A Study on the System Dynamics Modeling of Business Technology Management Decision Support System

Yi-Ming Tu Ching-Yee Young Institute of Information Management National Sun Yat-Sen University Taiwan, R.O.C.

ABSTRACT

This paper is a research on the integration of system dynamics, protfolio and scenarios. The prototyping is used in developing the system dynamics model which is focused on the activities of business technology management. At here, we will discuss about the implementation and some simulation results of the BTMDSS model.

Introduction

We majorly adopt three steps in the research. First, develop a system dynamics model which supports the technology management activities . Second, combine the model with the portfoio analysis where the portfolios play a decision making role in the model. Third, make a scenario analysis for the model and conduct a decision making analysis.

First, the system dynamics model will be built by considering the environmental factors of market. The major consideration of business's environment are strategic analysis, operational analysis and resources analysis. And the industrial analysis, competitor's analysis , customer's analysis and products' analysis will be considered in the environment of market.

Second, discontinuous decision loops will be built by applicaton of the portfolio analysis and consideration of the technological environment. By completion of the combination, a base-run simulation will be held by simulaton of the technology management decision support system dynamics model. The simulation will show us the behaviors of this model.

Third, a simulation of the scenarios will be held for providing more informations in various conditions. And then, we will discuss how to make decisions of technology management activites by the assistance of the model. The research process is as show in figure 1.

Implementation of the Business Technology Management Decision Support System (TMDSS) Model

The system model is constituted by four parts : decision, technology, market and business's resources. The decision model is constructed by discontinuous feedback loops and the others continuous feedback loops. Figure 2 shows us the construction of the TMDSS model.

Based on those different feedback loops, we could conceptually divide the model system into three subsystems: strategic, information and environmental. The strategic subsystem contains the decision making and decision analysis. The information subsystem contains the technology and business's resources. The environment analytic subsystem contains the part of market. Figure 3 shows the relationships of them.

Construction of the Strategic Subsystem

Portfolio and scenarios are being applied as decision analysis tools in the strategic subsystem. Portfolio (ie. Gorwth-Share matrix) which is proposed by the Boston Consulting Group (BCG) is generally used in handling of the resources distribution. In the system, it will determine two other strategies : marketing and R&D. The conceptual structure of portfolio is as in figure 4. The analysis of

- 725 -

DC

scenarios will assist the model in detecting the possible changes of environment especially when in complex. The conceptual structure of scenarios is as in figure 5.

Depending on the previous two decision analysis structure, we could construct the decision making feedback loops. The R&D policy which contains two strategies - R&D people and R&D. The R&D people strategy is majorly in determining the on-job education and average objective R&D people. The feedback loop of R&D people is in the upper of figure 6 and the lower is the strategy of R&D.

Second, the marketing policy which is constituted by pricing and marketing strategy. The strategy of pricing is majorly in determining the product's price and marketing is in determining the marketing budget. The feedback loop of marketing policy is as in figure 7.





Third, the resources distribution policy is majorly in determining how to distribute the business's resources effectively. The resources of each SBU will be determined by the business's resources distribution strategy and each marketing will determines their resources distributed to R&D and marketing activities. The feedback loop is as figure 8.

- 727 -

8 D C

Construction of the Information Analytic Subsystem

There are two parts in the information subsystem - technology and business's resources. The technology level of business is determined by four - product innovation, invention, production innovation and technology transfer. The technology level will determine the strengthes of business's R&D activities. And the technology transfer will be determined by the technology level in relative to competitors and the industrial global technology level. The causal feedback loop is as in figure 9.

There are four kinds of business resources- people, production, marketing and finance. Technology development and the policy of objective R&D people will determine the estimation demand of R&D people which will influence the actually involved people. Besides, the actually involved people will be determined by people martket's supply and the departure rate of R&D people. Technology development is determined by the successful rate of R&D which is influenced by the level of R&D. And the level of R&D is influenced by the actually involved R&D people.

At here, two kinds of productivity are discussed- capital and labor. They will influence the amount of production. And the amount of production will influence the unit cost of product and then influence the amount of sales. The amount of sales will influence the R&D investment which determines the technology level of production. As to marketing and financial resources, they are discussed in the section of resource distrbution policy.

Construction of the Environmental Analytic Subsystem

The price and quality of product, marketing, and others' competition are in the subsystem. In the loop , market share will influence the amount of sales and product's unit price(refer to the pricing decision in section 2.1). The amount of sales will influence the experience curve which is the basis of growth-share matrix portfolio analysis. The experience curve determines the learning effects and then influence the product's unit costs and the unit prices. And the prices competition is in correspondence.

In the model, we assume that the quality of product is determined by the technology level of business. So, the strength of R&D will influence the quality of products. And the products' quality is an important factor of customer's purchase willingness.

Formulation of the Strategic Subsystem Model

We built up two strategic tables where one is of portfolio and the other is PDLC. The portfolio analysis is divided into six identifications that called the strategic position. (figure 10) There are two portfolio strategic tables, one is the R&D policy (figure 11) and the other marketing policy. (figure 12)

R&D policy includes the Strategies of R&D and R&D people. R&D strategy is represented with the strengthes of R&D. And R&D people strategy is constructed by learning rate, learning period and objective R&D people. Marketing policy contains the pricing and marketing strategy. Pricing strategy will determine the prices of products and marketing strategy will determine the marketing strengthes of business.

Strategic table of PDLC (figure 13) is built in reference to the portfolio strategic tables except the strategy of R&D direction. A relationship is existed between the direction of R&D and the three stages of PDLC. Those tables represent the strategies of businesses in the model and the simulation will give us the different behaviors by the different strategies.

Simulation of the Business Technology Management Decision Support System Model

Base-run is majorly providing us the fundamental behaviors of the model. And scenario analysis provides the behaviors of the model when the environmental factors are changed. The simulations will tell us about the informations of the TMDSS model.



- 728 -



Strategic Position	Portfolio	R&D Policy			
	Strategy	R&D Strategy	R&D People Strategy		
Attractive Market 0	Build_up	Strength : high	Education Rate : high Education Period : short Objective People : 5		
Question Mark	Offensive Strength : middle		Education Rate : high Education Period : short Objective People : 5		
Star 2	Investment	Strength : high	Education Rate : high Education Period : short Objective People : 5		
Cash Cow 3	Defensive	Strength : low	Education Rate : high Education Period : short Objective People : 5		
Poor Dogs 4	withdraw /Transfer	Strength : middle	Education Rate : high Education Period : short Objective People : 5		
Unattractive Market 5	Transfer	Strength : middle	Education Rate : high Education Period : short Objective People : 5		

Figure 10. The Growthshare Protfolio Matrix of Diversfied

Figure 11. R&D Policy Base on Investment Portfolio

	Portfolio Marketing Policy R&D Strate		R&D Strategies	R&D Peop	e Strategy	Marketing Strategy		
Strategic Position	Strategy	Pricing Strategy	Marketing Strategy	Stage of PDLC	Direction Strength 1 2 3	Education Rate	Education Period	Competitive Standard
Auractive Market	Build_up				high A · ·	middle	long	of the same
			Development	middle, A B C	high	short	of the same	
Question Mark	Offensive	: competitor -5%	Competitive Standard		middle CAC	middle	middle	+10%
1		: 50% of total cost	+20 %	Embryonic	middle CAC	middle	middle	+10%
Star 2	j Investment	Upper : competitor Lower	Competitive Standard	Standard high C	high CAB	high	long	+20%
2	: 75% of total cost	+370	Growth	high IC B B	high	long	+20%	
Cash Cow	Defensive	Competitor +5%	Competitive Standard	Manura	high CCA	middle	middle	+10%
ļ	 	: +4% of total cost	<u> </u>	Inflation C	low BCB	middle	middle	of the same
Poor Dogs	withdraw /Transfer	: competitor +10%	Competitive Standard		middle ACC	low	long	of the same
	:+8% of total cost		- And	middle A	low	long	of the same	
Unattractive Market	Transfer			1. invention :	2. product innov	tion ; 3. pr	oduction i	nnovation

Figure 12. Strategy Sets for Different Portfolio

1. invention ; 2. product innovation ; 3. production innovation A: high ; B: middle ; C: low

Figure 13. R&D and Market Policy Base on Life Cycle Analysis

Base-Run Simulation

The base-run is based on some assumptions, following are the important ones.

Basic business datas: (1) Business operation period : begins from time 0



(3) SBU's competitor : 1

Decision related datas

(1) Strategies under portfolio

1. attractive market : build-up strategy, 2. question mark : offensive strategy, 3. star : investment strategy, 4.cash cow : defensive strategy, 5.poor dos : withdraw/transfer strategy , 6.unattractive market : transfer strategy

(2) R&D policy : figure 11

(3) Marketing Policy : figure 12

(4) Resource distribution Policy : fully supplied

- 729 -

s D c

Technology related datas

(1) Level of technology init =100

(2) Rate of successful = Level of R&D

(3) On-job education period/rate : by R&D policy

Market related datas

(1) Market situation Year 1 2 5 7 0 10 1 3 6 8 Growth Rate I 0 0 0.12 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.32 0.35 0.05 0.025 Stages development : 1 - 2 embryonic : 3 - 4 growth : 5 | mature : 7 - 8 aging : 9 - 10 (2) Market Stage of each SBU (year) SBU1:3 ; SBU2:5 ; SBU3:7 ; SBU4:9 (3) Demands of Market SBU1 = 100000 ; SBU2 = 130000 ; SBU3 = 200000 ; SBU4 = 390000 (4) Price of product : by pricing policy (5) Cost of product : by experience curve (6) Quality of product : by standard of technology # Competitive related datas (1) R&D policy : figure 13 (2) Level of technology init =100

(3) Cost of product : by competitor's experience curve

(4) Quality of product : by level of competitor's technology

Figure 14 shows the strategic positions of each SBU from time 0 to time 10 (year). Strategic position is a reflection of each market SBU's situation for market and competition. Besides the strategic positions of each SBU will determine the strategies which influence the model behaviors.

Figure 15 shows the technology changing rate for each SBU. Technology change is influenced by the strategies of R&D which is determined by strategic positions. So, we can find that the strategic position of question mark or star results a more higher changing speed. And the strategic position of cash cow or poor dog results a lower changing speed. At last, the changing speed of unattractive or attractive market's position will be in the middle.

Figure 16 shows the R&D directions of SBU1. The directions of R&D is determined by PDLC. According to the stages of PDLC, the major directions of R&D are sequentially the product invention, innovation and production innovation. As to technology transfer, it is determined by the relative level of technology. In figure 16, the stages of PDLC for SBU1 are embryonic, growth, mature, aging and then development. So that the major directions of R&D are product innovation, production innovation and then product invention.

Scenarios Analysis and Simulation

The analysis adapts four steps :

1. find the uncertain factors that could influence the model behavior

- 2. modify the model based on the uncertain factors
- 3. simulation
- 4. analyze the behaviors and make decisions

At first, we must find out the uncertain factors. The analysis of scenarios here will focus on two phases - external and internal of industry. External factors includes the emergency of new technology, the estimation of new technology and the changing of technology life cycle (TLC). Internal factors includes four:

- 1. industry attractiveness of industry, critical success factor, magnitude of marketing and growth of industry
 - 2. competition current competitors and potential competitors
 - 3. customer market differentiation, purchase motivations and unsatisfied demands
 - 4. product the product life cycle (PDLC).



- 730 -

Depending on those, we select the important ones (called scenario variable) which could influence the model significantly. And then construct a scenario analysis table (figure 17) depending on the variables of scenarios.

By modification and simulation we could gain the behaviors under scenarios, it will help us analyze the influences of the scenario variables and assist the decision making activities.

Figure 18 shows the model behaviors under the emergency of new technology. In figure 18, we assume that the emergency of new technology is at time 3 of SBU1 and time 5 of SBU2. The technology changing rate of SBU1 and SBU2 are different when compared with figure 15. From this, we could observe the influences of new technology.

Figure 19 shows the R&D direction of SBU1 when the technology life cycles are changed. From this, we could find that the R&D directions in different kint of life cycles (refer to figure 16).

The major objective of the model is to provide us about the imformation for strategy making activities in various scenarios. In the model, the different scenarios are based on the combination of various variables. By construction of the different scenarios, we could change the variables of the model and run the model game and the simulation will give us more informations where the decision maker could make more informed decisions.

Conclusion

This prototype model integrates the system dynamics, portfolio and scenario analysis. The integration provides us not only a method for construction of decision support system models, but also provides a system model which could be applied of business in action.

By completion of the research, there are still some remaining topics that could be studied. First is the extension of the model range. Second, the application of the model to business's T.M. DSS in active use.

REFERENCE

Arnoldo C. Hax and Nicolas S. Makluf. 1984. Strategic Management : An Integrative Perspective. Prentice-Hall.

Biplab K. Dutta and William R. King. 1980. A Competitive Scenario Modeling System. Management Science [March]. Vol.26 No.3: 261-273.

Cory J. P. 1989.Strategic Planning Process and Technology Management . International Jrl. of Technology Management , 4(6): 613-624.

Forrester, Jay W. 1968. Principles of Systems, 2th edition. Cambrige: Mass.

James M. Lyneis. 1980. Corporate Planning and Policy Design : A System Dynamics Approach. MIT Press.

Merten , P. , Loffler , R. & Weidmann , K. 1988. Portfolio Simulation : A Tool to Support Strategic Management . System Dynamics Review, 3(2):12-26.

Milling Peter. 1974. Der Technische Fortschritt beim Produktions- proseB.Wiesbaden. Western Germany.

Gert v. Kortzfleisch. Forschungen uber die Forschung und Entwicklung. Western Germany. Klaus Brockhoff. 1989. A Simulation Model of R&D Budget. R&D Management, 19(3); 265-275.



- 731 -



3 7 C 1982

- 732 -

.

Scenario Varables	Scenaro Variation	Model Modification		
Emergency of New Technology	Completely Replacement	Reduce the Technology Standard of Business to 100		
	Partly Replacement	Reduce half of the Tochnology Standard of Business		
Change of the Product Technoloy Life Cycle	Longer	Change the PDLC (long)		
	Shorter	Change the PDLC (short		
Competitive Strenth of Current Competitor	Product Strenth	Increase the competitor's competitive strength		
	Price Strength	Increase the competitor's price competitive strength		
	Marketing Strength	increase the competitor's marketing competitive streng		
Competitive Strenth of Potential Competitor	Share with the business's current market	Reduce themarket share of business		
Purchase Motivation of Competitor	Function 2 2 Price 1 3 Good-will 3 1	Change the weighting of the market share chaning function		



Unit : year

TPR_BUS_i : Technology Progress Rate of BUSiness sbui ;



TPBR3_INV : Technology Progress of Business for INVention ; TPBR3_PDT : Technology Progress of Business for ProDuct innovation ; TPBR3_PRO : Technology Progress of Business for PROduction ; TPBR3_TT : Technology Progress of Business for Technology Transfer ;

- 733 -

8 D C 1992