CHARGES OF GEN ED TASK FORCE

- 1. Survey all teaching faculty and academic advisors to find out:
 - a. How the faculty in general feels about the academic integrity of current GenEd requirements
 - b. What experiences academic advisors have had with students not graduating on time
- 2. Keep focus on scholarship not politics
- 3. Reduce number of required credits to what is academically necessary and desirable
- 4. Respond to how students fulfill SUNY Gen ED and definition of local Gen Ed
- 5. Considerations of current and future pressures for course seats.
- 6. Decide what is valuable to our students
- 7. Rethink what makes a UA Degree
- 8. Rework the writing program proposal to mesh with Gen Ed
- 9. Consider work of strategic planning and the graduate programs' review
- 10. Review of prior system-wide work done on gen ed
- 11. How Gen Ed meshes with our mission and motto--The World Within Reach
- 12. Reinstate policy that transfer students with completed AA or AS degrees be lower-division Gen ED exempt.

WHAT NEEDS TO BE AVOIDED:

1. Tendency to degrade into turf battles among parochial, department/disciplinary constituencies attempting to guarantee that their courses are required in UAlbany Gen Ed plan that goes beyond the BoT plan.

TIMELINE

- 1. Have regulations in place to allow advisers to explain them to freshman during summer conference:
 - a. Submit to SEC by mid March
 - b. Present to Senate by April 12 meeting
- 2. If a decision cannot be reached by May 2010, then a provisional plan should be available to deal with bulletin year requirements.

COMPOSITION OF TASK FORCE

Representation that should be included:

- 1. Mostly full time teaching faculty, majority from CAS (at least 80%)
- 2. CAS Dean or designee
- 3. Equal representation of Humanities, Social Science and Natural Science
- 4. Representation from CCI, CRJ and Rockefeller College
- 5. Chairs or knowledgeable council member from UAC (and its Gen Ed Committee), UPPC, CAA
- 6. Vice Provost as a member but not as chair
- 7. Select group of professional faculty who are highly knowledgeable about GenEd
- 8. Student Association--send two representatives
- 9. Administrative offices with responsibility for Gen Ed; Admissions; Gen Ed assessment group; Librarians
- 10. People who schedule courses and deal with questions of seat issues and classroom space
- 11. Representatives of the department chair who do the scheduling at the departmental level

Recommendations not to include:

- Undergraduate Vice Provost argument against this: The undergraduate dean's office
 does not have a financial or resource vested interest in GenED; do not want final product
 to be an unfunded mandate
- 2. GSO rep does not seem necessary

Individual Recommendations:

Andrade, Heidi Ng, Vivien
Collier, Richard Nold, Patrick
Delano, John Rozett, Martha
Hildebrand, Martin Savitt, Joan
Jacobson, Trudi Wulfert, Elga