In addition to the project, the honors student will have taken a sequence of at least 12 credits of coursework designated by the department. This sequence should provide a rigorous and structured grounding in the discipline.

The Honors Conmittee has kept the guidelines as flexible as possible, in the hope that more departments will offer honors programs. Nevertheless, it is understood that those programs will have to be of the highest quality and will demand strong faculty commitment of time and energy.

UNIVERSITY SENATE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Special Committec on Advising Awards

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council
April 14, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. A special committee be set up to nominate candidates for a special Presidential Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Advisement.
II. The charge, function, and responsibility of the committee be detailed as written.
III. The committee be a standing committee or subcommittee of the Undergraduate Academic Council.
IV. After the first presentation of the award, the committee will be required to set out a formalized procedure for the award.
V. This resolution be referred to the President.

PRESIDENT'S AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN ADVISING

## Scope of the Program

The State University of New York at Albany is committed to providing jts students with the highest quality advisement for both present university programs and programs in the future. To give all faculty and non-teaching professionals an opportunity to participate meaningfully in this renewed emphasis on the advising function, the President's Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Advising is created.

## Nature of the Program

Persons who win an award for advising excellence will receive recognition in the university catalogue by the addition of the phrase "The State University of New York at Albany President's Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Advising, 1979-1980'. They will also receive a personal certificate of recognition. Each award will carry with it a sum of $\$ 500$.
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Nature - - - contd.
A recipient of an Excellence in Undergraduate Advising Award is ineligible for renomination for a period of five years.

## Eligibility

The President's Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Advising is intended to recognize, reward, and provide an incentive for superior undergraduate advisement on the Albany campus. Undergraduate advisors shall be defined as either faculty members who regularly advise a normal load of her/his department's advisees (the number of said advisees shall not fall below a minimum of ten during any academic year), or advisors and non-teaching professionals who advise on a fulltime basis a minimum of 100 undergraduates.

Nominees may be of any academic rank or any non-teaching professional rank and must have completed at least two years of undergraduate academic advisement. on the Albany campus prior to the year of nomination.

## Criteria for Selection

The primary criterion for the Prestdent's Award for Excellence in Advising is the skili in advising. Serious consideration should be given to a sound knowledge of the field of advisement and the possibilities that exist outside of the specific discipline.

1. Advising Techniques

There must be positive evidence that the candidate advises superbly. The nominees must maintain a flexibility of advising styles and must adapt to the individual needs of each and every advisee.
2. Availability and Accessibility

The nominee must show a willingness above the norm to assess, aid, and help plan out a student's needs in terms of advisement. There must be an outward sign of reaching out to accomodate and expedite the needs of the advisee.
3. Knowledge of Field(s)

The nominee must display an awareness of student's needs with regard to SUNY-Albany objectives. The advisor must be capable of discussing alternatives to each set of student questions.

## Selection Process

The following requirements are outlined for the selection of the award recipient:

Advising Award-mentd.
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Selection Process-montd,

1. Nominations must arise from students or faculty.
2. Nominations should be solicited by the committee from all available sources. The use of mass media is encouraged in order to bring out a greater number of responses.
3. The selection committee should consist of:
--Three undergraduate students;
--Two Teaching Faculty, whose primary responsibility is with undergraduate teaching;
--One administrator from the Center for Undergraduate Education;
-One member of the Undergraduate Academic Council.


UNIVERSTTY SENATE
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1. Approval of Minutes
2. President's Report
3. Report on SUNY Senate Meeting
4. Council and Cormittee Reports
5. Old Business:
5.1 Guidelines for Promotion and Continuing Appointment
5.2 Revision of Policy Regarding All Undergraduate Honors Programs
5.3 Special Committee on Advising Awards
6. New Business
7. Adjournment
TOTE: The curxent fuidelines for Promotion andContinuing Appointment are included inthe Faculty Wansbook.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 1400 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12222

Minutes of May 5, 1980

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40$ p.m. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Kevin Burke.

## 1. Approval of Minutes

Senator Garber moved approval of the Minutes of the March 3 and March 19 meetings; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The Minutes of the March meetings were approved without correction.

Senator Wakin moved approval of the Minutes of the April 14, 1980 meeting; motion seconded by Senator Garber. The Minutes were approved without correction.

## 2. Report on State-wide SUNY Senate Meeting

Senator Margaret Farre11, SUNY-A1bany representative to the State-wide SUNY Senate, reported on the most recent Senate meeting.

## 3. Council and Committee Reports

Written reports were submitted by the Undergraduate Academic Council and the Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments.
4. Guidelines for Promotion and Continuing Appointment

The Senate continued its discussion of the proposed revisions in the Guidelines for Promotion and Continuing Appointment.

Senator Groark moved to delete Sections 2 a and 2 b of Section IV-A; motion seconded by Senator Bonk. Senator Groark noted that these sections would cause hardship for the library and would result in the abolition of the library's internal procedures.

After some discussion Senator Cannon moved the previous question; motion seconded and approved.

Motion to delete defeated by voice vote.
Senator Martin moved the previous question on the main motion; motion seconded and approved.

The Guidelines for Promotion and Continuing Appointment were approved.

## 5. Honors Policy

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Undergraduate Academic Council, moved approval of the bill to revise the policy for undergraduate honors programs; motion seconded.

The motion was approved without dissent.

## 6. Advising Award

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Undergraduate Academic Council, moved approval of the resolution to establish an award for advising; motion seconded.

There was a brief discussion centering on the source of money for the award and whether or not this award should be incorporating this award into the Chancellor's awards.

Senator Somach called the question; motion seconded and approved.
The motion was approved by a vote of 21 in favor to 13 opposed.

## 7. Degree Credit Limitation

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Undergraduate Academic Council, moved approval of the following resolution:

That the following degree credit limitation be approved and become effective for all students graduating in May 1984 and thereafter:

Of graduation credits earned in independent study and research, field experience, internships, and community service, a maximum of thirty credits may be applied to the baccalaureate degree.

Motion seconded.
After a brief discussion the motion was defeated by a majority vote of those present.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:50 p.m.

MAY 5, 1980

FROM: Harold Cannon, Chairperson
Undergraduate Academic Council

## For Information

1. Accepted guidelines (including definition, procedures, and course numbers) for "independent study and research" and "internships".
2. Accepted a recommendation that an honors committee become a standing committee of the Council.
3. Accepted a recomendation that for the 1980-1981 academic year, the UAC participate with the GAC in reviewing programs in the same departments (Russian and geography).
4. Accepted a definition of "Good Academic Standing".
5. Accepted a proposal for a second field in art history.
6. Accepted for implementation a similar statement earlier adopted by the GAC regarding change of grades

An instructor may not permit students in an undergraduate course to submit additional work or to be remexamined for the purpose of improving their grades after the course has been completed. Also, the Registrar may not enter a change of grade without the approval of the Dean of Undergraduate Studies, except, of course, for changes of "I" to a final grade.
7. Accepted a revision in the second field policy that no more than 42 graduation credits with the same course prefix could be used to meet the requirements of the major and second fields.
8. Accepted resolutions commending and congratulating Council member Helen Horowitz upon being honored by the Student Association by receiving their highest award as outstanding teacher and being nominated by this campus for the Chancellor's Award for Excellence in Teaching and to the Council recorder, John Levato, upon being honored by the Student Association by receiving their highest award as outstanding advisor and for receiving (in 1979) the Chancellor's Award for Excellence in Professional Service.

# Special Committee on Advising Awards 

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council April 14, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. A special committee be set up to nominate candidates for a special Presidential Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Advisement.
II. The charge, function, and responsibility of the committee be detailed as written.
III. The committee be a standing committee or subcommittee of the Undergraduate Academic Council.
IV. After the first presentation of the award, the committee will be required to set out a formalized procedure for the award.
V. This resolution be referred to the President.

PRESIDENT'S AWARD FOR EXCELLENCE IN ADVISING

## Scope of the Program

The State University of New York at Albany is committed to providing its students with the highest quality advisement for both present university programs and programs in the future. To give all faculty and nonteaching professionals an opportunity to participate meaningfully in this renewed emphasis on the advising function, the President's Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Advising is created.

## Nature of the Program

Persons who win an award for advising excellence will receive recognition in the university catalogue by the addition of the phrase "The State University of New York at Albany President's Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Advising, 1979-1980'. They will also receive a personal certificate of recognition. Each award will carry with it a sum of $\$ 500$.

## Nature--contd.

A recipient of an Excellence in Undergraduate Advising Award is ineligible for renomination for a period of five years.

## Eligibility

The President's Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Advising is intended to recognize, reward, and provide an incentive for superior undergraduate advisement on the Albany campus. Undergraduate advisors shall be defined as either faculty members who regularly advise a normal load of her/his department's advisees (the number of said advisees shall not fall below a minimum of ten during any academic year), or advisors and non-teaching professionals who advise on a fulltime basis a minimum of 100 undergraduates.

Nominees may be of any academic rank or any non-teaching professional rank and must have completed at least two years of undergraduate academic advisement on the Albany campus prior to the year of nomination.

## Criteria for Selection

The primary criterion for the President's Award for Excellence in Advising is the skill in advising. Serious consideration should be given to a sound knowledge of the field of advisement and the possibilities that exist outside of the specific discipline.

## 1. Advising Techniques

There must be positive evidence that the candidate advises superbly. The nominees must maintain a flexibility of advising styles and must adapt to the individual needs of each and every advisee.
2. Availability and Accessibility

The nominee must show a willingness above the norm to assess, aid, and help plan out a student's needs in terms of advisem ment. There must be an outward sign of reaching out to accommodate and expedite the needs of the advisee.
3. Knowledge of Field(s)

The nominee must display an awareness of student's needs with regard to SUNY-Albany objectives. The advisor must be capable of discussing alternatives to each set of student questions.

Selection Process
The following requirements are outlined for the selection of the award recípient:
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## Salection Process-contd.

1. Nominations must arise from students or faculty.
2. Nominations should be solicited by the committee from all available sources. The use of mass media is encouraged in order to bring out a greater number of responses.
3. The selection committee should consist of:
--Three undergraduate students;
--Two Teaching Faculty, whose primary responsibility is with undergraduate teaching;
-One administrator from the Center for Undergraduate Education;
-One member of the Undergraduate Academic Council.

STATE UNIVERSTTY OF NEW YORT AT ALbAIT

Degree Credit Limitation

INTRODUCED BY: Undergraduate Academic Council May 5, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. That the following degree credit limitation be approved and become effective for all students graduating in hay 1984 and thereafter:

Of graduation credits earned in independent study and research, field experience, internships, and community service, a maximum of 30 credits may be applied to the baccalaureate degree.
II. That this resolution be referred to the President.

## RATIORALE

The Curriculum Comittee of the Undergraduate Acadenic Council felt that no more than one-fourth of the credits applicable toward the baccalaureate degree should come from independent study and research, internships, field experiences, and community service courses.

Senate Minutes---contd.
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4. Executive Committee--contd.

Senator Sabai was elected on the first ballot.

The Chairperson adjourned the meeting until May 12, 1980.

University Senate<br>Minutes<br>May 12, 1980

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Eugene Garber.

The Chairperson reminded the faculty Senators that there would be a meeting of the faculty on May 13. He urged all to attend.

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Executive Committee, moved approval of the following motion: Resolved, that in the event that students do not sit on the 1980-1981 Senate, the Executive Committee, in the selection of student nominees for senate councils, be excused from all adhersnce to Senatorial status. :The motion was seconded.

Senator Carrino called the question; motion seconded and approved.
Senator Cannon's motion was approved unanimously.

1. Approval of Council Memberships
1.1 The Chairperson noted the following changes in the list distributed to the Senators.

EPC - N. Greenfeld should be listed as a Senator.
CAFE - A. Baldwin should be listed as an associate professor.
GAC - Add Barbara Rice (Library) to the 1ist.
UCC - Add Frederick Beharriel (German) to the list.
1.2 CAFE: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; motion seconded by Senator Wakin and approved.
1.3 EPC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; motion seconded and approved.
$1.4^{*}$ GAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT AL’3ANY 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222

University Senate<br>Minutes<br>April 28, 1980

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}$. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Eugene Garber. Professor Garber reminded the Senate that the only business which could be conducted at this meeting is the election of officers for the 1980-1981 academic year.

The Chairperson also requested that any Senators who had not already indicated their preferences for council or committee service should do so at the end of the meeting.

## 1. Election of Chairman-Elect

Harold Cannon was nominated by the Executive Committee. There were no other nominations from the floor.

Senator Gibson moved to close the nominations and that the secretary be instructed to cast an unanimous vote for Professor Cannon; motion seconded and approved.
2. Election of Secretary

Kay Hotaling was nominated by the Executive Committee. There were no nominations from the floor.

Senator Carrino moved that the nominations be closed; motion seconded and approved. Senator Hotaling was elected unanimously.
3. Executive Committee - Teaching Faculty

William Hammond, Wilfried Scholz, and Bertha Wakin were nominated by the Executive Committee, There were no nominations from the floor.

Senator Vaillancourt moved that the nominations be closed; motion seconded and approved. Senators Hammond and Wakin were elected.
4. Executive Committee - Non-Teaching Professional

Richard Farre11 and Rosemary Sabai were nominated by the Executive Committee. There were no nominations from the floor.

Senate Minutes--contd.
May 12, 1980
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1. Approval of Council Memberships--contd.
1.5 LIBRARY: Senator Cannon moved approval of the proposed membership;

Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.
1.6 CPCA: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion.

Senator Martin asked if the Executive Committee had reviewed the vitas of those faculty proposed for membership to this council. The Chairperson noted that the Executive Comittee had reviewed the vitas and that they were available for review by any members of the Senate who wished to see them.

The membership was approved.
1.7 RESEARCH: Senator Cannon moved approval of the proposed membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The membership was approved.
1.8 SAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The membership was approved.
1.9 UAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.
1.10 UCC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.

Senator Staley moved to adjourn; the motion was seconded and approved.
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4. Executive Committee---contd.

Senator Sabai was elected on the first ballot.

The Chairperson adjourned the meeting until May 12,1980 .

University Senate
Minutes
May 12, 1980

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40$ p.m. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Eugene Garber.

The Chairperson reminded the faculty Senators that there would be a meeting of the faculty on May 13. He urged all to attend.

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Executive Committee, moved approval of the following motion: Resolved, that in the event that students do not sit on the 1980-1981 Senate, the Executive Committee, in the selection of student nominees for senate councils, be excused from all adherence to senatorial status. The motion was seconded.

Senator Carrino called the question; motion seconded and approved.
Senator Cannon's motion was approved unanimously.

## 1. Approval of Council Memberships

1.1 The Chairperson noted the following changes in the list distributed to the Senators.

EPC - N. Greenfeld should be listed as a Senator. CAFE - A. Baldwin should be listed as an associate professor.
GAC - Add Barbara Rice (Library) to the list. UCC - Add Frederick Beharriel (German) to the list.
1.2 CAFE: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; motion seconded by Senator Wakin and approved.
1.3 EPC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; motion seconded and approved.
1.4". GAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.

Senate Minutes--contd.
May 12, 1980
Page 3

1. Approval of Council Memberships--contd.
1.5 LIBRARY: Senator Cannon moved approval of the proposed membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.
1.6 CPCA: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion.

Senator Martin asked if the Executive Committee had reviewed the vitas of those faculty proposed for membership to this council. The Chairperson noted that the Executive Committee had reviewed the vitas and that they were available for review by any members of the Senate who wished to see them.

The membership was approved.
1.7 RESEARCH: Senator Cannon moved approval of the proposed membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The membership was approved.
1.8 SAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The membership was approved.
1.9 UAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.
1.10 UCC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.

Senator Staley moved to adjourn; the motion was seconded and approved.
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## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222
MEETING: University Senate
TIME: 3:30 p.m.
DATE: September 15, 1980
LOCATTON: Lecture Hall 23
A $G E N D A$

1. Approval of Minutes
2. Council and Committee Reports
2.1 Executive Committee Report
3. Determination of Meeting Time for 1980-1981
4. Senate Bill No. 198081-01 (Guidelines for Academic Calendars - EPC)
5. New Business
6. Adjournment

## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222

## University Senate Minutes <br> September 15, 1980

The meeting was called to order at $3: 30$ p.m. in LC 23 by the Chairperson, Eugene Garber.

1. Approval of Minutes

Approval of the Minutes was postponed until the next meeting.
2. Council and Committee Reports
2.1 A written report was submitted by the Executive Committee.
2.2 Executive Committee:

The Chairperson noted that Kay Hotaling and Rosemary Sabai had both resigned from the university. It would therefore be necessary to hold elections to replace them on the Executive Committee.

The appointments recommended by the Executive Committee were approved.

In response to a request from the Chairperson, the Senators present indicated that they preferred a 3:30 meeting time to a $3 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}$. meeting time.
3. Guidelines for Academic Calendars (Bill No. 198081-01)
3.1 Senator Martin moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded by Senator Cannon.

At the invitation of the Senate, J. Fredericks Volkwein (Assistant to the President) gave a summary of the discussion involved in the proposal to amend the current guidelines.

After some discussion the motion was approved by majority vote.
Fron: Eugene Garber, Chairperson Senate Executive Comittee

```
The Executive Comaittee recommends the following appointments:
    RPC: Michel1e Firestone, Undergraduate
        A1 Gordon, Undergraduate
        Gary Klein, Undergraduate
        Larry Kotils, Undergraduate
        JAC: William Eray, School of Busfness
        Artie Banks, Undergraduate
        Brian Dunm, Underaraduate
        Lori Repe, Undergraduate
    GAC: Jon Zubiet(ow Chemistry (Benator)
    3AC: Carlos Cuprin, BOP
        Meil Gelfand, Underrsaduate
        *ark Lafayette, Undergraduste
        Brian Levy, Undereraduate
        Terxy licGovern, Undergraduate
        nich Secle, Indergraduate
        Karl Pellcan, Underoraduete
        Mark Nepcin, Underoraduate
    UCC: Irene Bledweiss, Tmderaraduate
        Amahomymusweymm,
        马ary Silverman, Undersraduace
    CATS: Dave Rick, Tndergraduate
        mite Stenard, Undergraduace
    CRCA: Rugh Haclesm, Enelish (Professor)
        Tred Ohmacht, Educational Psychology (Professor)
        Rob Maxant, Undereraduate
    Library: Scott Techsler, Undergraduate
        Peter Weinstock; Jndergraduate
```
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UNIVERSITY SENATE
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

Guidelines for Academic
Calendars

INTRODUCED BY: Council on Educational Policy
September 15, 1980

IT IS HEREBY PROPOSED THAT THE FOLLOWING BE ADOPTED:
I. The academic year is composed of two approximately equivalent semesters.
II. The fall semester begins after Labor Day and ends with the last day of examinations no later than December 23.
III. The spring semester begins the last week in January and ends with Commencement on the thixd or fourth Sunday in May.
IV. The following holidays are observed by the recessing of classes:
(a) Rosh Hashana (two days) and Yom Kippur (one day) and Passover (two days), except when these days occur on a Saturday. (It is understood that classes will be recessed no latex than sundown of the day preceding.)
(b) Thanksgiving Day and the following Friday and Saturday.
V. A oneweek recess of classes in the spring semester will be scheduled no later than the ninth week. (Tt is understood that in some years this will coincide with Passover and Easter and in other years it will not. In addition, the placement of Passover and Easter in relation to each other and in relation to their distance from the beginning of the semester may make it reasonable in some spring sessions, e.g., 1984, to schedule two one-week vacations and in others, e.g., 1982, to schedule one vacation plus a "long weekend.")
VI. One or two week days will be set aside as reading days between the last day of classes and the first day of final examinations. (It is understood that quarter classes and courses which meet only once per week will continue to be in session on most reading days.)
VII. The structure of the calendar which is reflected in the above policies is made possible, especially in the fall, only when Monday/Wednesday/ Friday classes meet for a minimum of 55 minutes and when Tuesday/Thursday classes meet for a minimum of 85 minutes.
VIII. That this policy take effect with the spring 1982 semester.

## UNIVERSITY SENATE <br> STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY

## MEETING SCHEDULE <br> 1980-1981

## UNIVERSITY SENATE

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

## September 15

September 3
October 6
September 22
November $3 \quad$ October 22
December 1 November 17

February 2
January 26
March 2
February 16
April 6

MARCH 23
May 4
April 13
1981-1982 Organizational Meetings: ..... April 27, 1981
May 11, 1981

## Ex Officio Senators

```
V Vincent O'Leary, President of State
    University of New York at Albany
    David Martin, Vice-President for
        Academic Affairs
Wohn Hartigan, Vice-President for
        Finance and Business
    Warren Ilchman, Vice-President for
        Research and Dean of Graduate Studies
Lewis Welch, vice-President for
        University Affairs
    Joseph Nitecki, Director of
        University Libraries
\(\checkmark\) Vincent O'Leary, President of State University of New York at Albany
David Martin, Vice-President for Academic Affairs
Wohn Hartigan, Vice-President for Finance and Business
Warren IIchman, Vice-President for Research and Dean of Graduate Studies
Lewis Welch, Vice-President for University Affairs
Joseph Nitecki, Director of University Libraries
```

Ceugene McLaren, SUNYA Representative to the State-wide SUNY Senate (1982)
(Alternate: John Morgan)
Donald Reeb, SUNYA Representative
to the State-wide SUNY Senate (1983)
(Alternate: Arthur Collins)
Anne Roberts, SUNYA Representative to the State-wide SUNY Senate (|98|)
(No Alternate)
Kevin Burke, Past Chairperson of SUNYA University Senate

Elected Senators

## Elected: At-Large

Alfred Cali (1981)
Educ. Administration
(Robert Gibson (1981) CUE
$\checkmark$ James Rledel (|98|) Political Science

UJon Scott (1981)
Atmospheric Science

Elected: Humanities and Fine Arts

Eugene Garber (1981)
English
John Overbeck (1981)
Classics

Frederick Beharriell
German (1982)
Martha Rozet† (1982)
English

Vernon Buck (1983)
Educ. Oppor. Program
Frank Carrino (1983)
Hispanic and Ital. Studies
Richard Tastor (1983)
Library
Donald Arnold (1983)
Business

Robert Sanders (1981)

```
\(\checkmark\) Melvin Bers (1982)" Economics
Richard Farrell (1982)
Graduate Studies
```

UHarry Staley (1982)
English
Dorothy Christiansen Library (1982)

Edward Cowley (198.3)
Art
Drew Hartzell (1983)
Music

1980-1981 Membership--contd.
Page 2

Elected: Science and Mathematics

| Colin Izzard (1981) Biology | Bernard Vonnegut (1982) | William Hammond (1983) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atmospheric Sclence | Mathematics and Stat. |
| (1981) | Jon Zubieta (1982) Chemistry | Wilfried Scholz (1983) Physics |
|  |  | Richard Stearns (1983) Computer Science |
| Elected: Social and Behavioral Sciences |  |  |
| Norman Greenfeld (1981) Psychology | Peter Krosby (1982) History | Frank Pogue (1983) |
| sychology |  | Studies |
| Jogindar Uppal (1981) | Seiritsu Ogura (1983) |  |
| Economics : | Economics |  |
| Elected: Business | Elected: Cont. Studies | Elected: Criminal Justice |
| Harold Cannon (1981) | Mudnolo hersg (1981) | Robert Hardt (1982) |
| Roy Klages (1981) |  |  |
| Elected: Education |  |  |
| Edward Christensen (1982) | Alexinia Baldwin (1983) | Gordon Purrington (1983) |
| Counseling | Program Development | Educ. Administration |
| Frank Ferminella (1982) | Anthony Cresswell (1983) | Bertha Wakin (1983) |
| Educ. and Social Thought | Educ. Administration | Teacher Education |
| Elected: GSPA | Elected: Library | Elected: Library Science |
| Charles Tarlton (1982) | Sharon Bonk (1982). | Pauline Vall ${ }^{\text {ancourt ( }}$ (1982) |
| (1982) |  |  |
| Elected: Social Welfare |  |  |
| Marc Miringoff (1981) |  |  |

Appointed Senators

Neil Brown
Student Affairs
Ricardo Nirenberg
Mathematics
Dean Snow
Anthropology

## Appointed Senators--contd.



Graduate Student Senators
(Eleven to be elected.)

## Executive Committee of the Senate

| Ex Officio: | Vincent O'Leary, President of SUNYA Eugene McLaren (Chemistry), SUNY Senator Donald Reeb (Economics), SUNY Senator Anne Roberts (Library), SUNY Senator Kevin Burke (Geology), Past Chal rperson of the Senate <br> Sue Gold, President of Student Association $\qquad$ , President - Graduate Student Association |
| :---: | :---: |
| Elected Faculty: | ```Eugene Garber (Eng\||s), Chairperson of the Senate Harold Cannon (Business), Chairperson-Elect``` $\qquad$ <br> ```, SecretaryNone``` |
| Elected Students: | Sheryl Bloom Chris Fink Dave Preibe |

Council on Academic Freedom and Ethics

| Teaching Faculty: | *Alexinia Baldwin, Program Development <br> *Frank Carrino, Hispanic and Italian Studies John Mackiewicz, Biology <br> *Harry Staley, English <br> *Jerry Suls, Psychology <br> Dwight Wallace, Anthropology |
| :---: | :---: |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: | Gloria DeSole, Affirmative Action *Richard Farrell, Graduate Studies |

Undergraduate Students:

Graduate Students:

Councll on Educational Policy

| Ex Officio: | Vincent O'Leary, President of SUNYA |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | David Martin, Vice-President for Academic Affairs |
|  | John Hartigan, Vice-President for Finance and Business |
|  | Warren Ilchman, Vice-President for Research |
|  | Lewis Welch, Vice-President for University Affairs |

Council on Educational Policy--contd.
Member, Council of Academic Deans: Daniel Wulff, Science and Mathematics

Teaching Faculty: |  | *Al Cali, Educational Administration |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Jeanne Jol, Public Administration |
|  | Norman Greenfeld, Psychology |
|  | Mina LaCroix, Library |
|  | *Robert Meyers, Philosophy |
|  | *Marc Miringoff, Social Welfare |
|  | *Ricardo Nirenberg, Mathematics and Statist |
|  | *ilfried Scholz, Physics |
|  | Clara Tucker, History |
|  | Japhet Zwana, African and Afro-American Stud |
|  | *Robert Gibson, CuE |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: |  |
|  | John Levato, Business |
|  | Patrick Terenzini, Institutional Research |

Undergraduate Students:
$\qquad$
Graduate Students: $\qquad$

Graduate Academic Council
Ex Officio:

Teaching Faculty:

Non-Teaching Faculty:
Loulse Tornatore, Psychology
Undergraduate Student:
Graduate Students:
*Donald Arnold, Business
*Melvin Bers, Economics
*Edward Christensen, Counseling
*Frank Pogue, African and Afro-American Studies Barbara Rice, Library
John Spalek, German
*Charles Tarlton, Political Science
David Martin, Vice-President for Academic Affairs Warren IIchman, Dean of Graduate Studies
Loulse Tornatore, Psychology
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Library Council

Ex Officio: | Stephen DeLong, Associate Vice-President for |
| :--- |
| Academic Affairs |
| Joseph Nitecki, Director of Libraries |

Undergraduate Students:

Graduate Students: $\qquad$

Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments

Ex Officio:

Teaching Faculty: Bruce Dudek, Psychology
Helen Ghiradella, Biology
*Peter Krosby, History

* James Riedel, Political Science
*Robert Sanders, Rhetoric and Communication
*Richard Stearns, Computer Science
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Undergraduate Students:

Graduate Students:

Council on Research

| Ex Officio: | Warren llchman, Vice-President for Research |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Frank Lucarelli, Director of Research |
| Teaching Faculty: $\quad$ | Walter Gibson, Physics |
|  | *James Groark, Library |
|  | Richard Halt, Sociology |
|  | Kathryn Kelley, Psychology |

1980-1981 Membership--contd.

Council on Research--contd.
$\left.\begin{array}{ll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { *John Overbeck, Classics } \\ \text { John Seagle, Business } \\ \text { Susan Sherman, Social Welfare } \\ \text { *Bernard Vonnegut, Atmospheric Science }\end{array} \\ \text { Non-Teaching Faculty: }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Gary Hobbs, Counseling Center } \\ \text { Gene Winter, Two-Year College Development Center }\end{array}\right\}$

| $\frac{\text { 1980-1981 Membership--con }}{\text { Page } 8}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate Academic Council--contd. |  |
|  | ```Colbert Nepaulsingh, Hispanic and Italian Studies *Martha Rozett, English *Dean Snow, Anthropology Ivan Steen, History``` |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: | *Sharon Bonk, Library |
| Undergraduate Students: | David Pologe Ira Somach *Mark Weprin |
|  | -- - - - - |
| Graduate Student: |  |
| University Community Council |  |
| Ex Officio: | Lewis Welch, Vice-President for University Affairs Norbert Zahm, Director of University Auxiliary Services <br> James Willlams, Director of Security |
| Teaching Faculty: | Robert Donnelly, Theatre <br> Donald Faverau, Continuing Studies <br> *Colin Izzard, Biology <br> *Roy Klages, Business <br> * Esedoned Be hanzell |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: | Beverly Radlin, Educational Opportunity Program *Richard Tastor, Library |
| Service Staff: | Ronnie Dyson, Affirmative Action Loulise Lifford, Gentinuing Studies Gwen Willoughby, Personnel |
| Undergraduate Students: |  |
| Graduate Students: |  |

## UNIVERSITY SENATE

# STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 

Powers of the University Senate

INTRODUCED BY: Executive Committee

It is hereby proposed that the following be enacted:
I. That because the powers and responsibilities of the Faculty of State University of New York at Albany, all of which, with the exception of specific reservations, the Faculty has delegated to the SUNYA Senate, are vaguely stated as "...the development of the educational program of the University and...the conduct of the University's instruction, research and service programs, subject to the provisions of the New York State Education Law and the Policies of the Board of Trustees" (Article I, Section 3.1), the Senate assumes upon itself the obligation to interpret the extent of those powers and responsibilities. Unless otherwise specifically directed by the Faculty, the Senate will construe its charge (Article I, Section 3.2) in the broadest possible sense.
II. That the Senate assumes that any policy, practice, or condition within the University which in its judgment significantly affects the quality of the institution's legitimate functioning is a proper concern of the Faculty, and hence, of the Senate.
III. That the Senate, recognizing that the powers of the Faculty, and hence, its own, are limited by State Law, by the policies of the Board of Trustees, by the policies of the SUNYA Council, and by the prerogatives vested in the President of SUNYA, assumes that the Faculty properly expects to be consulted regarding any proposed change in these policies and regulations, and hence, the Senate expects to be so consulted.
IV. That, although the Faculty, and hence, the Senate has no authoxity, beyond that of individual citizenship, for the governance of local, county, state, and national political jurisdictions, some policies and actions of external governmental bodies significantly affect the quality of the University's legitimate functioning, and on such matters the Faculty might properly be expected to register its approval or disapproval, as appropriate. When, therefore, and only when, a situation external to the University is demonstrated to the Senate's satisfaction to affect significantly the quality of the University's functioning, the Senate may appropriately express its approval or disapproval and if circumstances seem so to warrant, will seek endorsement of its action from the Faculty and the student body, through
referendum. It shall be the responsibility of the sponsors of any resolution not calling for changes in the policies or procedures of the University itself to demonstrate, in the text of the proposed resolution, the bearing of the subject matter upon the functioning of the University.
V. That the Executive Committee of the Senate shall not rule on the appropriateness of a proposal brought before it, unless it is acting for the Senate when that body is unable to act. Except in that contingency, the Executive Committee will either refer a proposal to an appropriate Council or place it on the Senate's agenda. A Council may recommend for or against a proposal referred to it, or may propose amendments.
VI. That this bi11 take effect on October 1, 1970.

## UNIVERSITY SENATE

# STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 

## Rules of Order

## INTRODUCED BY: Executive Committee

In accordance with the Faculty By-laws, Article II, Section 5.23, (The Executive Cormittee shall make recommendations for improving the operation and maintaining the orderiy process of the Senate), the Executive Committee proposed adoption of Bill No. 197374-01. This Bill is an attempt to eliminate some of the confusion which ocoasionally exists, and to identify past practice in the matter of Counoil reports. The recommendations are consistent with Roberts" Rules of Order, and alarify seleated Senate procedures.
I. It is hereby proposed that the Senate adopt the following Rules of Order:

1. If a Senate meeting is still in session at $5 \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{m}$. , the parliamentarian shall so inform the Chairman. The Chaiman will call for an appropriate motion, which might be one of the following:
1.1 To adjourn (implies no topic on the floor).
1.2 To table the current topic until the next regular meeting to be followed by a motion to adjourn.
1.3 To recess until_(for example, the following Monday at 3 p.m.).
1.4 To continue discussion until (specific time).
1.5 To move the previous question; to be followed by a motion to adjourn, recess, or continue business until (specific time).
2. That insofar as possible, speakers on a topic be alternated as to their pro or con positions, with the exception that nio Semasor shallibe denied the right to speak at least once during the debate.
3. That Council reports be handled in the following fashion:

> 3.1 All Council reports shall be submitted in writing and shall clearly distinguish between information and recommendations for action.
> 3.2 Recommendations for action shall be in the form of a bill and shall be discussed and acted upon under "new business".
> 3.3 The informational section of a Council report may be questioned while the report is on the floor. If a Senator wishes to challenge any action taken by the Council, he shall do so by making an appropriate motion under "new business".
II. That this bill take effect immediately.

| Second Amend- Debat- | Required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Needed | Interrupt <br> able |

PRIVILEGED MOTIONS
(In order of precedence)

| Fix time of next meeting | yes | yes | no | $1 / 2$ | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjourn | yes | no | no | $1 / 2$ | no |
| Recess | yes | yes | no | $1 / 22$ | no |
| Question of privilege | no | no | no | ch. | yes |

## SUBSIDIARY MOTIONS

(In order of precedence)

| Lay on the table | yes | no | no | $1 / 2$ | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Previous question | yes | no | no | $2 / 3$ | no |
| Limit debate | yes | yes | no | $2 / 3$ | no |
| Postpone to a certain time | yes | yes | yes | $1 / 2$ | no |
| Refer to a committee | yes | yes | yes | $1 / 2$ | no |
| Committee of the whole | yes | yes | yes | $1 / 2$ | no |
| Amend | yes | yes |  | $1 / 2$ | no |
| Postpone indefinitely | yes | no | yes | $1 / 2$ | no |

MAIN MOTIONS
(No order of precedence)
Main motion for general
business yes
Take from the table
Reconsider
Rescind
Make special order of business

| yes | yes | $1 / 2$ | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no | no | $1 / 2$ | no |
| no | 1 | $1 / 2$ | yes |
| yes | yes | $2 / 3$ | no |
| yes | yes | $2 / 3$ | no |

INCIDENTAL MOTIONS
(No order of precedence)

| Question of order | no |
| :--- | :--- |
| Appeal from decision of chair | yes |
| Suspend rules | yes |
| Object to consideration | no |
| Parliamentary inquiry | no |
| Request for information | no |
| Withdraw a motion | no |


| no | no | ch. | yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no | 1 | $1 / 2$ | yes |
| no | no | $2 / 3$ | no |
| no | no | $2 / 3$ | yes |
| no | no | ch. | yes |
| no | no | ch. | yes |
| no | no | $1 / 2$ | no |

1. Debatable only when the motion to which it is applied was debatable.
2. Requires only chair's decision; majority vote if appealed from chair.
3. Original motion not debatable; amendment debatable.

NOTE: $1 / 2$ means one more than half of those voting (simple majority); $2 / 3$ means two-thirds of those voting.

TO SUPPPRESS DEBATE OR HASTEN ACTION

1. Previous question yes
2. Suspend rules
3. Limit debate
4. Take from the table
5. Make special order of business

TO DELAY ACTION
6. Postpone to a certain time
7. Lay on the table
8. Refer to committee

TO PREVENT ACTION
9. Object to consideration
10. Withdraw a motion
11. Postpone indefinitely

TO CONSIDER MORE CAREFULLY
12. Committee of the whole

TO CHANGE A DECISION
13. Reconsider
14. Rescind

TO MAINTAIN RULES AND ORDER
15. Question of privilege
16. Question of order
17. Appeal from decision of chair
18. Parliamentary inquiry
19. Request for information

TO CLOSE A MEETING
20. Adjourn
21. Fix time of next meeting
22. Recess

| yes | no | no | $2 / 3$ | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yes | no | no | $2 / 3$ | no |
| yes | yes | no | $2 / 3$ | no |
| yes | no | no | $1 / 2$ | no |
| yes | yes | yes | $2 / 3$ | no |


| yes | yes | yes | $1 / 2$ | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yes | no | no | $1 / 2$ | no |
| yes | yes | yes | $1 / 2$ | no |


| no | no | no | $2 / 3$ | yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no | no | no | $1 / 2$ | no |
| yes | no | yes | $1 / 2$ | no |

yes yes yes $1 / 2$ no

| yes | no | 1 | $1 / 2$ | yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yes | yes | yes | $2 / 3$ | no |


| no | no | no | ch. 2 | yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no | no | no | ch. | yes |
| yes | no | 1 | $1 / 2$ | yes |
| no | no | no | ch. | yes |
| no | no | no | ch. | yes |


| yes | no | $n o_{3}$ | $1 / 2$ | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yes | yes | $\mathrm{no}_{3}$ | $1 / 2$ | no |
| yes | yes | no $_{3}$ | $1 / 2$ | no |

1. Debatable only when the motion to which it is applied was debatable.
2. Requires only chair's decision; majority vote if appealed from chair.
3. Original motion not debatable; amendment debatable.

NOTE: $1 / 2$ means one more than half of those voting (simple majority); $2 / 3$ means two-thirds of those voting.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 1400 Washington Avenue
Albany, New York 12222
UNIVERSITY SENATE
MEETING: University Senate
DATE: November 3, 1980
TIME: 3:30 p.m.
LOCATION: CC Assembly Hall
A G E ND

1. Approval of Minutes
2. President's Report
3. Report on LEAP
4. Council and Committee Reports
5. New Business
6. Adjournment

## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 1.400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222

## Minutes of November 3; 1980

The meeting was called to order at 3:35 p.m. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Eugene Garber.

1. Approval of Minutes

The Minutes of the April 28, May 5, May 12, and September 15, 1980 meetings were approved as distributed.
2. President's Report

President 0'Leary presented a report to the Senate. He discussed enrol1ments, the fiscal problems facing the university, the planning and budgeting process, long-range planning, and the upcoming Middle States evaluation and re-accreditation that SUNY-Albany is presently undergoing.

The President also discussed some major questions which must be addressed by New York State, such as: how many students does the state want to educate and the quality of education to be provided; should there be more collaborative programs within the SUNY system; should there be a research board; should the same programs be duplicated?
3. LEAP Report

Helen Desfosses, Dean of Undergraduate Studies, presented an interim report on the LEAP program. She described the process of course gathering and development, and student recruitment. The students are presently taking courses in six areas. The courses have common factors such as writing, oral presentations, and performance. There is constant evaluation by faculty and students as well as an exit interview for students who leave the program.

Professor Berger described his experiences in teaching a course in the program and his reaction to teaching freshmen.

Dr. Desfosses thanked all who helped in getting the program underway, especially Gene Garber, Stan Schwartz, Ed Kelly, and Pat Terenzini.
4. Counci1 and Committee Reports
4.1 Written reports were submitted by the Undergraduate Academic Council and the Library Council. There were no questions on the reports.

Senate Minutes--contd.
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4. Council and Committee Reports-contd.
4.2 The following chairs of councils have been named:

> Educational Policy - Al Cali
> Undergraduate Academic - Dean Snow
> Graduate Academic - Ed Christensen
> Student Affairs - Mark Lafayette
> Research - Bernard Vonnegut and Walter Gibson
> University Community - Rick Tastor
> Academic Freedom and Ethics - Harry Staley
> Promotions and Continuing Appointments - Peter Krosby Library - Pauline Vaillancourt
4.3 Chairman Garber reported for the Executive Committee. It will be necessary to select a replacement for Helen Ghiradella who has resigned from the CPCA.

The nominations of the Executive Committee for additional members to the various councils were approved.

There was no new business to come before the Senate. The meeting was adjourned at 4:53 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,


Bertha Takin, Secretary

## State University of New York at Albany

MEMORANDUM

TO: Eugene Garber, Chairman, Senate
FROM: Dean R. Snow, Chairman, UAC


SUBJECT: Report of the Meeting of October 15, 1980
DATE: October 16, 1980

The Undergraduate Academic Council met Thursday, October 15, 1980, and acted upon several issues. One issue will require Senate action, six others are reported for information only.

## A. For Senate Action:

No items for Senate action.
B. For Information:

1. The UAC has approved adoption of a revision in the $B A / B S$ distinction policy as it appears in the Undergraduate Academic Policy Manual, page 29, Item 4. As amended, Item 4 will read as follows:

No academic unit may offer both undergraduate degrees if the program requirements for each degree are or may be nearly identical. If the two degrees are to be considered for awarding by one department, college or school for similar content, distinct differences in the program requirements must exist to clearly distinguish between the preparation leading to the two separate degrees. Such justification is to proceed according to the preceding paragraph.
2. The UAC has approved an amendment to the degree requirements for a major leading to a BS degree in economics by addition of the following statement to the program description:

For the B.S. degree, students must satisfactorily complete a second major or second field in the School of Business or the College of Science and Mathematics.
3. The UAC took action to establish separate committees on Academic Standing and Admissions on grounds that the workload for the current academic year will be too heavy for a single committee.
4. The UAC approved with changes a recommendation from the Academic Standing Committee to modify admissions standards in the major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics. The appropriate passage has been reworded as follows:

To be formally enrolled as a major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics a student must have achieved a minimum grade point average of 2.75 in the following four courses, with a grade of at least $C$ or $S$ in each: MAT 112, MAT 113, CSI 201, CSI 202. These admission requirements become effective for all students seeking admission to the program after February 1, 1981.
5. The UAC approved minor changes in the admissions standards for a major in Computer Science to make them compatible with the revised standards for the major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics.
6. The UAC accepted a report on the Linguistics program from the Committee on Undergraduate Program Reviews, and passes the report on to the Senate.

The UAC received information on a number of other issues, some of which will be acted upon at future meetings. Such àtions will be reported in due course. The council approved some new and some replacement appointments to several committees, which will be supplemented by further appointments at the November meeting. A complete list of committee appointments will probably be available in November.
D.R.S.

DRS:bmk

From: Pauline M. Vaillancourt, Chairperson Library Council

For Information
The Library Council meet on September 29 and October lst. Pauline M.

Vaillancourt was elected chairman and $K$. Lowery volunteered to be secretary. Meetings are to be regularly scheduled on the third Monday at $4: 30$ p.m.

Several important policy matters were referred to the council, the first being the decision of the Library's joining RLG (Reasearch Libraries Group) the sponsoring organization of RIIN (Research Libraries Information Network) which is a computerized information system, in addition to, or as an alternative to OCLC, the current system.

The Council unanimously recommended that SUNYA should join RLG and urged that the Presidient be requested to support this step with additional funding. It was also moved, seconded and passed with a single abstension, that the Director make every possible effort to retain one OCLC terminal for searching purposes.

## 1980-1981 Membership

## EX OFFICIO SENATORS

| Vincent 0'Leary, President of State | Eugene McLaren, SUNYA Representa- <br> tive to the State-wide SUNY |
| :---: | :---: |
| University of New York at Albany | Senate (1982) |
| (Alternate: John Morgan) |  |

ELECTED SENATORS

Elected: At Large

Alfred Cali (1981)
Educ. Administration
Robert Gibson (1981)
CUE
James Riedel (1981)
Political Science
Jon Scott (1981)
Atmospheric Science

Melvin Bers (1982)
Economics
Richard Farre11 (1982)
Graduate Studies
Harry Staley (1982) Richard Tastor (1983)
English
Dorothy Christiansen (1982)
Library

Vernon Buck (1983)
Educ. Opportunity Program
Frank Carrino (1983)
Hispanic and Italian Studies

Financial Aid
Donald Arnold (1983)
Buṣiness

Elected: Humanities and Fine Arts

| Eugene Garber (1981) <br> English | Martha Rozett (1982) <br> English | Edward Cowley (1983) <br> Art |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| John Overbeck (1981) <br> Classics | (1982) | Drew Hartzel1 (1983 <br> Music |
| Robert Sanders (1981) | (1982) |  |

Rhetoric

```
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Elected: Science and Mathematics

| Hassaram Bakhru (1981) <br> Physics | Bernard Vonnegut (1982) <br> Atmospheric Science |
| :--- | :--- |
| Colin Izzard (1981) Jon Zubieta (1982) <br> Biology  | Chemistry |

## Elected: Social and Behavioral Sciences

| Norman Greenfeld (1981) | Peter Krosby (1982) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Psychology | History |
|  |  |
| Jogindar Uppal (1981) | Seiritsu Ogura (1983) |
| Economics | Economics |

Elected: Business
Harold Cannon (1981)
Roy Klages (1981)

Elected: Education
Edward Christensen (1982)
Counseling
Frank Femminella (1982)
Educ and Social Thought

Elected: GSPA
Charles Tarlton (1982)
___(1982)

Elected: Social Welfare
Marc Miringoff (1981)

Alexinia Baldwin (1983) Program Development

Anthony Cresswe11 (1983) Educ. Administration

Elected: Library
Sharon Bonk (1983)
James Groark (1982)

William Hammond (1983)
Mathematics and Statistics

Wilfried Scholz (1983)
Physics
Richard Stearns (1983)
Computer Science

Frank Pogue (1983)
African and Afro-American Studies

Elected: Criminal Justice
Robert Hardt (1982)

Gordon Purrington (1983) Educ. Administiation

Bertha Wakin (1983)
Teacher Education

Elected: Library Science
Pauline Vaillancourt (1982)

## APPOINTED SENATORS

Neil Brown
Student Affairs
Helen Desfosses
Undergraduate Studies
Robert Meyers
Philosophy
Ricardo Nirenberg
Mathematics
Edward Riley
Psychology
Charles Scholes
Physics

Dean Snow Anthropology

Jerry Suls
Psychology
John Webb
Social and Behavioral Sciences

## UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS

## ELECTED

Artie Banks
Irene Bleiweiss
Sheryl B1oon
Brian Dunn
Chris Fink
Ron Frielick
Neil Gelfand

A1 Gordon
Paul Kastell
Gary Klein
Larry Kotik
Mark Lafayette
Bob Maxant
Jeff Morgenstern
Karl Pelican

Dave Preibe
Dave Rich
Gary Silverman
Mike Stenard
Pau1 Travers
Peter Weinstock
Mark Weprin

GRADUATE STUDENTS
ELECTED

George Williams
Physics - Science and Mathematics
Executive Committee of the Senate

| Exs Officio: | Vincent O'Leary, President of SUNYA <br> Eugene McLaren (Chemistry), SUNY Senator <br> Donald Reeb (Economics), SUNY Senator <br> Anne Roberts (Library), SUNY Senator <br> Kevin Burke (Geology), Past Chairperson of the Senate <br> Sue Gold, President of Student Association $\qquad$ , President, Graduate Student Association |
| :---: | :---: |
| Elected Faculty: | ```Eugene Garber (Eng1ish), Chairperson of the Senate Harold Cannon (Business), Chairperson-Elect Bertha Wakin (Business Education), Secretary Vernon Buck, EOP William Hammond, Mathematics Robert Hardt, Criminal Justice``` |
| Elected Students: | Sheryl Bloom Chris Fink Dave Preibe |

Council on Academic Ereedom and Ethics

| Teaching Faculty: | *Alexinia Baldwin, Program Development <br> *Frank Carrino, Hispanic and Italian Studies <br> John Mackiewicz, Biology <br> *Harry Staley, English <br> *Jerry Suls, Psychology <br> Dwight Wallace, Anthropology |
| :---: | :---: |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: | G1oria DeSole, Affirmative Action *Richard Farre11, Graduate Studies |
| Undergraduate Students: | David Rich Mike Stenard |

Graduate Students:

## Council on Educational Policy

Ex Officio: | Vincent O'Leary, President of SUNYA |
| :--- |
| David Martin, Vice President for Academic Affairs |
| John Hartigan, Vice President for Finance and Business |
|  |
| Warren Ilchman, Vice President for Research |
|  |
| Lewis Welch, Vice President for University Affairs |

[^0]```
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Council on Educational Policy--contd.

| Member, Council of | Daniel Wulff, Science and Mathematics |
| :--- | :--- |
| Academic Deans: |  |
| Teaching Faculty: |  |
|  | *Al Cali, Educational Administration |
|  | Jeanne Col, Public Administration |
|  | *Norman Greenfeld, Psychology |
|  | Mina LaCroix, Library |
|  | *Robert Meyers, Philosophy |
|  | *Marc Miringoff, Social Welfare |
|  | *Ricardo Nirenberg, Mathematics and Statistics |
|  | *Wilfried Scholz, Physics |
|  | Clara Tucker, History |

Undergraduate Students: Michelle Firestone
A1 Gordon
Gary Klein
Larry Kotik

Graduate Students:

Graduate Academic Council

Ex Officio: David Martin, Vice President for Academic Affairs Warren Ilchman, Dean of Graduate Studies

Teaching Faculty: *Donald Arnold, Business
*Melvin Bers, Economics
*Edward Christensen, Counseling
*Frank Pogue, African and Afro-American Studies Barbara Rice, Library
John Spalek, German *Charles Tarlton, Political Science *Jon Zubieta, Chemistry

Non-Teaching Faculty: Louise Tornatore, Psychology

Undergraduate Student:
Graduate Students:
—
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Library Council


Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments
Ex Officio:
David Martin, Vice President for Academic Affairs

```
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Counci1 on Research--contd.
    *John Overbeck, Classics
                        John Seagle, Business
    Susan Sherman, Social Welfare
    *Bernard Vonnegut, Atmospheric Science
Non-Teaching Faculty: Gary Hobbs, Counseling Center 
Undergraduate Students:
Graduate Students:
Student Affairs Council
Ex Officio: Neil Brown, Dean for Student Affairs
Teaching Faculty: Suzanne Aiardo, Library
    Kendall Birr, History
    *Edward Cowley, Art
    John Wapner, Psychological C1inic
Non-Teaching Faculty: Jonathan Bartow, Registrar
    Carlos Cuprill, EOP
    Jacqueline Johnson, Admissions
    John Tucker, Counseling Center
Undergraduate Students: Neil Gelfand
    Mark Lafayette
    Brian Levy
    Terry McGovern
    Rich Nagle
    Kar1 Pelican
    Mark Weprin
Graduate Students:
Undergraduate Academic Council
Ex Officio: David Martin, Vice President for Academic Affairs
    Helen Desfosses, Dean for Undergraduate Studies
Teaching Faculty: Raymond Benenson, Physics
    Helen Horowitz, Economics
    Violet Larney, Mathematics and Statistics
```
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Undergraduate Academic Council-wcontd.
Colbert Nepaulsingh, Hispanic and Italian Studies *Martha Rozett, English
*Dean Snow, Anthropology
Ivan Steen, History
William Bray, Business
Non-Teaching Faculty: *Sharon Bonk, Library
Undergraduate Students: David Pologe Ira Somach Artie Banks
Brian Dunn
Lori Peppe

Graduate Student:

University Community Council

| Ex Officio: | Lewis Welch, Vice President for University Affairs Norbert Zahm, Director of University. Auxiliary Services James Williams, Director of Security |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teaching Faculty: | Robert Donnelly, Theatre <br> Donald Favreau, Continuing Studies *Colin Izzard, Biology <br> *Roy Klages, Business <br> *Frederick Beharrie11, German |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: | Beverly Radlin, Educational Opportunity Program *Richard Tastor, Financial Aids |
| Service Staff: | Diane Cardone, EOP <br> Ronnie Dyson, Affirmative Action Gwen Willoughby, Personnel |
| Undergraduate Students: | Irene Bleiweiss Anthony Iavellif Gary Silverman |

Graduate Students:

# STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY <br> 1400 Washington Avenue <br> Albany, New York 12222 

UNIVERSITY SENATE

Oryille Poland, Dean
Graduate School of
Public Affairs
ULB-96

LOCATION: CC Assembly Hall.

## AGENDA

1. Approval of Minutes
2. President's Report
3. Report on LEAP
4. Counci1 and Committee Reports
5. New Business
6. Adjournment

# STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY <br> 1400 Washington Avenue <br> Albany, New York 12222. 

UNIVERSITY SENATE
Minutes of May 5, 1980

The meeting was called to order at 3:40 p.m. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Kevin Burke.

## 1. Approval of Minutes

Senator Garber moved approval of the Minutes of the March 3 and March 19 meetings; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The Minutes of the March meetings were approved without correction.

Senator Wakin moved approval of the Minutes of the April. 14,1980 meeting; motion seconded by Senator Garber. The Minutes were approved without correction.

## 2. Report on State-wide SUNY Senate Meeting

Senator Margaret Farrell, SUNY-Albany representative to the State-wide SUNY Senate, reported on the most recent Senate meeting.

## 3. Council and Committee Reports

Written reports were submitted by the Undergraduate Academic Council and the Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments.
4. Guidelines for Promotion and Continuing Appointment

The Senate continued its discussion of the proposed revisions in the Guidelines for Promotion and Continuing Appointment.

Senator Groark moved to delete Sections $2 a$ and $2 b$ of Section IV-A; motion seconded by Senator Bonk. Senator Groark noted that these sections would cause hardship for the library and would result in the abolition of the library's internal procedures.

After some discussion Senator Cannon moved the previous question; motion seconded and approved.

Motion to delete defeated by voice vote.
Senator Martin moved the previous question on the main motion; motion seconded and approved.

The Guidelines for Promotion and Continuing Appointment were approved.

Senate Minutes--contd. May 5, 1980
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5. Honors Policy

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Undergraduate Academic Council, moved approval of the bill to revise the policy for undergraduate honors programs; motion seconded.

Thé motion was approved without dissent.

## 6. Advising Award

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Undergraduate Academic Council, moved approval of the resolution to establish an award for advising; motion seconded.

There was a brief discussion centering on the source of money for the award and whether or not this award should be incorporating this award into the Chancellor's awards.

Senator Somach called the question; motion seconded and approved.
The motion was approved by a vote of 21 in favor to 13 opposed.

## 7. Degree Credit Limitation

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Undergraduate Academic Council, moved approval of the following resolution:

That the following degree credit Ifmitation be approved and become effective for all students graduating in May 1984 and thereafter:

Of graduation credits earned in independent study and research, field experience, internships, and community service, a maximum of thirty credits may be applied to the baccalaureate degree.

Motion seconded.
After a brief discussion the motion was defeated by a majority vote of those present.

The meeting was adjourned at $4: 50 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.

## STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT AL’3ANY 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222

University Senate Minutes Apri1 28, 1980

The meeting was called to ordex at $3: 40 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Eugene Garber. Professor Garber reminded the Senate that the only business which could be conducted at this meeting is the election of officers for the 1980-1981 academic year.

The Chairperson also requested that any Senators who had not already indicated their preferences for council or committee service should do so at the end of the meeting.

1. Election of Chairman-Elect

Harold Cannon was nominated by the Executive Committee. There were no other nominations from the floor.

Senator Gibson moved to close the nominations and that the secretary be instructed to cast an unanimous vote for Professor Cannon; motion seconded and approved.
2. Election of Secretary

Kay Hotaling was nominated by the Executive Committee. There were no nominations from the floor.

Senator Carrino moved that the nominations be closed; motion seconded and approved. Senator Hotaling was elected unanimously.
3. Executive Committee - Teaching Faculty

William Hammond, Wilfried Scholz, and Bertha Wakin were nominated by the Executive Committee. There were no nominations from the floor.

Senator Vaillancourt moved that the nominations be closed; motion seconded and approved. Senators Hammond and Wakin were elected.
4. Executive Committee - Non-Teaching Professional

Richard Farre11 and Rosemary Sabai were nominated by the Executive Committee. There were no nominations from the floor.

Senate Minutesmentd.
Apri1 28, 1980
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4. Executive Committee--contd.

Senator Sabai was elected on the first ballot.

The Chairperson adjourned the meeting until May 12, 1980 .

University Senate
Minutes
May 12, 1980

The meeting was called to order at $3: 40$ p.m. in the Campus Center Assembly Hall by the Chairperson, Eugene Garber.

The Chairperson reminded the faculty Senators that there would be a meeting of the faculty on May 13. He urged all to attend.

Senator Cannon, speaking for the Executive Committee, moved approval of the following motion: Resolved, that in the event that students do not sit on the 1980-1981 Senate, the Executive Committee, in the selection of student nominees for Senate councils, be excused from all adhersnce to senatorial status. The motion was seconded.

Senator Carrino called the question; motion seconded and approved.
Senator Cannon's motion was approved unanimously.

## 1. Approval of Council Memberships

1.1. The Chairperson noted the following changes in the list distributed to the Senators.

EPC - N. Greenfeld should be listed as a Senator. CAFE - A. Baldwin should be listed as an associate professor.
GAC - Add Barbara Rice (Library) to the list. UCC - Add Frederick Beharriel (German) to the list.
1.2 CAFE: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; motion seconded by Senator Wakin and approved.
1.3 EPC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; motion seconded and approved.
1.4 GAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.

```
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1. Approval of Council Memberships--contd.
1.5 LIBRARY: Senator Cannon moved approval of the proposed membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.
1.6 CPCA: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator

Senator Martin asked if the Executive Committee had reviewed the vitas of those faculty proposed for membership to this council. The Chairperson noted that the Executive Committee had reviewed the vitas and that they were available for review by any members of the Senate who wished to see them.

The membership was approved.
1.7 RESEARCH: Senator Cannon moved approval of the proposed membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The membership was approved.
1.8 SAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion, The membership was approved.
1.9 UAC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.
1.10 UCC: Senator Cannon moved approval of the membership; Senator Wakin seconded the motion. The motion was approved.

Senator Staley moved to adjourn; the motion was seconded and
approved.
------

## STATE UNIVEPRSTY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY 1400 Washington Avenue Albany, New York 12222

UNIVERSITY SENATE

University Senate
Minutes
September 15, 1980

The meeting was called to order at $3: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. in LC 23 by the Chairperson, Eugene Garber.

1. Approval of Minutes

Approval of the Minutes was postponed until the next meeting.
2. Council and Committee Reports
2.1 A written report was submicted by the Executive Committee.
2.2 Executive Committee:

The Chairperson noted that Kay Hotaling and Rosemary Sabai had both resigned from the university. It would therefore be necessary to hold elections to replace them on the Executive Committee.

The appointments recommended by the Executive Committee were approved.

In response to a request from the Chairperson, the Senators present indicated that they preferred a $3: 30$ meeting time to a 3 p.m. meeting time.
3. Guidelines for Academic Calendars (Bi11 No. 198081-01)
3.1 Senator Martin moved approval of the resolution; motion seconded by Senator Cannon.

At the invitation of the Senate, J. Fredericks Volkwein (Assistant to the President) gave a summary of the discussion involved in the proposal to amend the current guidelines.

After some discussion the motion was approved by majority vote.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:05 p.m.

## State University of New York at Albany

MEMORANDUM

TO: Eugene Garber, Chairman, Senate
FROM: Dean R. Snow, Chairman, UAC
SUBJECT: Report of the Meeting of October 15, 1980
DATE: October 16, 1980

The Undergraduate Academic Council met Thursday, October 15, 1980, and acted upon several issues. One issue will require Senate action, six others are reported for information only.
A. For Senate Action:

No items for Senate action.
B. For Information:

1. The UAC has approved adoption of a revision in the $B A / B S$ distinction policy as it appears in the Undergraduate Academic Policy Manual, page 29, Item 4. As amended, Item 4 will read as follows:

No academic unit may offer both undergraduate degrees if the program requirements for each degree are or may be nearly identical. If the two degrees are to be considered for awarding by one department, college or school for similar content, distinct differences in the program requirements must exist to clearly distinguish between the preparation leading to the two separate degrees. Such justification is to proceed according to the preceding paragraph.
2. The UAC has approved an amendment to the degree requirements for a major leading to a BS degree in economics by addition of the following statement to the program description:

For the B.S. degree, students must satisfactorily complete a second major or second field in the School of Business or the College of Science and Mathematics.
3. The UAC took action to establish separate committees on Academic Standing and Admissions on grounds that the workload for the current academic year will be too heavy for a single committee.
4. The UAC approved with changes a recommendation from the Academic Standing Committee to modify admissions standards in the major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics. The appropriate passage has been reworded as follows:

To be formally enrolled as a major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics a student must have achieved a minimum grade point average of 2.75 in the following four courses, with a grade of at least C or S in each: MAT 112, MAT 113, CSI 201, CSI 202. These admission requirements become effective for all students seeking admission to the program after February $1,1981$.
5. The UAC approved minor changes in the admissions standards for a major in Computer Science to make them compatible with the revised standards for the major in Computer Science and Applied Mathematics.
6. The UAC accepted a report on the Linguistics program from the Committee on Undergraduate Program Reviews, and passes the report on to the Senate.

The UAC received information on a number of other issues, some of which will be acted upon at future meetings. Such actions will be reported in due course. The council approved some new and some replacement appointments to several committees, which will be supplemented by further appointments at the November meeting. A complete list of committee appointments will probably be available in November.
D.R.S.

DRS:bmk

```
From: Pauline M. Vaillancourt, Chairperson
    Library Council
```

For Information

The Library Council meet on September 29 and October lst. Pauline M. Vaillancourt was elected chairman and $K$. Lowery volunteered to be secretary. Meetings are to be regularly scheduled on the third Monday at 4:30 p.m.

Several important policy matters were referred to the council; the first being the decision of the Library's joining RLG (Reasearch Libraries Group) the sponsoring organization of RLIN (Research Libraries Information Network) which is a computerized information system, in addition to, or as an alternative to OCLC, the current system.

The Council unanimously recommended that SUNYA should join RLG and urged that the Presidient be requested to support this step with additional funding. It was also moved, seconded and passed with a single abstension, that the Director make every possible effort to retain one OCLC terminal for searching purposes.

## EX OFFICIO SENATORS

| Vincent O'Leary, President of State |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| University of New York at Albany | Eugene McLaren, SUNYA Representa- |
| tive to the State-wide SUNY |  |
| David Martin, Vice President for | Senate (1982) |
| Academic Affairs | (Alternate: John Morgan) |
| John Hartigan, Vice President for |  |
| Finance and Business | Donald Reeb, SUNYA Representative |
| (o the State-wide SUNY Senate |  |
| Warren Ilchman, Vice President for | (1983) |
| Research and Dean of Graduate Studies | (Alternate: Arthur Collins) |
| Lewis Welch, Vice President for | Anne Roberts, SUNYA Representative |
| University Affairs | to the State-wide SUNY Senate |
| Joseph Nitecki, Director of | (1981) |
| University Libraries | (No Alternate) |

ELECTED SENATORS

## Elected: At Large

Alfred Cali (1981)
Educ. Administration
Robert Gibson (1981)
CUE

James Riede1 (1981)
Political Science

Jon Scott (1981)
Atmospheric Science

Melvin Bers (1982)
Economics
Richard Farrell (1982)
Graduate Studies
Harry Staley (1982)
English
Dorothy Christiansen (1982) Donald Arnold (1983)
Library

Vernon Buck (1983) Educ. Opportunity Program

Frank Carrino (1983)
Hispanic and Italian Studies
Richard Tastor (1983)
Financial Aid

Business

## Elected: Humanities and Fine Arts

| Eugene Garber (1981) <br> English | Martha Rozett (1982) <br> English | Edward Cowley (1983) <br> Art |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| John Overbeck (1981) <br> Classics | - | Drew Hartzel1 (1983 |

Elected: Science and Mathematics

| Hassaram Bakhru (1981) <br> Phys:ics | Bernard Vonnegut (1982) <br> Atmospheric Science |
| :--- | :--- |
| Colin Izzard (1981) | Jon Zubieta (1982) |
| Biology | Chemistry |

## Elected: Social and Behavioral Sciences

| Norman Greenfeld (1981) | Peter Krosby (1982) <br> Psychology |
| :--- | :--- |
| History |  |
| Econindar Uppal (1981) | Seiritsu Ogura (1983) <br> Economics |

Elected: Business Elected: Cont. Studies

Harold Cannon (1981)
Michelle Deasy (1981)
Frank Pogue (1983)
African and Afro-American Studies

Elected: Criminal Justice

Robert Hardt (1982)

Roy Klages (1981)

## Elected: Education

| Edward Christensen (1982) <br> Counseling | Alexinia Baldwin (1983) <br> Program Development | Gordon Purrington (1983) <br> Educ. Administration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Frank Femminella (1982) <br> Educ and Social Thought | Anthony Cresswe11 (1983) <br> Educ. Administration | Bertha Wakin (1983) <br> Teacher Education |
| Elected: GSPA | Elected: Library | Elected: Library Science |
| Charles Tarlton (1982) | Sharon Bonk (1983) | Pauline Vaillancourt (1982) |
|  | James Groark (1982) |  |

Elected: Social We1fare
Marc Miringoff (1981)
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## APPOINTED SENATORS

Nei1 Brown
Student Affairs
Helen Desfosses
Undergraduate Studies
Robert Meyers
Philosophy
Ricardo Nirenberg
Mathematics
Edward Riley
Psychology
Charles Scholes
Physics

Dean Snow Anthropology

Jerry Suls Psychology

John Webb
Social and Behavioral Sciences

Artie Banks

Irene Bleiweiss
Sheryl Bloon
Brian Dunn

Chris Fink
Ron Frielick
Neil Gelfand

A1 Gordon

Paul Kastel1

Gary Klein

Larry Kotik
Mark Lafayette
Bob Maxant
Jeff Morgenstern
Karl Pelican

Dave Preibe

Dave Rich

Gary Silverman
Mike Stenard

Paul Travers
Peter Weinstock
Mark Weprin

George Williams
Physics - Science and Mathematics

## Councils and Committees of the Senate

| Ex Officio: | Vincent 0'Leary, President of SUNYA <br> Eugene McLaren (Chemistry), SUNY Senator <br> Donald Reeb (Economics), SUNY Senator <br> Anne Roberts (Library), SUNY Senator <br> Kevin Burke (Geology), Past Chairperson of the Senate <br> Sue Gold, President of Student Association $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Elected Faculty: | Eugene Garber (English), Chairperson of the Senate <br> Harold Cannon (Business), Chairperson-Elect <br> Bertha Wakin (Business Education), Secretary <br> Vernon Buck, EOP <br> William Hammond, Mathematics <br> Robert Hardt, Criminal Justice |
| Elected Students: | Sheryl Bloom Chris Fink Dave Preibe |

## Council on Academic Freedom and Ethics

| Teaching Faculty: | *Alexinia Baldwin, Program Development <br> *Frank Carrino, Hispanic and Italian Studies <br> John Mackiewicz, Biology <br> *Harry Staley, English <br> *Jerry Suls, Psychology <br> Dwight Wallace, Anthropology |
| :---: | :---: |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: | Gloria DeSole, Affirmative Action *Richard Farre11, Graduate Studies |
| Undergraduate Students: | David Rich Mike Stenard |
| Graduate Students: |  |

## Council on Educational Policy

Ex Officio: $\quad$| Vincent O'Leary, President of SUNYA |
| :--- |
| David Martin, Vice President for Academic Affairs |
| John Hartigan, Vice President for Finance and Business |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Warren Ilchman, Vice President for Research |

[^1]
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Library Counci1

| Ex Officio: | Stephen DeLong, Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs <br> Joseph Nitecki, Director of Libraries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Teaching Faculty: | Lindsay Childs, Mathematics and Statistics David Duffee, Criminal Justice |
|  | *Frank Femmine1la, Education and Social Thought *Drew Hartzel1, Music |
|  | Donald Liede1, History |
|  | Kathryn Lowery, Computing Center |
|  | *Seiritsu Ogura, Economics |
|  | John Sarkissian, Classics |
|  | *Jon Scott, Atmospheric Science |
|  | *Pauline Vaillancourt, Library and Information Science |
| Undergraduate Students: | Scott Wechsier |
|  | Peter Weinstock |

Graduate Students: $\qquad$

Council on Promotions and Continuing Appointments
Ex Officio: David Martin, Vice President for Academic Affairs
Teaching Faculty: $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Bruce Dudek, Psychology } \\ \text { *Peter Krosby, History } \\ \\ \quad \text { Hugh Maclean, English } \\ \\ \text { Fred Ohnmacht, Educational Psychology } \\ \\ \text { *James Riedel, Political Science }\end{array}\right\}$

Undergraduate Students: Bob Maxant

Graduate Students:

Council on Research
Ex Officio: Warren Ilchman, Vice President for Research Frank Lucarelli, Director of Research

Teaching Faculty: Walter Gibson, Physics
*James Groark, Library
Richard Hall, Sociology
Kathryn Kelley, Psychology

```
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Council on Research--contd.
*John Overbeck, Classics
John Seagle, Business
Susan Sherman, Social Welfare
*Bernard Vonnegut, Atmospheric Science
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Teaching Faculty: } \quad \text { Gary Hobbs, Counseling Center } \\ & \text { Gene Winter, Two-Year College Development Center }\end{aligned}$
Undergraduate Students: $\qquad$

Graduate Students:

## Student Affairs Council



Graduate Students:

Undergraduate Academic Council

Ex Officio: David Martin, Vice President for Academic Affairs Helen Desfosses, Dean for Undergraduate Studies

Teaching Faculty: Raymond Benenson, Physics
Helen Horowitz, Economics
Violet Larney, Mathematics and Statistics

| 1980-81 Membership--cont Page 8 | td. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Undergraduate Academic Council--contd. |  |
|  | Colbert Nepaulsingh, Hispanic and Italian Studies *Martha Rozett, English <br> *Dean Snow, Anthropology <br> Ivan Steen, History <br> William Bray, Business |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: | *Sharon Bonk, Library |
| Undergraduate Students: | David Pologe <br> Ira Somach <br> Artie Banks <br> Brian Dunn <br> Lori Peppe |
| Graduate Student: |  |
| University Community Council |  |
| Ex Officio: | Lewis Welch, Vice President for University Affairs Norbert Zahm, Director of University Auxiliary Services James Williams, Director of Security |
| Teaching Faculty: | Robert Donnelly, Theatre <br> Donald Favreau, Continuing Studies <br> *Colin Izzard, Biology <br> *Roy Klages, Businers <br> *Frederick Beharrie11, German |
| Non-Teaching Faculty: | Beverly Radlin, Educational Opportunity Program *Richard Tastor, Financial Aids |
| Service Staff: | Diane Cardone, EOP <br> Ronnie Dyson, Affirmative Action Gwen Willoughby, Personnel |
| Undergraduate Students: | Irene Bleiweiss <br> Anthony Iavelli. <br> Gary Silverman |
| Graduate Students: |  |


[^0]:    *Indicates Senators

[^1]:    *Indicates Senators

